

RESOLUTION NO. 194

WHEREAS, Application No. 1580 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are **no** special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would **not** work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is **not** necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of John Carlson for a yard variance to build a 4' x 12' glassed-in porch addition to a residence now existing at 833 - 20th Street on Lot 4, Block 48, Culverwell & Taggart's Subdivision with a two foot side yard, be, and it is hereby denied.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 22, 1942

By *George S. [Signature]*
Secretary.

Application Received 10/15/42 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/21/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/21/42 Hearing date _____
Date 10/21/42

Decision Denied

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/22/42 Building Inspector 10/22/42

Planning Commission 10/22/42 Petitioner 10/22/42 Health Department _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 195

WHEREAS, Application No. 1587 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Florence and Emily N. Chambers to convert an existing store building at 3807 Granada Avenue into living quarters and doctor's office and make additions thereto, with 0' sideyard on the north lot line, on Lots 7 and the South one-half of Lot 8, Block 3, West End Addition; this resolution shall expire at the cessation of hostilities in the war between the United States of America and any country, and for the period of six months thereafter and an agreement to comply with the above shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Not used

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 29, 1942

By George Stephan Secretary

Application Received 10/23/42 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/28/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/28/42 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 10/28/42
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/29/42 Building Inspector 10/29/42
Planning Commission 10/29/42 Petitioner 10/29/42 Health Department _____
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

RESOLUTION NO. 196

WHEREAS, Application No. 1584 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to K. Von Dreden to remodel a hospital to 6 apartments at 1826 Robinson Street on the West 75 feet of Lot 25 to 27, Block 249, University Heights, with a 3 foot sideyard for a stairway.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 29, 1942

By George Stephan Secretary

Application Received 10/21/42 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/28/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/28/42 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 10/28/42
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/29/42 Building Inspector 10/29/42
Planning Commission 10/29/42 Petitioner 10/29/42 Health Department _____
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

mentioned above.
and to refer to the zoning map as such before the Board.
a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1251, Section 10.
to the zoning map for a residential.
is that of the 22' to 24' block and, particularly, with a
possibility to a development of 1250 North Main Street on the west
portion to refer to the zoning map to K. Non-Profit to remove a

RESOLUTION NO. 197

WHEREAS, Application No. 1584 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of K. Von Dreden to remodel a hospital to 7 apartments and construct an addition thereto at 1826 Robinson Street on the West 75 feet of Lot 25 to 27, Block 249, University Heights with 60% coverage, be, and it is hereby denied.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 29, 1942

By *Leopoldo*
Secretary.

Application Received 10/21/42 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/28/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/28/42 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 10/28/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/29/42 Building Inspector 10/29/42

Planning Commission 10/29/42 Petitioner 10/29/42 Health Department _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 123

RESOLUTION NO.

198

1551

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Complete Service Bureau to build and operate a hospital on Lots C and J, Block 303, Horton's Addition; provide that there is provided a 7 foot side yard on the north lot line and the plans are to be approved by the Zoning Committee; and to operate a hospital in the present building on Lots D and E.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated..... November 5, 1942

By..... *Leona Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 10/21/42 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/28/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/28/42 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 10/28/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/29/42 Building Inspector 10/29/42

Planning Commission 10/29/42 Petitioner 10/29/42 Health Department _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 199

WHEREAS, Application No. 1570 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Donald L. Cowan to maintain a gasoline tank and pump for servicing trucks, for the duration of the war at 3695 45th Street on the Westerly 65 feet of Lots 1 and 2, Block 12 1/2, City Heights Annex #1, be, and it is hereby denied.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 5, 1942

By [Signature] Secretary.

Application Received : 10/24/42 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/28/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/28/42 Hearing date 11/4/42
Decision denied Date 11/4/42
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/5/42 Building Inspector 11/5/42
Planning Commission 11/5/42 Petitioner 11/5/42 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

City Planning Department
1234 Main Street
City, State, Zip

RESOLUTION NO. 200

WHEREAS, Application No. 1591 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Albert Augustin to construct a portion of a single family residence on a portion of lot 34 La Mesa Colony, the major part of the residence being located in the County on Lot 1, Block 5, Rolando, be, and it is hereby denied.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 5, 1942

By *Leopold Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 10/29/42 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/4/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/4/42 Hearing date _____
Decision denied Date 11/4/42
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/5/42 Building Inspector 11/5/42
Planning Commission 11/5/42 Petitioner 11/5/42 Health Department _____
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

2' height of the building...
height of the building...
height of the building...

Resolution No. _____

Resolution No. _____

Resolution No. _____

Resolution No. _____

Resolution No. _____

Resolution No. _____

Resolution No. _____

Resolution No. _____

Resolution No. _____

RESOLUTION NO. 201

1592

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will.....**not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....**not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will.....**not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. F. A. Gilliam to convert an existing store room at 6334 El Cajon Boulevard on Lot 5, Acacia Park into living quarters with a 0' side yard on both sides, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the owner comply with the Health Department Building Department and Fire Department regulations;
- 2. This resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country;
- 3. An agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement filed
March 2, 1943*

November 5, 42

Dated....., 19.....

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By.....

Secretary.

Application Received 11/2/42 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/4/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/4/42 Hearing date _____

Decision Conditional Approval Date 11/4/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/5/42 Building Inspector 11/5/42

Planning Commission 11/5/42 Petitioner 11/5/42 Health Department 11/5/42

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

- 1. The applicant has shown that the proposed use is a use which is permitted by the zoning ordinance.
- 2. The proposed use is a use which is not prohibited by the zoning ordinance.
- 3. The proposed use is a use which is not prohibited by the zoning ordinance.
- 4. The proposed use is a use which is not prohibited by the zoning ordinance.
- 5. The proposed use is a use which is not prohibited by the zoning ordinance.
- 6. The proposed use is a use which is not prohibited by the zoning ordinance.
- 7. The proposed use is a use which is not prohibited by the zoning ordinance.

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

RESOLUTION NO. 202

WHEREAS, Application No. 1593 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nels G. Severin to construct a residence on Lot 18 and the South one-half of Lot 19, Block 23, Bird Rock on Beaumont Street with a 4 foot side yard on each side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 5, 1942

By *Leopold Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 11/2/42 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/4/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/4/42 Hearing date _____
Date 11/4/42

Decision Approved Building Inspector 11/5/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/5/42 Health Department _____

Planning Commission 11/5/42 Petitioner 11/5/42 Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Continued to _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Date of action _____

Application withdrawn _____

Time limit extended to _____

Resolution No. _____
The City Planning Department has received an application for a change of zoning classification for the property located at _____
The applicant has requested that the zoning classification be changed from _____ to _____
The Commission has reviewed the application and the site plan and has determined that the proposed change is in the public interest and is consistent with the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance.
Therefore, the Commission recommends that the zoning classification be changed as requested.

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 203

WHEREAS, Application No. 1594 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nels G. Severin to construct a residence on the North one-half of Lot 19 and all of Lot 20, Block 23, Bird Rock on Beaumont Street with a 4 foot side yard on each side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George L. ...

Dated November 5, 1942

By

Secretary.

Application Received 11/2/42 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/4/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/4/42 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11/4/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/5/42 Building Inspector 11/5/42

Planning Commission 11/5/42 Petitioner 11/5/42 Health Department _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

mentioned above.
re and is hereby returned to the petitioner
A variance to the provisions of ordinance no. 1251, section 12

and
33' wide back on rearward slope with a 12' wide side yard on each
residence on the other one-half of lot to and all of lot 20. The
petitioner is hereby returned to the petitioner to consider a

That the Zoning Committee of the City of Chicago, Illinois

has considered the application of the petitioner and the facts of the case

and has determined that the same are in accordance with the provisions

of the ordinance and that the same are in accordance with the provisions

of the ordinance and that the same are in accordance with the provisions

of the ordinance and that the same are in accordance with the provisions

of the ordinance and that the same are in accordance with the provisions

of the ordinance and that the same are in accordance with the provisions

of the ordinance and that the same are in accordance with the provisions

RESOLUTION NO. 204

WHEREAS, Application No. 1595 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nels G. Severin to construct a residence on Lot 21 and the South one-half of Lot 22, Block 23, Bird Rock on Beaumont Street with a 4 foot side yard on each side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 5, 1942

By George Stephan Secretary

Application Received 11/2/42 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/4/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/4/42 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11/4/42
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/5/42 Building Inspector 11/5/42
Planning Commission 11/5/42 Petitioner 11/5/42 Health Department _____
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

mentioned above.
and is hereby stated that no other reference to the provisions
of Ordinance No. 1234, Section 12

and
the work on permanent record with a full copy filed on each
residence on lot 21 and the south one-half of lot 22, Block 12,
Petitioner is hereby stated to have a seven-foot setback

RESOLUTION NO. 205

WHEREAS, Application No. 1596 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nels G. Severin to construct a residence on Lot 23 and the North one-half of Lot 22, Block 23, Bird Rock on Beaumont Street with a 4 foot side yard on each side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 5, 1942

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 11/2/42 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/4/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/4/42 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11/4/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/5/42 Building Inspector 11/5/42

Planning Commission 11/5/42 Petitioner 11/5/42 Health Department _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

mentioned above.
The City Planning Department is hereby notified that the applicant has filed a petition for a change of zoning classification for the property described above.

The Commission has reviewed the petition and the map on file and has determined that the proposed change is in accordance with the zoning ordinance.

RESOLUTION NO. 206

WHEREAS, Application No. 1597 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nels G. Severin to construct a residence on Lot 24 and the South one-half of Lot 25, Block 23, Bird Rock on Beaumont Street with a 4 foot side yard on each side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 5, 1942

By George Stephan Secretary

Application Received 11/2/42 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/4/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/4/42 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11/4/42
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/5/42 Building Inspector 11/5/42
Planning Commission 11/5/42 Petitioner 11/5/42 Health Department _____
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 207

WHEREAS, Application No. 1598 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nels G. Severin to construct a residence on the North one-half of Lot 25 and all of Lot 26, Block 23, Bird Rock Addition on Beaumont Street with a 4 foot side yard on each side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 5, 1942

By George Stephan Secretary

Application Received 11/2/42 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/4/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/4/42 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11/4/42
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/5/42 Building Inspector 11/5/42
Planning Commission 11/5/42 Petitioner 11/5/42 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 503

RESOLUTION NO. 208

WHEREAS, Application No. 1599 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....**not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nels G. Severin to construct a residence on Lot 27 and the South one-half of Lot 28, Block 23, Bird Rock Addition on Beaumont Street with a 4 foot side yard on each side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 5, 1942

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 11/2/42 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/4/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/4/42 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11/4/42
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/5/42 Building Inspector 11/5/42
Planning Commission 11/5/42 Petitioner 11/5/42 Health Department _____
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. _____

RESOLUTION NO. 209

WHEREAS, Application No. 1600 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nels G. Severin to construct a residence on the North one-half of Lot 28 and all of Lot 29, Block 23, Bird Rock Addition on Beaumont Street with a 4 foot side yard on each side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

R9231

Filed
City Clerk
Nov. 5 142 PM

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 5, 1942

By George Stephan
Secretary.

