

General Municipal Election

City of San Diego

April 21, 1959

SAMPLE BALLOT

MUNICIPAL OFFICIAL BALLOT

GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION, April 21, 1959

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

To vote for a candidate of your selection, stamp a cross in the voting square next to the right of the name of the candidate. When two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, stamp a cross after the names of all the candidates for that office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates who are to be elected; to vote on any measure, stamp a cross in the voting square after the word "Yes" or after the word "No." All marks, except the cross are forbidden. All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void. If you wrongly stamp, tear or deface this ballot, return it to the inspector of election and obtain another.

FOR COUNCILMAN DISTRICT NO. 2

(Vote for One)

WILLIAM R. (BILL) HARTLEY

HAROLD J. (HARRY) LA DOU

FOR COUNCILMAN DISTRICT NO. 5

(Vote for One)

FRANK CURRAN
Councilman Fifth District

MRS. JEAN CARMODY SELF

FOR COUNCILMAN DISTRICT NO. 6

(Vote for One)

JUSTIN C. EVENSON
Councilman Sixth District

MRS. MARY ELIZABETH ELY

CITY PROPOSITIONS

A PROPOSITION A. Amend Section 110 of Article VII of the Charter of The City of San Diego.

This amendment adds to Section 110 of the Charter the provision that no suit shall be brought against the City on any claims for money or damages until a demand has been made upon the City in accordance with the existing provisions of Section 110.

YES

NO

B PROPOSITION B. Amend Section 117 of Article VIII of the Charter of The City of San Diego.

This amendment adds to the Unclassified Service of the City the positions of two Deputy Police Chiefs, Assistant City Engineer, Director of Operations, Director of Utilities, and Assistant Public Works Director; and removes from the Unclassified Service of the City the positions of Director of Operations of Police Department, Director of Service of Police Department, Director of the Water Department, Hydraulic Engineer, and Superintendent of Maintenance and Operation of the Water Department.

YES

NO

C PROPOSITION C. Shall Ordinance No. 8070 (New Series) of the ordinances of The City of San Diego, entitled, "An Ordinance authorizing the sale and conveyance of a portion of Pueblo Lot 1284 of the Pueblo Lands of The City of San Diego consisting of 17.8 acres more or less upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of The City of San Diego," be ratified?

YES

NO

D PROPOSITION D. Shall Ordinance No. 8069 (New Series) of the ordinances of The City of San Diego, entitled, "An Ordinance authorizing the sale and conveyance of Cuyamaca View Park containing .17 acres upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of the City of San Diego," be ratified?

YES

NO

00661

0-8082

0-8074: A & B
0-8073: C & D

SAMPLE BALLOT

MUNICIPAL OFFICIAL BALLOT

GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION, April 21, 1959

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

To vote for a candidate of your selection, stamp a cross in the voting square next to the right of the name of the candidate. When two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, stamp a cross after the names of all the candidates for that office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates who are to be elected; to vote on any measure, stamp a cross in the voting square after the word "Yes" or after the word "No." All marks, except the cross are forbidden. All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void. If you wrongly stamp, tear or deface this ballot, return it to the inspector of election and obtain another.