Application Received 11/2/42 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/4/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/4/42 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11/4/42
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/5/42 Building Inspector 11/5/42
Planning Commission 11/5/42 Petitioner 11/5/42 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

mentioned above
re: map is hereby amended insofar as they relate to the property
a variance to the provisions of ordinance no. 2351, section 1

side yard on each side
Block 52, City Block Addition on petition filed with a
residence on the corner one-half of lot 25 and all of lot 26
petition is hereby amended to read as follows to conform

RESOLUTION NO. 210

WHEREAS, Application No. 1426 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James E. Brown to slaughter poultry and rabbits at 3117 Imperial Avenue on Lot 5, Block 107, Central Park subject to the following conditions:

1. **Maximum of 10 live chickens to be kept on premises at any one time;**
2. **Maximum of 100 chickens and rabbits to be slaughtered in one week;**
3. **Permit to be revoked if at any time the premises are not kept clean and sanitary.**

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **November 19,** 19**42**

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 10/22/42 By Harry C. Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/27/42 + 11/18/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/29/42 ^{11/4/42} 11/18/42 Hearing date

Decision Conditional approval Date 11/18/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/19/42 Building Inspector 11/19/42

Planning Commission 11/19/42 Petitioner 11/19/42 Health Department 11/19/42

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. _____

- 1. That the Commission of the City of Chicago do hereby approve the application of _____ for a _____
- 2. That the Commission of the City of Chicago do hereby approve the application of _____ for a _____
- 3. That the Commission of the City of Chicago do hereby approve the application of _____ for a _____
- 4. That the Commission of the City of Chicago do hereby approve the application of _____ for a _____

RESOLUTION NO. _____

RESOLUTION NO. 211

WHEREAS, Application No. 1604 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. J. Perry to convert a garage at 3752 Florence Street on Lots 12 and 13, Block 4, Stetson's Addition into living quarters with a 1 foot side yard subject to the following conditions:

- 1. This resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country;
2. An agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Agreement filed
Nov. 20, 1942

George Stephan

Dated November 19, 1942

By Secretary.

Application Received 11/14/42 By P. D. Burton
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/17/42 By P. D. Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/18/42 Hearing date _____
 Decision Conditional Approval Date 11/18/42
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/19/42 Building Inspector 11/19/42
 Planning Commission 11/19/42 Petitioner 11/19/42 Health Department _____
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

(Faint, mostly illegible text, possibly a section header or introductory paragraph)

(Faint text, possibly a numbered list item)

(Faint text, possibly a numbered list item)

(Faint text, possibly a section header or paragraph)

(Large block of very faint, illegible text, likely the body of the resolution or a report)

RESOLUTION NO. _____

RESOLUTION NO. 212

WHEREAS, Application No. 1611 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. Alcorn, Jr. to make an addition to an existing porch at 1244 Emerald Street, Lot 15, Block E, Congress Heights with a 15 foot rear yard subject to the following conditions:

1. This resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country, when material is available for other building;
2. An agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

*Agreement filed
Nov. 30, 1942*

George Stephan

Dated November 25, 1942.

By Secretary.

Application Received 11/23/42 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/24/42 By Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/25/42 Hearing date 11/25/42

Decision Conditional Approval Date 11/25/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/25/42 Building Inspector 11/27/42

Planning Commission 11/27/42 Petitioner 11/27/42 Health Department

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

120.

77731

RESOLUTION NO. _____

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

The appeal of Chas. C. Morrison, 1821 Frankfort Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting application No. 1590 by Resolution No. 213, to permit Otto S. Kolbeck, William C. Bowlen and George K. Horner to construct a private stable to house not more than six horses at Ashton and Galveston Streets on the south one-half of Lot 125, Morena, be, and it is hereby denied, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said decision of the Zoning Committee, be, and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 77731
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council _____

DEC 15 1942

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk

By _____
AUGUST M. WADSTROM
Deputy

77731

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

The appeal of Cass. C. Morrison, 1821 Franklin Street, from the decision of the Board of Public Works in Resolution No. 1590 by Resolution No. 213, to George K. Hornor to purchase six horses at Ashton and Morrison, be and it is hereby

INTENTIONALLY

LEFT

BLANK

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

77731

the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 213 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk

ALBERT W. ASTROM
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 213, extended by Res 2387

WHEREAS, Application No. 1590 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Otto S. Kolbeck, William C. Bowlen, and George K. Horner to construct a private stable to house not more than 6 horses at Ashton and Galveston Streets on the South one-half of Lot 125, Morena, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. This resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country;
2. Plans and specifications to be submitted to and approved by the Zoning Committee;
3. Permit revoked if and when in the opinion it constitutes a hindrance to residential development in the neighborhood;
4. Building to be properly painted;
5. An agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

agreement filed June 14, 1943

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan

Dated November 27, 1942

By Secretary

Application Received 11/9/42 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/18/42 + 11/25/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/18/42 Hearing date 11/18/42
Decision # Conditional Approval Date 11/25/42
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/27/42 Building Inspector 11/27/42
Planning Commission 11/27/42 Petitioner 11/27/42 Health Department 11/27/42
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 12/1/42 Council Hearing, date 12/15/42
Decision of Council Decision of Z.C. sustained Date 12/15/42
Resolution becomes effective 12/15/42
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

COPIES OF RESOLUTION NO. 213 MAILED November 27, 1942 to:

- Mr. A. Downs - 1243 - 10th Street
- Mr. Ray Pearson - 4256 Napier Street
- Mr. Edward Van Dusen - 1831 Goldfield Street
- Mr. J. H. Shaw - 3333 Yonge Street
- Mrs. Florence Warner - 1847 Goldfield Street
- Mr. C. C. Morrison - 1821 Frankfort Street

RESOLUTION NO. 214

WHEREAS, Application No. 1618 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John A. Crawford to make a 12 ft. by 18 ft. addition to an existing residence at 4020 Utah Street on Lots 29 and 30, Block 167, University Heights, with a three foot side yard; addition to conform with ordinance.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan (handwritten signature)

Dated December 10, 1942

By Secretary.

Application Received 12/4/42 By Case
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/9/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/9/42 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12/9/42
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/10/42 Building Inspector 12/10/42
Planning Commission 12/10/42 Petitioner 12/10/42 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 215

WHEREAS, Application No. 1613 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert G. Thompson to alter playroom into a living unit providing the kitchen in the servant's quarters is converted into a dressing room at 515 San Fernando Street on Lot 2, and the westerly 25 feet of Lot 3, Block 151, La Playa and both structures to be used for members of the family only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 10, 1942

By George Stephan Secretary

Application Received 11/25/42 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/25/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/25/42 Hearing date 12/2/42

Decision Amended Petition Approved Date 12/9/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/10/42 Building Inspector 12/10/42

Planning Commission 12/10/42 Petitioner 12/10/42 Health Department

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[The following text is mirrored and difficult to read due to the reverse-side bleed-through of the document's original content.]

RESOLUTION NO. 216

WHEREAS, Application No. 1612 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Curtis Graham to build and operate an auto wrecking yard at 3698 Main Street on the rear half of Lots 21 to 24, Block 254, Hoel's Addition, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George P. [Signature]

Dated December 17, 1942

By Secretary.

Application Received 12/3/42 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/16/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/9/42 Hearing date 12/16/42

Decision denied Date 12/16/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/17/42 Building Inspector 12/17/42

Planning Commission 12/17/42 Petitioner 12/17/42 Health Department 12/17/42

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

to the property mentioned above.
no. 12345, and to hereby certify that the same
application for a variance to the regulations of ordinance
numbered _____
is to be held in abeyance until the time that the
applicant has made such arrangements as may be required
for the location of the same and observe the same

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 217

TENTATIVE APPROVAL OF TRAILER CAMP

WHEREAS, Application No. **1520** has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That tentative and conditional approval be given by the Zoning Committee to the petition No. 1520 of L. A. Lane and accompanying plan subject to the following conditions:

1. That when and if the following improvements are installed and the following conditions fully complied with the Zoning Committee will grant a Resolution of Property use for a 52 unit trailer camp on Lots 1 to 10 and fraction of Lots 39 to 48, Block 21, Reed and Hubbell's Addition.
2. The requirements of Ordinance No. 2584 to be strictly adhered to including sanitary requirements that each trailer space be provided with proper connections to the sewer system.
3. That all driveways be properly surfaced;
4. That the property be fenced.
5. It is understood that the conditions mentioned above will be complied with, within 3 months.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **December 17,**....., 19**42**

By.....
George Stephan
Secretary.

Application Received 11/18/42 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/24/42 - 12/9/42 By Burton + Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/25/42 - 12/9/42 Hearing date 12/16/42
Decision Tentative approval Date 12/16/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/17/42 Building Inspector 12/17/42
Planning Commission 12/17/42 Petitioner 12/17/42 Health Department 12/17/42

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text bleed-through from the reverse side of the page, including phrases like "The following conditions..."]

[Faint, mirrored text bleed-through from the reverse side of the page, including phrases like "The following conditions..."]

RESOLUTION NO. 218

WHEREAS, Application No. 1634 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard F. Seifert to remodel a store building at 2747 University Avenue on Lots 39 to 42, Block 62, Park Villas, into living quarters with 4 feet between dwellings, until six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 24, 1942

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 12/23/42 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/23/42 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/23/42 Hearing date _____
Date _____

Decision Approved Date 12/23/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/24/42 Building Inspector 12/28/42

Planning Commission 12/28/42 Petitioner 12/24/42 Health Department 12/28/42

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 219

TENTATIVE APPROVAL OF TRAILER CAMP

WHEREAS, Application No. 1581 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That tentative and conditional approval be given by the Zoning Committee to the petition No. 1581 of Thomas J. Golden and accompanying plan subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That when and if the following improvement is installed and the following condition fully complied with the Zoning Committee will grant a Resolution of Property use for a 2 unit trailer camp on Lots 46 to 48, Block 287, Seaman and Choates Addition until six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country.
2. The requirements of Ordinance No. 2584 to be strictly adhered to including sanitary requirements that each trailer space be provided with proper connections to the sewer system.
3. It is understood that the condition mentioned above will be complied with, within 60 days.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan (handwritten signature)

Dated December 24, 1942

By Secretary.

Secretary.

Application Received 11/20/42 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/8/42 By Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/25/42 - 12/2/42 - 12/9/42 Hearing date 12/23/42

Decision Approved Conditionally Date 12/23/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/24/42 Building Inspector 12/28/42

Planning Commission 12/28/42 Petitioner 12/24/42 Health Department 12/28/42

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, including the words 'RESOLUTION NO.' at the bottom.]

RESOLUTION NO.

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 220

WHEREAS, Application No. 1636 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Krandill Company to construct a four (4) unit apartment with a 7.3 foot setback from the front property line on Boundary Street on Lot 2, Block 1, West Teralta.

The provisions of Section 3, Ordinance No. 12321 are hereby conditionally suspended insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan

Dated December 24, 1942

By.....

Secretary.

Application Received 12/23/42 By Quik
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/23/42 By Quik + Birkel
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/23/42 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 12/23/42
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/24/42 Building Inspector 12/28/42
 Planning Commission 12/28/42 Petitioner 12/24/42 Health Department 12/28/42
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO

RESOLUTION NO. 221

WHEREAS, Application No. 1635 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Petronovich to move a 20 unit auto court to the north west corner of 28th and Main Streets on Lots 41 to 48, Block 19, Reed & Hubbell's Addition. It is understood that the premises will be suitably landscaped and buildings and grounds kept in first class condition at all times.

A conditional variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 24, 1942

By *George Heskay*
Secretary.

rel to 14

Application Received 12/23/42 By Riik
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/23/42 By Riik + Birkel
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/23/42 Hearing date 12/23/42

Decision Approved Date 12/23/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/24/42 Building Inspector 12/28/42

Planning Commission 12/28/42 Petitioner 12/24/42 Health Department 12/28/42

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

RESOLUTION NO. 222

WHEREAS, Application No. 1606 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of C. A. Benner to move a storage shed and make an addition thereto, at 1708 Glenwood Drive on Lot 7, Glenwood Terrace with a 6 foot rear yard, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Dated December 31, 1942

Application Received 12/17/42 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/23/42 - 12/28/42 By Zoning Committee + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/23 + 12/30 Hearing date _____
Decision denied Date 12/30/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/31/42 Building Inspector 12/31/42
Planning Commission 12/31/42 Petitioner 12/31/42 Health Department 12/31/42

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

RESOLUTION NO. 223

WHEREAS, Application No. 1633 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are **no** special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would **not** work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of E. F. Lucas to construct an apartment above an existing garage at 3449 Cooper Street on Lot 6, Block F, Carmel Heights Extension with an 18 inch side yard, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 31, 1942

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 12/23/42 By Rick
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/23/42 - 12/29/42 By Zoning Committee + Haelsig
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/23 + 12/30 Hearing date 12/30/42
 Decision denied Date 12/30/42

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/31/42 Building Inspector 12/31/42
 Planning Commission 12/31/42 Petitioner 12/31/42 Health Department

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

the property mentioned above
 section of the city of San Diego
 application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100

property owned
 situated on the corner of the intersection of the
 streets of _____ and _____, Block 1, District
 the location of E. E. _____

Therefore, the Board of the Zoning Commission of the City of San Diego, California,
 of San Diego

that the granting of the variance will _____
 the public interest in the neighborhood

of the same zone and district
 of the City of San Diego, California

and _____
 of the City of San Diego, California

of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented in support of the
 application, and the evidence presented in support of the application

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 224 (See Res. 2427)

WHEREAS, Application No. 1644 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will Not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Purl Purdy to maintain as sleeping quarters two auxiliary buildings at 3590 - 5th Avenue on Lot 5, Block 13, Brookes Addition, recently converted; the south building with a 2 ft. side yard and required rear yard and make an 8' x 14' addition thereto, with a 2 ft. side yard; the north building with a 9 ft. rear yard and the required side yard, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the premises be cleaned up and kept in first class condition at all times;
2. That all regulations of the Building Department and Fire Department be complied with;
3. The rooms are to be used for sleeping rooms only with no kitchens;
4. This permit is for the present owner Mrs. Purl Purdy only;
5. This resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country;
6. An agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement filed Jan. 15, 1943

Recorded 1/23/43 # 4828

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated January 14, 1943

By [Signature] Secretary.

Application Received 1/11/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/8/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/13/43 Hearing date _____
Decision Conditional Approval Date 1/13/43
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/14/43 Building Inspector 1/14/43
Planning Commission 1/14/43 Petitioner 1/15/43 Health Department 1/14/43
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 225

WHEREAS, Application No. 1640 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ada Kettunen to construct or move a residence on the East 417.22 ft. of Villa Lot 164, Normal Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated January 21, 1943

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 1/11/43 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/13/43 Hearing date 1/20/43
Decision Approved Date 1/20/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/21/43 Building Inspector 1/21/43
Planning Commission 1/21/43 Petitioner 1/21/43 Health Department 1/21/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

1/21/43

RESOLUTION NO. 226

WHEREAS, Application No. 1648 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. E. Daniel to remodel an existing sleeping room in the rear of 4144 Kansas Street on Lots 35 and 36, Block 153, University Heights, into living quarters, with a 1 ft. side yard and install a bath room, subject to the following conditions:

1. That all regulations of the Building Department be complied with;
2. This resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country;
3. An agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

*agreement filed
Jan. 26, 1943*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan

Dated January 21,....., 1943..

By.....
Secretary.

Application Received 1/18/43 By Stahn
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/19/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/20/43 Hearing date _____
Decision Conditional approval Date 1/20/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/21/43 Building Inspector 1/21/43

Planning Commission 1/21/43 Petitioner 1/21/43 Health Department 1/21/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

NEGOTIATION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 227

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 1645 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ^{not}.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ^{not}.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ^{not}.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. S. and Marjorie Dail to remodel the second floor of a store building at 3850 - 10th Avenue on Lot 10, Block 1, La Canyon Villa Tract into four apartments with a 0' side yard and a lot coverage not to exceed 75% subject to the following conditions:

1. That the alterations conform to the Building Code;
2. This resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country;
3. An agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan

Dated January 21, 1943

By.....
Secretary.

Application Received 1/18/43 By Haelsig
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/20/43 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/20/43 Hearing date _____
 Decision Conditional Approval Date 1/20/43
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/21/43 Building Inspector 1/21/43
 Planning Commission 1/21/43 Petitioner 1/21/43 Health Department 1/21/43
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 228

WHEREAS, Application No. 1649 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. L. L. Laugeson and Pearl E. Steiger to convert a store at 4639 El Cajon Boulevard on Lot 3, Block 4, Chester Park Addition into living quarters with 0 ft. side yard, subject to the following conditions:

1. That all regulations of the Building Department be complied with;
2. This resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country;
3. An agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Dated *January 21*, 1943

*agreement filed
Jan. 25, 1943*

Application Received 1/18/43 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/19/43 + 1/20/43 By Burton + Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/20/43 Hearing date

Decision Conditional Approval Date 1/20/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/21/43 Building Inspector 1/21/43

Planning Commission 1/21/43 Petitioner 1/21/43 Health Department 1/21/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Decision of Council

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Time limit extended to

Continued to

Date of action

O.K.

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 229

WHEREAS, Application No. 1650 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James P. and Adda E. Conners to construct a residence and garage on Glenfield Street, on Lot 6, Block 1, Lexington Park with a 10 foot setback, in accordance with plans to be approved by the Planning Department.

The provisions of Section 3, Ordinance No. 12321 are hereby suspended insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan

Dated January 21, 1943

By Secretary.

Application Received 1/20/43 By ~~Stanton~~ Harry Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/20/43 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved, Cond'l Date 1/20/43
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/21/43 Building Inspector 1/21/43
Planning Commission 1/21/43 Petitioner _____ Health Department 1/21/43
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 230

WHEREAS, Application No. 1658 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. Karn to remodel existing building at 2066-72 - 4th Avenue on Lot L, Block 241, Horton's Addition into 8 apartments with a 7 foot rear yard and a 7 1/2 foot side yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan

Secretary.

Dated January 28, 1943

By.....

Application Received 1/27/43 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/27/43 By Burton + Buik
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/27/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 1/27/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/29/43 Building Inspector 1/29/43

Planning Commission 1/29/43 Petitioner 1/29/43 Health Department 1/29/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Decision of Council

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Time limit extended to

Continued to

Date of action

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 231

WHEREAS, Application No. **1651** has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to California Laundry, Inc. and W. H. Poschman to erect and operate a 50 ft. by 100 ft. addition to an existing laundry on the west side of 19th Street between "B" and "C" Streets on Lot 3, Block 11, Gardner's Addition, total employee's to number less than 100.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **February 4,**....., 19**43**.....

By.....
George Stephan
Secretary.

8K

RESOLUTION NO. 232

WHEREAS, Application No. 1627 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to S. D. Jones to move a residence on a portion of Lots 42 to 45, Block 125, San Diego Land & Town Co's. Addition, 1006 South 26th Street with a 6 foot rear yard for a portion of the building, subject to the following conditions:

1. This resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country;
2. An agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan
Secretary.

*agreement filed
Feb. 8, 1943*

Dated February 4, 1943

By.....

Application Received 1/29/43 By Pete Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/2/43 - 2/3/43 By Burton + Riek
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/3/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved, conditionally Date 2/3/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/5/43 Building Inspector 2/5/43

Planning Commission 2/5/43 Petitioner 2/5/43 Health Department 2/5/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 233

WHEREAS, Application No. 1550 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. F. Ingram to erect and operate a 4 unit auto court at 5128 Voltaire Street on Lots 7 and 8, Block 105, Wonderland Beach in accordance with plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated February 5, 1943.

By George Stephan Secretary.

Application Received 2/3/43 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made R 2/3/43 By Riik
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/3/43 Hearing date _____
Date _____

Decision Approved Date 2/3/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/5/43 Building Inspector 2/5/43

Planning Commission 2/5/43 Petitioner 2/5/43 Health Department 2/5/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

- Resolved:
- That the planning of the ordinance will be such as to protect the public health of the City of San Diego and the interests of the community in the neighborhood...
 - That the planning of the ordinance will be such as to protect the public health of the City of San Diego and the interests of the community in the neighborhood...
 - That the planning of the ordinance will be such as to protect the public health of the City of San Diego and the interests of the community in the neighborhood...

RESOLUTION NO. 234

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 1669 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Emanuel Mouzas to build and operate a 5 unit apartment building on Lots 29 to 31, Block 5, Breed and Chase, 2418 "E" Street with a rear yard of 10 feet, the end strip of which apartment is hereby permitted to extend over the required 6 ft. space between the apartment and closest bungalow on said property not to exceed 2 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated February 11, 1943

By *George Stephen*
Secretary.

Application Received 2/10/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/10/43 By Rick
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/10/43 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 2/10/43
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/11/43 Building Inspector 2/11/43
Planning Commission 2/11/43 Petitioner 2/11/43 Health Department 2/11/43
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 235

WHEREAS, Application No. 1663 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are No special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Edward Felt to operate a two-unit trailer park at 1549 India Street on Lot 2, Block 26, Middletown is hereby denied.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan

Dated February 17, 1943.

By Secretary.

Application Received 2/6/43 By Rick
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/9/43 By Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/10/43+2/17/43 Hearing date _____
 Decision Denied Date 2/17/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/20/43 Building Inspector 2/20/43
 Planning Commission 2/20/43 Petitioner 2/20/43 Health Department 2/20/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 2/23/43 Council Hearing, date 3/9/43
 Decision of Council Mr. Felt withdrew Date his appeal

Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

DENIED RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 1664 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is not necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
- 2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
- 3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot 2 Block 26
 Subdivision Middletown
1549 India Street Edward Felt

not may/be used for the erection and operation of a two-unit Auto Trailer Park

~~subject to the following conditions:~~

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
 City of San Diego, California

By George Stephan
 Secretary

Dated February 17, 1943

Application Received 2/6/43 By Riek
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/9/43 By Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/10/43 + 2/17/43 Hearing date _____
 Decision denied Date 2/17/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/20/43 Building Inspector 2/20/43
 Planning Commission 2/20/43 Petitioner 2/20/43 Health Department 2/20/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 2/23/43 Council Hearing, date 3/9/43

Decision of Council Mr. Felt withdrew Dale's appeal

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

100 0 100-1000 1000 1000 1000

1000 1000 1000

1000 1000

5

1000 1000

REPRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 237

WHEREAS, Application No. 1667 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy E. Dodson to build a two unit apartment over an existing garage at the rear of 4611 - 31st Street on Lot 14, Block 35, Normal Heights, with a rear yard of 7½ feet, measured to the center line of the alley.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated...February 25....., 1943..

By.....

George Stephan
Secretary.

Application Received 2/11/43 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/17/43 By Rick
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/17/43 Hearing date 2/24/43
Decision Approved Date 2/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/25/43 Building Inspector 2/25/43
Planning Commission 2/25/43 Petitioner 2/25/43 Health Department 2/25/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 238

WHEREAS, Application No. 1679 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dana G. and Jean V. Stevens to make an addition of bath and laundry room at 2525 First Avenue on Lot C, Block 287, Horton's Addition, with a rear yard of 3 feet and to convert one room from a bedroom into a kitchen with a 0 foot side yard; this resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country and an agreement to comply with this condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

*agreement filed
March 3, 1943*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan

Secretary.

Dated February 25, 1943

By.....

Application Received 2/24/43 By Rick
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/24/43 By Rick + Crawford
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/24/43 Hearing date _____
 Decision Conditional Approval Date 2/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/25/43 Building Inspector 2/25/43
 Planning Commission 2/25/43 Petitioner 2/25/43 Health Department 2/25/43
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 239

WHEREAS, Application No. 1681 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Florence O'Connor to alter an existing residence at 1750 Sixth Avenue on the South 10 feet of the East 30 feet of Lot B; East 30 feet of Lot C; Lot J and the South 10 feet of Lot K, all in Block 215, Horton's Addition into a duplex with a 5 foot rear yard subject to the approval of the Health Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan

Dated February 25, 1943

By Secretary

Application Received 2/24/43 By Riik
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/24/43 By Riik and Crawford
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/24/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Conditional Approval Date 2/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/25/43 Building Inspector 2/25/43

Planning Commission 2/25/43 Petitioner 2/25/43 Health Department 2/25/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 240, extended by Res. 2370

WHEREAS, Application No. 1647 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alexander Murray for a zone variance to alter a portion of an existing garage at 1802 Beryl Street on Lot 12, G. M. Doty's Addition into a bedroom, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The owner shall obtain the approval of the Health Department;
2. This resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country;
3. An agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

agreement filed March 18, 1943

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated February 25, 1943

By George Stephan Secretary

Application Received 1/20/43 By Burton
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/2/43 By Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/3/43 Hearing date 2/17/43
 Decision Conditional approval Date 2/24/43
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/25/43 Building Inspector 2/25/43
 Planning Commission 2/25/43 Petitioner 2/25/43 Health Department 2/25/43
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

6/30/47
 Mrs.
 continue as 1st Am. Will submit letter
 say they want 5

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 1646 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is ----- necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
- 2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
- 3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lots 13 and 14 Block 2

Subdivision La Mesa Colony

North West corner of 68th & El Cajon Boulevard

Phil H. Doughty

may be used for the erection and operation of a 24 unit Auto Court

subject to the following conditions according to plans approved by the City

Planning Department.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

George Stephan

By _____
Secretary

Dated February 25, 1943

Application Received 2/20/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/24/43 By Riek + Crawford
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/24/43 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 2/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/25/43 Building Inspector 2/25/43
Planning Commission 2/25/43 Petitioner 2/25/43 Health Department 2/25/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 1659 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot a 25 to 31 Block 262

Subdivision Guion's Addition

H. C. Shreve, Southwest corner of Cottonwood & Vesta Streets

may be used for the erection and operation of a 17 unit auto court

subject to the following conditions that the above described property be kept
in first class condition at all times; the cottages will be kept
in a group and not sold separately and an agreement to comply with
the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of
record.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

George Stephan

Dated March 4, 1943

By _____
Secretary

Application Received 2/18/43 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made Burton + Z.C. By 2/23 + 24/43
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/24/43 Hearing date 3/3/43
Date 3/3/43

Decision Conditional Approval Building Inspector 3/4/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/4/43 Health Department 3/4/43
Planning Commission 3/4/43 Petitioner 3/4/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

01

RESOLUTION NO. 243

WHEREAS, Application No. 1660 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. C. O. Patterson to erect and operate a second residence at 4796 Panorama Drive on Villa Lot 383, except the south 5 feet and except Metes and Bounds to Waterman, said parcel being 104.88 feet on the northerly line; 97.17 feet on the easterly line; 71.99 feet on the westerly line and 99.83 feet on the southerly line, Valle Vista Terrace.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 148 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan (handwritten signature)

Dated March 18, 1943

By Secretary.

Application Received 3/10/43 By Burtan
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/17/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/17/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 3/17/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/18/43 Building Inspector 3/18/43

Planning Commission 3/18/43 Petitioner 3/18/43 Health Department 3/18/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

01 ←

RESOLUTION NO. 2114

WHEREAS, Application No. 1579 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. M. Kendall to erect a garage and storage building, 20 x 24 feet at 42nd Street, south of C Street on a portion of Lot 25, Ex-Mission Lands of San Diego, with no residence on the lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan

Dated March 18, 1943

By Secretary.

Application Received 3/8/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/9/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/17/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 3/17/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/18/43 Building Inspector 3/18/43

Planning Commission 3/18/43 Petitioner 3/18/43 Health Department 3/18/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.
RESOLUTION NO. 245

WHEREAS, Application No. 1697 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Victor Pitcock to extend a chimney 17 inches into the required 3 foot side yard at 3411 Nile Street on Lots 9 and 10, Block 16, City Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated March 18, 1943.

By *Georg Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 3/15/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/17/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/17/43 Hearing date _____
Date 3/17/43

Decision Approved Date 3/17/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/18/43 Building Inspector 3/18/43

Planning Commission 3/18/43 Petitioner 3/18/43 Health Department 3/18/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 246

WHEREAS, Application No. 1685 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Olaf Nelson and John Hertel to use Lot G. Block 7, Central Homestead located adjacent and south of 401 South 33rd Street, for the commercial raising of poultry and rabbits, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. This resolution shall expire at the end of five years;
2. At the expiration of this resolution the owner will eliminate all buildings on this property and all the non-conforming buildings on the adjacent property;
3. An agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

N. Olson view

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

agreement filed March 22, 1943 #222

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan Secretary.

Dated March 18, 1943, 19.....

By.....

Application Received 3/16/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/17/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/17/43 Hearing date

Decision Conditional Approval Date 3/17/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/18/43 Building Inspector 3/18/43

Planning Commission 3/18/43 Petitioner 3/18/43 Health Department 3/18/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO

RESOLUTION NO. 247

WHEREAS, Application No. 1677 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not...materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...not...be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not...adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sam J. Bailey to remodel an existing garage at 2957 "K" Street on Lots 11, 12 and 13, Block 79, Power's Subdivision, into living quarters with a 0 foot side yard; this resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country and an agreement to comply with this condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan
Secretary.

Dated March 18, 1943

*agreement filed
march 25, 1943*

Application Received 3/13/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/17/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/17/43 Hearing date

Decision Conditional Approval Date 3/17/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/18/43 Building Inspector 3/18/43

Planning Commission 3/18/43 Petitioner 3/18/43 Health Department 3/18/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Decision of Council

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Time limit extended to

o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 248

WHEREAS, Application No. 1693 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California; as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frances Nauman to convert a service station at 2985 "C" Street on the north 70 feet of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4, Block 87, E. W. Morse Subdivision into a fruit and vegetable market subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the premises will be kept clean and in first class condition at all times;
- 2. This resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country;
- 3. An agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

*agreement filed
April 13, 1943*

Dated March 25, 1943

By George Stephan
Secretary.

Application Received 3/16/43 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/18/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/17/43 Hearing date 3/24/43

Decision Conditional Approval Date 2/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/25/43 Building Inspector 3/25/43

Planning Commission 3/25/43 Petitioner 3/25/43 Health Department 3/25/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 249

WHEREAS, Application No. 1687 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Franklin W. Hall to alter an existing garage at 3225-35 Bramson Place on the Westerly 62 ft. of the Northerly 151.75 ft. of Lot 16, Block G, Teralta into two living units with no side yard on the west side; this resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country and an agreement to comply with this condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

agreement filed march 26, 43

Dated **March 25, 1943**, 19.....

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 3/5/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/24/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/17/43 Hearing date 3/24/43

Decision Conditional Approval Date 3/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/25/43 Building Inspector 3/25/43

Planning Commission 3/25/43 Petitioner 3/25/43 Health Department 3/25/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Resolution No. _____

Resolution No. _____

RESOLUTION NO. _____

D.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 250

See Res. 262.

WHEREAS, Application No. 1702 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Rebecca Craft to alter an existing store building at 2877 Imperial Avenue on the northerly 100 feet of Lots 1 and 2 and the northerly 100 feet of the easterly 8 feet of Lot 3, Block 3, Reed's Central Addition into living quarters, 4 feet 8 inches from adjoining living unit instead of 6 feet as required by law; this resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country and an agreement to comply with this condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

See Res. 262 for agreement file date

Dated March 25, 1943.

By George Stephan
Secretary.

Application Received 3/24/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/24/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/24/43 Hearing date

Decision Conditional Approval Date 3/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/25/43 Building Inspector 3/25/43

Planning Commission 3/25/43 Petitioner 3/25/43 Health Department 3/25/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Decision of Council

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Time limit extended to

O.K.

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 251

WHEREAS, Application No. 1703 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. H. Bridgford to build a pergola at 2140 Upas Street on the easterly 50 feet of Lots 8 to 12, Block 20, Pauly's Addition with no side yard, within the rear 50 feet of the lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated March 25, 1943

By George Stephan Secretary

Application Received 3/22/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/24/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/24/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 3/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/25/43 Building Inspector 3/25/43

Planning Commission 3/25/43 Petitioner 3/25/43 Health Department 3/25/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

mentioned above.
re' and in several instances thereof as they relate to the proposed
a variance to the provisions of ordinance no. 9251, section 2,
the less 20 feet of the lot.
to 15' from 20' length, a variation with no other change, within
bounds of 20' from street on the easterly 20 feet of lot
petitioner to hereby request to H. H. Blyden to bring a

o.k.

014

RESOLUTION NO. 252

WHEREAS, Application No. 1680 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bernard and Florence M. Maloney and Veteran's Welfare Board of California to maintain second story living quarters over an existing garage at 3229 Elliott Street on Lot 3, Block C, Chatsworth Terrace Annex with no side yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated March 25, 1943

By George Stephan Secretary

Application Received 3/23/43 By Riik
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/24/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/24/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 3/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/25/43 Building Inspector 3/25/43

Planning Commission 3/25/43 Petitioner 3/25/43 Health Department 3/25/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

mentioned above.
The purpose of this resolution is to amend the zoning ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois, to provide for the establishment of a new zoning district in the area bounded by _____

and _____
The proposed zoning district is to be known as the _____ and is to be located in the area bounded by _____

and _____
The purpose of this resolution is to amend the zoning ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois, to provide for the establishment of a new zoning district in the area bounded by _____

and _____
The proposed zoning district is to be known as the _____ and is to be located in the area bounded by _____

and _____
The purpose of this resolution is to amend the zoning ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois, to provide for the establishment of a new zoning district in the area bounded by _____

and _____
The proposed zoning district is to be known as the _____ and is to be located in the area bounded by _____

and _____
The purpose of this resolution is to amend the zoning ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois, to provide for the establishment of a new zoning district in the area bounded by _____

o.K.

6

RESOLUTION NO. 253 (Extended by Res. #890)

by letter dated

WHEREAS, Application ~~to~~ March 12, 1943 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. H. Baker for an extension of Resolution No. 73701, dated March 25, 1941 permitting a riding stable on Lot 22 Eureka Lemon Tract near Baker Street and on Morena Boulevard subject to the following conditions:

1. Maximum number of horses to be twenty;
2. The extension is for a period of two years;
3. The owner shall obtain the approval of the Health Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated..... March 25,, 19 43.

By..... *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received Letter 3/14/43 By Mail City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/24/43 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/17/43 Hearing date 3/24/43

Decision Conditional extension Date 3/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/25/43 Building Inspector 3/25/43

Planning Commission 3/25/43 Petitioner 3/25/43 Health Department 3/25/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K. OK
RESOLUTION NO. 251

WHEREAS, Application No. **1695** has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glenn Glasford to make an addition to an existing garage at 2904 Date Street on the westerly 45 feet of Lots 10, 11 and 12, Block 39, Seaman & Choate's Addition, plus 10 feet of closed 29th Street and 10 feet of closed Date Street, with a 0 foot sideyard and setback only 3 feet from 29th Street, addition to observe the same setback as the existing garage; this addition is to be used for storage purposes only and will not be used for living quarters. Existing structure at rear of lot shall not be used as living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **April 1,**....., 19**43**

By.....

George Stephan
Secretary.

Application Received 3/13/43 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/24/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/17/43 Hearing date 3/24/43

Decision Approved Conditional Date 3/31/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/1/43 Building Inspector 4/1/43

Planning Commission 4/1/43 Petitioner 4/1/43 Health Department 4/1/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mostly illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 255

WHEREAS, Application No. 1704 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Margaret Hazel and Joseph J. Lewis to convert existing stores at 2044 and 2046 University Avenue on Lots 25 and 26, Block 196, University Heights into a dormitory for six and an apartment unit, with no side yard, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The owners shall obtain the approval of the Health Department;
2. That all regulations of the Building Department be complied with;
3. This resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country;
4. An agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated April 1, 1943

By George Stephan Secretary

Application Received 3/26/43 By Riik
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/31/43 By (Zoning Committee) Birkel & Riik
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/31/43 Hearing date _____
 Decision Conditional Approval Date 3/31/43
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/1/43 Building Inspector 4/1/43
 Planning Commission 4/1/43 Petitioner 4/1/43 Health Department 4/1/43
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

1. The undersigned hereby certifies that the facts stated in the foregoing report are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.
2. This report was prepared by the undersigned on the date and at the place stated herein.
3. The undersigned is duly qualified to make the foregoing report.
4. The undersigned is not a party to the proceedings in which this report is being prepared.
5. The undersigned is not a party to the proceedings in which this report is being prepared.

0. K ,
RESOLUTION NO. 256

WHEREAS, Application No. 1710 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nels G. Severin to erect two residences on 3 lots and fractional 4th lot on Law Street between Dawes and Everts Streets on Lots 14 to 17, Block 112, Pacific Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated: April 1, 1943

By: *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 3/27/43 By In the mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/31/43 By Bikel + Rich
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/31/43 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 3/31/43
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/1/43 Building Inspector 4/1/43
Planning Commission 4/1/43 Petitioner 4/1/43 Health Department 4/1/43
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

mentioned above.
and to hereby planned to be used for the purpose
of a residence for the provisions of Ordinance No. 112, New Section, No.
112210. These
between these and other streets on lots 11 to 13, Block 112,
residence on 2 lots and therefore for lot on the street
residence to hereby planned to be used for other the

RESOLUTION NO. 257

WHEREAS, Application No. 1510 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. Hall Co and William A. Milligan to maintain 4 trailers at 2330 Jefferson Street on the South east 50 feet of Lot 1, Block 517, Old San Diego, subject to approval by the Health Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated April 1, 1943

By George Stephan Secretary

Application Received 9/16/42 By Reick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/16/42 - 9/30/42 - 10/7/42 - 10/14/42 By Zoning Committee + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/6/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Conditional Approval Date 3/31/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/1/43 Building Inspector 4/1/43

Planning Commission 4/1/43 Petitioners 4/1/43 Health Department 4/1/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 258

WHEREAS, Application No. 1694 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George D. and Annie G. Hansen to alter an existing garage at 4411 Park Boulevard on the southerly 2.5 feet of the westerly 100 feet of Lot 16, and the northerly 45 feet of the westerly 100 feet of Lot 17, Block 77, University Heights, into living quarters with no sideyard, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That there will be no kitchen facilities;
2. This resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country;
3. An agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

agreement filed April 8, 1943

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan Secretary

Dated April 1, 1943

By

Application Received: 3/25/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/3/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/31/43 Hearing date

Decision Conditional Approval Date 3/31/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/1/43 Building Inspector 4/1/43

Planning Commission 4/1/43 Petitioner 4/1/43 Health Department 4/1/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: This notice is to advise you that the City Planning Department has received your application for a zoning change. The application will be considered by the Zoning Commission on the date indicated above. You are invited to attend the hearing and present your views on the application. If you do not attend, the Commission will consider the application on the basis of the information provided in the application. The Commission's decision will be final and binding.

- 1. The applicant has failed to provide the required information.
- 2. The applicant has failed to pay the required fee.
- 3. The applicant has failed to provide the required plan.
- 4. The applicant has failed to provide the required report.

FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:
1. The applicant shall provide the required information within the specified time period.
2. The applicant shall pay the required fee within the specified time period.
3. The applicant shall provide the required plan within the specified time period.
4. The applicant shall provide the required report within the specified time period.

0. K.

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 1510 a has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot 1, south east 50 feet Block 517

Subdivision Old San Diego

M. Hall Co. and William A. Milligan

may be used for the erection and operation of 4 unit trailer park

subject to the following conditions approval of the Health Department

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
City of San Diego, California

Signature of Secretary

Dated April 1, 1943

By Secretary

Application Received 9/16/42 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/16/42 - 9/30/42 - 10/7/42 - 10/14/42 By Zoning Committee & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/6/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Conditional Approval Date 3/31/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/1/43 Building Inspector 4/1/43

Planning Commission 4/1/43 Petitioner 4/1/43 Health Department 4/1/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K. 81
RESOLUTION NO. 260.....

WHEREAS, Application No. **1715**.....has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Byron G. and Patricia Ann Lynch to convert an existing garage at 4428 Maryland Street on Lots 31 and 32, Block 82, University Heights into living quarters with an 18 inch sideyard; this resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country and an agreement to comply with this condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan
Secretary.

Agreement filed April 23, 1943
Dated **April 8, 1943**, 19.....

By.....

Application Received 4/5/43 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4/7/43 By Rick
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4/7/43 Hearing date _____
Decision Conditional Approval Date 4/7/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/8/43 Building Inspector 4/8/43

Planning Commission 4/8/43 Petitioner 4/8/43 Health Department 4/8/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 507

O.K. 01

RESOLUTION NO. 261

WHEREAS, Application No. 1713 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hally C. and Lillian C. Christensen to erect a private stable at 4370-52nd Street on Lots 53 and 54, Block 41, Tract 1368 (Fairmount Addition) subject to the following conditions:

1. This stable will house not more than 2 horses;
2. Permit to be revoked if and when in the opinion of the Zoning Committee it is a nuisance or detrimental to the surrounding property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated April 8, 193

By

George Stephan
Secretary.

Application Received 4/3/43 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4/7/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4/7/43 Hearing date _____
Decision Revocable permit Date 4/7/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/8/43 Building Inspector 4/8/43
Planning Commission 4/8/43 Petitioner 4/8/43 Health Department 4/8/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLVED
That the Zoning Commission is hereby authorized to issue a revocable permit for the use of the property described in the following description:
The Zoning Commission is authorized to issue a revocable permit for the use of the property described in the following description:
This permit shall be valid for a period of six months.

and subject to the following conditions:
1. The permit shall be valid for a period of six months.
2. The permit shall be subject to the provisions of the Zoning Ordinance.
3. The permit shall be subject to the provisions of the Health Department.

o.k. RESOLUTION NO. 262

(See Res. No. 250)

WHEREAS, Application No. 1702 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Rebecca Craft to amend Petition No. 1702 and Resolution No. 250, which was granted March 25, 1943, to permit the alteration of an existing store building at 2877 Imperial Avenue on the northerly 100 feet of Lot 1, Block 3, Reed's Central Addition into living quarters, with a 1 foot 8 inch sideyard; this resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country and an agreement to comply with this condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement filed
May 28, 1943*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated April 15, 1943

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

see Res. 250

Application Received 3/24/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/24/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Amended Petition Considered 4/14/43
Considered by Zoning Committee 3/24/43 Hearing date

Decision Conditional Approval Date Amended pet 4/14/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/15/43 Building Inspector 4/15/43

Planning Commission 4/15/43 Petitioner 4/15/43 Health Department 4/15/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

RESOLUTION NO. 263

WHEREAS, Application No. 1705 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles C. Ryder to erect a 16 ft. by 28 ft. addition to an existing cleaning and dyeing plant at 3686 El Cajon Boulevard on Lots 27 and 28, Block 44, W. P. Herbert's Subdivision subject to the following conditions:

1. Maximum number of employees to be thirty;
2. Hours of operation to be confined from 7:00 A.M. to 8:00 P.M.;
3. To be no loading in the street;
4. The addition is to have the same general appearance as the existing building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan

Dated April 15, 1943

By Secretary.

Application Received 4/9/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4/14/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4/14/43 Hearing date _____
Decision Conditional Approval Date 4/14/43
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/15/43 Building Inspector 4/15/43
Planning Commission 4/15/43 Petitioner 4/15/43 Health Department 4/15/43
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1502

To amend Ordinance No. 1502, as amended, to read as follows:

- 1. The maximum number of employees to be employed in the building shall be limited to _____.
- 2. The maximum number of employees to be employed in the building shall be limited to _____.
- 3. The maximum number of employees to be employed in the building shall be limited to _____.
- 4. The maximum number of employees to be employed in the building shall be limited to _____.

That the Commission find that the above conditions are necessary for the health, safety and general welfare of the community.

WHEREAS:

That the Commission find that the above conditions are necessary for the health, safety and general welfare of the community.

AND WHEREAS:

That the Commission find that the above conditions are necessary for the health, safety and general welfare of the community.

That the Commission find that the above conditions are necessary for the health, safety and general welfare of the community.

That the Commission find that the above conditions are necessary for the health, safety and general welfare of the community.

That the Commission find that the above conditions are necessary for the health, safety and general welfare of the community.

That the Commission find that the above conditions are necessary for the health, safety and general welfare of the community.

That the Commission find that the above conditions are necessary for the health, safety and general welfare of the community.

That the Commission find that the above conditions are necessary for the health, safety and general welfare of the community.

That the Commission find that the above conditions are necessary for the health, safety and general welfare of the community.

That the Commission find that the above conditions are necessary for the health, safety and general welfare of the community.

That the Commission find that the above conditions are necessary for the health, safety and general welfare of the community.

That the Commission find that the above conditions are necessary for the health, safety and general welfare of the community.

RESOLUTION NO. 264

WHEREAS, Application No. 1717 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred and Mildred Williams, Jr. to convert a portion of an existing store building at 1477 University Avenue on Lot 9, Block 1, University Place into one apartment with no side yard, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the owners will obtain the approval of the Building Department and the Health Department;
2. This resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country;
3. An agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Secretary (Signature)

Dated April 15, 1943

By

agreement filed 4-20-43

Application Received 4/7/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4/7/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4/7/43 + 4/14/43 Hearing date 4/14/43

Decision Conditional Approval Date 4/14/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/15/43 Building Inspector 4/15/43

Planning Commission 4/15/43 Petitioner 4/15/43 Health Department 4/15/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2074

WHEREAS the applicant has applied for a conditional approval of a change in the zoning classification of the property located at _____

and the Commission has considered the application and the public hearing held thereon and has determined that the proposed change is in the public interest and that the same should be granted on the following conditions:

1. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the character and use of the City of San Diego.
2. That the granting of the variance will not be injurious to the health, safety or morals of the neighborhood and will not be in violation of the public interest.
3. That the granting of the variance will not be in violation of the public interest and will not be injurious to the health, safety or morals of the neighborhood and will not be in violation of the public interest.
4. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the proposed change which are not otherwise provided for in the zoning ordinance.

RESOLUTION NO. 265

FINAL APPROVAL OF TRAILER ~~CAMP~~ PARK

WHEREAS, Application No. 1581 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas J. Golden to operate a 2 unit trailer park at 1007 South 32nd Street on Lots 46 to 48, Block 287, Seaman and Choates Addition until six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated April 15, 1943

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 11/20/42 By Haelsig
 (Final) City Planning Department
 Investigation made 12/8/42 + 4/2/43 By Burton
 City Planning Department
 Considered by Zoning Committee 4/14/43 Hearing date
 Decision Conditional Approval Date 4/14/43
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/15/43 Building Inspector 4/15/43
 Planning Commission 4/15/43 Petitioner 4/15/43 Health Department 4/15/43
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE
FINAL APPROVAL OF TRAILER-CAMP PARK

WHEREAS, Application No. 1581 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
- 2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
- 3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lots 46 to 48 Block 287

Subdivision Seaman and Choates Addition

1007 South 32nd Street

Thomas J. Golden

may be used for the erection and operation of a 2 unit trailer camp PARK

subject to the following conditions that this resolution shall expire six

months after the termination of the present war between the

United States of America and any country.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
City of San Diego, California

Dated April 15, 193

By *George Stephen*
Secretary

Application Received 10/20/42 By Haelsig
 (Final) City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/8/42 + 4/2/43 By Burton
 For final resolution City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4/14/43 Hearing date _____
 Decision Conditional Approval Date 4/14/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/15/43 Building Inspector 4/15/43
 Planning Commission 4/15/43 Petitioner 4/15/43 Health Department 4/15/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND THE COUNTY OF
 MONROE WISE THE FURNITURE OF THE BUREAU AND PERSONS THE
 FROM THIS RESOLUTION WOULD BE THE

ORDER OF THE COURT
 FROM THE COURT

ORDER OF THE COURT

ORDER OF THE COURT

ORDER OF THE COURT

ORDER OF THE COURT

ORDER OF THE COURT

ORDER OF THE COURT

ORDER OF THE COURT

O.K. 6
RESOLUTION NO. 267

WHEREAS, Application No. 1727 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to N. H. Gist to construct one single family residence on a portion of Lot 12 (5.72 acres), Rancho Ex-Mission, having street frontage of 431.11 feet on Dehesa Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated April 22, 1943

By

George Stephan
Secretary.

Application Received 4/15/43 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4/21/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4/21/43 Hearing date _____
Date 4/21/43

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/22/43 Building Inspector 4/22/43

Planning Commission 4/22/43 Petitioner 4/22/43 Health Department 4/22/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 268

WHEREAS, Application No. 1728 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. C. Floyd to convert an existing garage on the South east corner of 20th and "G" Streets on Lot 1, Block 66, Culverwell & Taggart's Addition into an apartment, until six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country, with no side yard, and construct an additional apartment above; the addition will maintain a 4 foot sideyard; an agreement to be signed by the owner and filed of record, to convert the existing garage back to a garage after the war.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

agreement not needed, must to comply

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated April 22, 1943

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 4/20/43 By Haelsig
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 4/19/43 By Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4/21/43 Hearing date _____
 Decision Conditional Approval Date 4/21/43
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/22/43 Building Inspector 4/22/43
 Planning Commission 4/22/43 Petitioner 4/22/43 Health Department 4/22/43
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 269

By letter dated

WHEREAS, Application No. April 19, 1943 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold Von Briesen to convert part of a store building at 2041 University Avenue on Lots 49 and 50, Block 257, University Heights into apartments subject to the following conditions:

- 1. This resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country;
2. An agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

agreement filed May 4, 1943 195 1230

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan Secretary

Dated April 22, 1943

By

Letter Application Received dated 4/19/43 By Mail City Planning Department

Investigation made see Res # 166 By _____ City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4/21/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Conditional Approval Date _____

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/22/43 Building Inspector 4/22/43

Planning Commission 4/22/43 Petitioner 4/22/43 Health Department 4/22/43 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 270

WHEREAS, Application No. 1732 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....**not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....**not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....**not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George W. Custer to construct a 20 ft. by 20 ft. garage at 4100 Pepper Drive on Lot 7, Block 12, Lexington Park for storage purposes only, until the necessary materials can be obtained for the residence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated April 22, 1943

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 4/21/43 By Brick
City Planning Department

Investigation made none By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4/21/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 4/21/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/22/43 Building Inspector 4/22/43

Planning Commission 4/22/43 Petitioner 4/22/43 Health Department 4/22/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 271

WHEREAS, Application No. 1737 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. S. and Maria Oudshoorn to convert 3 store buildings, 4646-52 Park Boulevard on Lots 35, 36 and 37, Block 25, University Heights into four apartments with a 2 foot 1 inch side yard, providing that any building erected in the future on Lot 35 will maintain the required side yard. (3 feet from present building if the new structure is of a commercial nature and 6 feet if it is for residential purposes.)

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated April 29, 1943.

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 4/28/43 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4/28/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4/28/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 4/28/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/29/43 Building Inspector 4/29/43

Planning Commission 4/29/43 Petitioner 4/29/43 Health Department 4/29/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

Resolved by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California
of San Diego

- 1. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.
- 2. That the granting of the variance will not be injurious to the health, safety or morals of the neighborhood.
- 3. That the granting of the variance will not be injurious to the public interest.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not be injurious to the public interest.
- 5. That the granting of the variance will not be injurious to the public interest.

Resolved by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California
The City of San Diego, California, and the Zoning Commission, do hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, on the _____ day of _____, 1943.

RESOLUTION NO. 272, amended by Res. 2398

#437 OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 1740 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rose W. Bruchmann and Sadie E. and Gail H. Marine to build a 14 ft. by 16 ft. addition (more than 8 feet from side lot line) to an existing dwelling, a portion of which is only one foot from the side lot line at 4069 Marlborough Avenue on Lot 7 and the north one-half of Lot 8, Block 43, City Heights subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country, the existing bathroom, located one foot from the property line, will be removed;
2. An agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement filed May 10, 1943

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan

Dated May 6, 1943

By Secretary.

Application Received 5/3/43 By Haelsig
City Planning Department
Investigation made 5/5/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5/5/43 Hearing date _____
Decision Conditional Approval Date 5/5/43
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/6/43 Building Inspector 5/6/43
Planning Commission 5/6/43 Petitioner 5/6/43 Health Department 5/6/43
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

sent
address
a copy

RESOLUTION NO. 273

WHEREAS, Application No. 1759 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen Vance to convert a store building at 2655 Reynard Way on Lot 20, Reynard Hills, into four apartments with a 7 foot rear yard, provided that the rear yard is kept neat and clean at all times and free from trash and other debris.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 6, 1943

By George Stephan Secretary

Application Received 5/4/43 By Haalsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/5/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/5/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5/5/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/6/43 Building Inspector 5/6/43

Planning Commission 5/6/43 Petitioner 5/6/43 Health Department 5/6/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Copy sent to assessor

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 274

WHEREAS, Application No. 1765 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are **no** special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would **not** work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Florence A. Barlow to remodel an existing duplex at 2242 Front Street on Lot J, Block 254, Horton's Addition into four apartments with a 2 foot 6 inch side yard on the north and 5 feet 3 inches between this building and an existing building on the south, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 13, 1943, 19.....

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/11/43 By Haelsig
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/12/43 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/12/43 Hearing date _____
 Decision denied Date 5/12/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/13/43 Building Inspector 5/13/43
 Planning Commission 5/13/43 Petitioner 5/13/43 Health Department 5/13/43 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. _____

RESOLUTION NO. 275

WHEREAS, Application No. 1766 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter Bellon to convert an existing building, with a one and one-half foot side yard at 2969 "E" Street, on Lots 7 and 8; Block 85, E. W. Morse's Subdivision into four apartments.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 13, 1943

By George D. Bishop Secretary.

Application Received 5/11/43 By Haelsig
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/12/43 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/12/43 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 5/12/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/13/43 Building Inspector 5/13/43
 Planning Commission 5/13/43 Petitioner 5/13/43 Health Department 5/13/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 276

WHEREAS, Application No. 1767 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Justin C. Evenson to erect a service building, a storage building and two stable buildings in connection with an existing stable in Mission Valley near 6th Street on a portion of Lot 5, Subdivision of Pueblo Lot 1105.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 13, 1943

By

George D. Shan
Secretary.

Application Received 5/10/43 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/12/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/5 + 5/12/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 5/12/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/13/43 Building Inspector 5/13/43

Planning Commission 5/13/43 Petitioner 5/13/43 Health Department 5/13/43 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 277

WHEREAS, Application No. 1764 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Luberco, Ltd. to erect two residences on three lots, Missouri Street between Noyes and Olney Streets on Lots 24 to 26, Block 131, Pacific Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 13, 1943

By George Stephan Secretary.

Application Received 5/10/43 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/12/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/12/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5/13/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/13/43 Building Inspector 5/13/43

Planning Commission 5/13/43 Petitioner 5/13/43 Health Department 5/13/43 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 278

WHEREAS, Application No. 1769 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas H. Griffiths and Ethel M. Griffiths to construct a 20 ft. x 26 ft. garage, west of 6951 Amherst Street on the easterly 44 feet of Lot K and the westerly 23 feet of Lot L, La Mesa Colony, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the garage be used for storage purposes only and not as living quarters;
2. An agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

agreement
filed May 18, 1943

Dated May 13, 1943

By *Thomas Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/11/43 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/12/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/12/43 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 5/12/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/13/43 Building Inspector 5/13/43

Planning Commission 5/13/43 Petitioner 5/13/43 Health Department 5/13/43 assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 511

RESOLUTION NO. 279

WHEREAS, Application No. 1763 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elizabeth M. Kuphaldt to repair an existing residence at 3612 Wellborn Street on Lots 11 and 12, Block 41, Middletown Addition, with no side yard and a 3 foot rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 13, 1943

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/10/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/11/43 By Burton, Zoning Investigator
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/12/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5/12/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/13/43 Building Inspector 5/13/43

Planning Commission 5/13/43 Petitioner 5/13/43 Health Department 5/13/43 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 5/13/43 Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 280

WHEREAS, Application No. 1739 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ^{not}.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ^{not}.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ^{not}.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kate Carlstrom and Greenman Investment Company, Inc. to move in a residence and raise chickens commercially, west side of Lake Murray Boulevard, approximately 330 feet north of Saranac Street on a portion of Lots 37 and 38, La Mesa Colony; this permit to be revoked if and when in the opinion of the Zoning Committee it constitutes a nuisance or a hindrance to residential development in the neighborhood.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 13, 1943

By *George Kephart*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/3/43 By Stahm
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/5/43 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/5/43 Hearing date 5/12/43
 Decision Approved Date 5/12/43
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/13/43 Building Inspector 5/13/43
 Planning Commission 5/13/43 Petitioner 5/13/43 Health Department 5/13/43 + assessor
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 281

WHEREAS, Application No. 1735 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Emma D. Kirby to enclose an 8 ft. x 10 ft. area between an existing residence and existing garage at 4373 Polk Avenue on Lots 47 and 48, Block 1, City Heights Annex No. 1. (Garage now built to side property line.)

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 13, 1943

By George Stephan Secretary

Application Received 5/5/43 By Haelsig
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/5/43 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/5 + 5/12/43 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 5/12/43
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/13/43 Building Inspector 5/13/43
 Planning Commission 5/13/43 Petitioner 5/13/43 Health Department 5/13/43 assessor
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

G.K.
RESOLUTION NO. 282

WHEREAS, Application No. 1779 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Palmer Bilt Homes to erect one single family residence on portions of Lots 444 and 445, Crown Point, 3316 Crown Point Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 392 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 20, 1943.

By George Stephan
Secretary.

Application Received 5/18/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/19/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/19/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 5/19/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/20/43 Building Inspector 5/20/43

Planning Commission 5/20/43 Petitioner 5/20/43 Health Department 5/20/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 283

WHEREAS, Application No. 1780 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Palmer Bilt Homes to erect 3 single family residences on Lots 423, portions of 424 and 425, all of 426 and 427, 3311-3323-3335 Frontera Street. (each building site to be 75 feet wide). Crown Point Subd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 392 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 20, 1943, 19

By Secretary.

Application Received 5/18/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/19/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/19/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5/29/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/20/43 Building Inspector 5/20/43

Planning Commission 5/20/43 Petitioner 5/20/43 Health Department 5/20/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 284

WHEREAS, Application No. 1778 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Norman A. and Alice M. Peugh to construct a two-bedroom addition to an existing residence at 7249 Jamacha Road on that portion of Lot 12, Ex-Mission Rancho, lying south of Jamacha Road and east of 69th Street, described as follows: the westerly 100 feet of the easterly 200 feet of the northerly 115 feet of the westerly 1698 feet.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 20, 1943

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/17/43 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/19/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/19/43 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 5/19/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/20/43 Building Inspector 5/20/43

Planning Commission 5/20/43 Petitioner 5/20/43 Health Department 5/20/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 285

WHEREAS, Application No. 1772 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. T. Moores to convert a second hand furniture shop on the northwest corner of 30th and Landis Streets on Lot 6, Block 12, West End Addition into two apartments in addition to the existing apartment, with a 4 foot side yard and a 4 foot rear yard, providing the existing two car garage remains.

The variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 20, 1943

By George Stephan Secretary

Application Received 5/13/43 By Stahn
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/19/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/19/43 Hearing date

Decision Conditional Approval Date 5/19/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/20/43 Building Inspector 5/20/43

Planning Commission 5/20/43 Petitioner 5/20/43 Health Department 5/20/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Decision of Council

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Time limit extended to

Continued to

Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1000

RESOLUTION NO. 286

WHEREAS, Application No. 1775 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

(corrected in City Clerk's office 5/21/43)

Permission is hereby granted to Charles C. Crouch to convert a store building on the north east corner of 31st and Madison Streets on Lots 15 and 16 (except the east 45 feet) into 6 apartments with a 5 foot rear yard and 63% coverage, providing an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record, that the north 5 feet of the south 15 feet of Lot 15, adjacent to the building at 3102 Madison Avenue, be left open and unobstructed to the sky at all times to serve as a rear yard for the above mentioned building.

(Normal Heights) 286.35

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Leona Stephan

Dated May 20, 1943

By.....
Secretary.

Application Received 5/17/43 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/19/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/19/43 Hearing date

Decision Conditional Approval Date

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/20/43 Building Inspector 5/20/43

Planning Commission 5/20/43 Petitioner 5/20/43 Health Department 5/20/43 assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Decision of Council

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Time limit extended to

RESOLUTION NO.

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 287

WHEREAS, Application No. 1781 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to U. N. Barkey to construct a triplex on Nautilus Street, west of La Jolla Boulevard on Lots 34, 35 and 36, Block E, South La Jolla.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 27, 1943, 19.....

By George Stephan
Secretary.

Application Received 5/19/43 By Stahm
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/19/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/19/43 Hearing date 5/26/43
Decision Approved Date 5/26/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/27/43 Building Inspector 5/27/43
Planning Commission 5/27/43 Petitioner 5/27/43 Health Department 5/27/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 288

WHEREAS, Application No. 1760 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas and Emma Garfield to build a single apartment over an existing garage at 4074 1/2 - 34th Street on the East 110 feet of Lots 5 and 6, Block 199, City Heights, with a 10 foot rear yard; a 7 foot deck to be left at the rear of the apartment, on the garage, to provide for this rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 27, 1943

By George Stephan Secretary

Application Received 5/8/43 By Burton
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/12/43 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/26/43 Hearing date 5/26/43
 Decision Approved Date 5/26/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/27/43 Building Inspector 5/27/43
 Planning Commission 5/27/43 Petitioner 5/27/43 Health Department 5/27/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 289

WHEREAS, Application No. 1777 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. H. and Laurel Adams to make an addition to an existing house on Manhattan Court and Bayside Walk on a portion of Lot A, Block 137, Mission Beach with a rear yard of 5 feet and a portion of the building on the property line, providing the roof line of the main building will be followed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

George Stephan (handwritten signature)

Dated May 27, 1943

By Secretary

Application Received 5/14/43 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/19/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/19/43 & 5/26/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 5/26/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/27/43 Building Inspector 5/27/43

Planning Commission 5/27/43 Petitioner 5/27/43 Health Department 5/27/43 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 290

WHEREAS, Application No. 1721 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred and Elsie Buschbaum to make a 14 unit addition to an existing 16 unit trailer park at 3747 Midway Drive on a Portion of Pueblo Lot 220, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. A compact evergreen hedge at least three feet high at the time of planting be installed around the property and maintained in first class condition at all times;
2. A five foot fence or wall be constructed around the property;
3. Adequate sanitary facilities and water outlets be installed;
4. All driveways be surfaced with dust resisting material;
5. Proper electrical outlets and lighting be provided;
6. The exterior design of all structures be approved by the Planning Commission;
7. That any permits granted be for a period of time not to exceed five years;
8. Revocable with the stipulation that the work be started within fifteen days and completed within sixty days.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 27, 1943, 19

By [Signature] Secretary.

Application Received 5/6/43 By Neff
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/11/43 By Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/2/43 + 5/26/43 Hearing date _____
 Decision Conditional approval Date 5/26/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/27/43 Building Inspector 5/27/43
 Planning Commission 5/27/43 Petitioner 5/27/43 Health Department 5/27/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Mr. R. R. Bostick
 5289 Conestoga Dr
 R 7781

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 1720 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot Portion of P.L. 220 Block ---

Subdivision - - - - -

Fred and Elsie Buschbaum, 3747 Midway Drive

may be used for the erection and operation of a 14 unit addition to an existing 16 unit trailer park;

subject to the following conditions (1) A compact evergreen hedge at least three feet high at the time of planting be installed around the property and maintained in first class condition at all times; (2) A five foot fence or wall be constructed around the property; (3) Adequate sanitary facilities and water outlets be installed; (4) All driveways be surfaced with dust resisting material; (5) Proper electrical outlets and lighting be provided; (6) the exterior design of all structures be approved by the Planning Commission; (7) That any permits granted be for a period of time not to exceed five years; (8) Revocable with the stipulation that the work be started within fifteen days and completed within sixty days.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

George Stephan Secretary

Dated May 27, 1943

By Secretary

Application Received 5/6/43 By Neff
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/11/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/12/43 + 5/26/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Conditionally Date 5/26/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/27/43 Building Inspector 5/27/43

Planning Commission 5/27/43 Petitioner 5/27/43 Health Department 5/27/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text and markings on the lower half of the page, possibly bleed-through or ghosting from the reverse side.]

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 292

WHEREAS, Application No. 1701 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Schiefer & Sons to erect a utility shed at 3780 Swift Avenue on Lots 2, 3 and 4, Block 189, City Heights, to be used in connection with a main building used for light manufacturing, subject to the following conditions:

1. The plant shall not operate before 7:00 A.M. or after 8:00 P.M.
2. The power machines shall not be increased over 13 Horse-power.
3. The maximum number of employees shall not exceed 40 persons.
4. That six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country the utility shed shall be removed.
5. Utility shed to be used for storage purposes only.
6. Granting of this resolution does not determine that the applicant has non-conforming rights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 3, 1943

Joseph H. ...
Secretary.

3/22/43 + returned to Schiefer + Son to be filled out.

Application Received Re-submitted 5/24/43 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/31/43 + 5/26/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/26/43 Hearing date 6/2/43

Decision Conditional Approval Date 6/2/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/3/43 Building Inspector 6/3/43

Planning Commission 6/3/43 Petitioner 6/3/43 Health Department 6/3/43 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 293

WHEREAS, Application No. 1790 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ora D. and Myrtle J. Ginther to remodel a portion of an existing store at 3884 - 43rd Street on the East 62½ feet of Lots 45 to 48, Block 48, City Heights into living quarters with a 0 ft. side yard and a 0 ft. rear yard and with 86% coverage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 3, 1943

By *Leona Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/28/43 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/2/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/2/43 Hearing date _____
Date 6/2/43

Decision Approved _____
Date 6/2/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/3/43 Building Inspector 6/3/43

Planning Commission 6/3/43 Petitioner 6/3/43 Health Department 6/3/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 294

WHEREAS, Application No. 1791 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....^{not} materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....^{not} adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl R. and Josephine M. Anderson and Francis E. and Pearl C. Pastore to remodel an existing store building at 3852 - 4th Avenue on Lots 26 to 29, inclusive, Block 2, Nutt's Addition into 11 apartments with no side yards.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 3, 1943

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 6/2/43 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/2/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/2/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6/2/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/3/43 Building Inspector 6/3/43

Planning Commission 6/3/43 Petitioner 6/3/43 Health Department 6/3/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 295

1786

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will.....^{not} materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas O. Burger to convert a two-story house and garage at 3936 - 4th Avenue on Lot 16, Block 7, Hillcrest, into apartments with a side yard of 2 ft. 6 inches.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 3, 1943

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/28/43 By Stahn
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/1/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/2/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6/2/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/3/43 Building Inspector 6/3/43

Planning Commission 6/3/43 Petitioner 6/3/43 Health Department 6/3/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO

a.k

RESOLUTION NO. 296

WHEREAS, Application No. 1789 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....^{not} materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....^{not} adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lloyd T. Penick to alter an existing garage, with an apartment above, at 4811 East Mountain View Drive on Lot 27, Block C, Resubdivision of Villa Lots 165 to 184, Normal Heights, into living quarters with a 3 foot side yard and a 10 foot rear yard, subject to the approval of the architect member of the Planning Commission.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 3, 1943

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

RESOLUTION NO. 297

WHEREAS, Application No. 1729 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. J. W. Connors to maintain a 25 unit trailer park at Chestnut and Rosecrans Streets on Lot 2 and the north west 50 ft. of Lot 3, Block 393, Old San Diego, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. A compact evergreen hedge at least three feet high at the time of planting be installed around the property and maintained in first class condition at all times;
2. A five foot fence or wall be constructed around the property;
3. Adequate sanitary facilities and water outlets be installed;
4. All driveways be surfaced with dust resisting material;
5. Proper electrical outlets and lighting be provided;
6. The exterior design of all structures be approved by the Planning Commission;
7. That any permits granted be for a period of time not to exceed five years;
8. Revocable with the stipulation that the work be started within fifteen days and completed within sixty days.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 4, 1943

By George Heston Secretary

Application Received 5/26/43 By Case
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/2/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/2/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Conditional Approval Date 6/2/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/4/43 Building Inspector 6/4/43

Planning Commission 6/4/43 Petitioner 6/4/43 Health Department 6/4/43 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 1730 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot 2 and northwest 50 ft. Block 393 of Lot 3.

Subdivision Old San Diego

Mrs. J. W. Connors, Chestnut & Rosecrans Street.

may be used for the erection and operation of 25 unit trailer park

subject to the following conditions (1) A compact evergreen hedge at least three feet high at the time of planting be installed around the property and maintained in first class condition at all times; (2) a five foot fence or wall be constructed around the property; (3) adequate sanitary facilities and water outlets be installed; (4) all drive-ways be surfaced with dust resisting material; (5) proper electrical outlets and lighting be provided; (6) the exterior design of all structures be approved by the Planning Commission; (7) that any permits granted be for a period of time not to exceed five years; (8) revocable with the stipulation that the work be started within fifteen days and completed within sixty days.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
City of San Diego, California

Dated June 4, 1943

By George Chapman Secretary

Added Ref B 6/14/43

Application Received 5/26/43 By Case
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/2/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/2/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Conditionally Date 6/2/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/4/43 Building Inspector 6/4/43

Planning Commission 6/4/43 Petitioner 6/4/43 Health Department 6/4/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Decision of Council

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Time limit extended to

Continued to

Date of action

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 299

WHEREAS, Application No. **1793** has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen K. Hull to convert a flat on the second story of a two story building at 405 Date Street on Lot A, Block 213, Horton's Addition into 3 apartments with a 3 foot rear yard, one and one-half foot side yard and 77% coverage of the lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **June 10,**....., 1**943**.....

By.....
George Stephan
Secretary.

Application Received 6/2/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/8/43 By Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/9/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6/9/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/10/43 Building Inspector 6/11/43

Planning Commission 6/11/43 Petitioner 6/11/43 Health Department 6/11/43 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

8.17

RESOLUTION NO. 300

WHEREAS, Application No. 1757 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harriet Stowell and Lewis Burgess to use an existing shed with a 5 ft. rear yard at 6439 El Cajon Boulevard on a portion of Lot 23, La Mesa Colony as a residence and construct an addition thereto, with a 13 foot rear yard, providing the structure is painted and all work completed in 3 months.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 10, 1943

By *George Stephan*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/3/43 By Hallsig
 City Planning Department
 Investigation made 5/5/43 - - - Committee
 By Stahn
 City Planning Department
 Considered by Zoning Committee 5/5/43 + 5/12/43 Hearing date 6/9/43
 Decision Condit. Approval Date 6/9/43
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/10/43 Building Inspector 6/11/43
 Planning Commission 6/11/43 Petitioner 6/11/43 Health Department 6/11/43 + assessor
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

End of
Book

City of San Diego