<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">FOR COUNCILMAN DISTRICT NO. 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: right;">(Vote for One)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">WILLIAM R. (BILL) HARTLEY</td> <td style="text-align: center;">32 835</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HAROLD J. (HARRY) LA DOU</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10 101</td> </tr> </table> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">FOR COUNCILMAN DISTRICT NO. 5</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: right;">(Vote for One)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">FRANK CURRAN Councilman Fifth District</td> <td style="text-align: center;">31 515</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MRS. JEAN CARMODY SELF</td> <td style="text-align: center;">19 424</td> </tr> </table> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">FOR COUNCILMAN DISTRICT NO. 6</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: right;">(Vote for One)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">JUSTIN C. EVENSON Councilman Sixth District</td> <td style="text-align: center;">30 497</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MRS. MARY ELIZABETH ELY</td> <td style="text-align: center;">19 802</td> </tr> </table>	FOR COUNCILMAN DISTRICT NO. 2		(Vote for One)		WILLIAM R. (BILL) HARTLEY	32 835	HAROLD J. (HARRY) LA DOU	10 101	FOR COUNCILMAN DISTRICT NO. 5		(Vote for One)		FRANK CURRAN Councilman Fifth District	31 515	MRS. JEAN CARMODY SELF	19 424	FOR COUNCILMAN DISTRICT NO. 6		(Vote for One)		JUSTIN C. EVENSON Councilman Sixth District	30 497	MRS. MARY ELIZABETH ELY	19 802	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">CITY PROPOSITIONS</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 80%; vertical-align: top;"> A PROPOSITION A. Amend Section 110 of Article VII of the Charter of The City of San Diego. This amendment adds to Section 110 of the Charter the provision that no suit shall be brought against the City on any claims for money or damages until a demand has been made upon the City in accordance with the existing provisions of Section 110. <i>47982</i> </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;"> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">73.89%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">35,453</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">26.11%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">12,529</td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> B PROPOSITION B. Amend Section 117 of Article VIII of the Charter of The City of San Diego. This amendment adds to the Unclassified Service of the City the positions of two Deputy Police Chiefs, Assistant City Engineer, Director of Operations, Director of Utilities, and Assistant Public Works Director; and removes from the Unclassified Service of the City the positions of Director of Operations of Police Department, Director of Service of Police Department, Director of the Water Department, Hydraulic Engineer, and Superintendent of Maintenance and Operation of the Water Department. <i>46932</i> </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;"> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">68.31%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">32,058</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">31.69%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">14,874</td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> C PROPOSITION C. Shall Ordinance No. 8070 (New Series) of the ordinances of The City of San Diego, entitled, "An Ordinance authorizing the sale and conveyance of a portion of Pueblo Lot 1284 of the Pueblo Lands of The City of San Diego consisting of 17.8 acres more or less upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of The City of San Diego," be ratified? <i>47544</i> </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;"> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">75.78%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">36,030</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">24.22%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">11,514</td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> D PROPOSITION D. Shall Ordinance No. 8069 (New Series) of the ordinances of The City of San Diego, entitled, "An Ordinance authorizing the sale and conveyance of Cuyamaca View Park containing .17 acres upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of the City of San Diego," be ratified? </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;"> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">76.69%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">36,648</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">23.31%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">11,142</td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center; padding-top: 20px;"> 47790 </td> </tr> </table>	CITY PROPOSITIONS		A PROPOSITION A. Amend Section 110 of Article VII of the Charter of The City of San Diego. This amendment adds to Section 110 of the Charter the provision that no suit shall be brought against the City on any claims for money or damages until a demand has been made upon the City in accordance with the existing provisions of Section 110. <i>47982</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">73.89%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">35,453</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">26.11%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">12,529</td> </tr> </table>	73.89%	YES	35,453	NO	26.11%	12,529	B PROPOSITION B. Amend Section 117 of Article VIII of the Charter of The City of San Diego. This amendment adds to the Unclassified Service of the City the positions of two Deputy Police Chiefs, Assistant City Engineer, Director of Operations, Director of Utilities, and Assistant Public Works Director; and removes from the Unclassified Service of the City the positions of Director of Operations of Police Department, Director of Service of Police Department, Director of the Water Department, Hydraulic Engineer, and Superintendent of Maintenance and Operation of the Water Department. <i>46932</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">68.31%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">32,058</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">31.69%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">14,874</td> </tr> </table>	68.31%	YES	32,058	NO	31.69%	14,874	C PROPOSITION C. Shall Ordinance No. 8070 (New Series) of the ordinances of The City of San Diego, entitled, "An Ordinance authorizing the sale and conveyance of a portion of Pueblo Lot 1284 of the Pueblo Lands of The City of San Diego consisting of 17.8 acres more or less upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of The City of San Diego," be ratified? <i>47544</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">75.78%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">36,030</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">24.22%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">11,514</td> </tr> </table>	75.78%	YES	36,030	NO	24.22%	11,514	D PROPOSITION D. Shall Ordinance No. 8069 (New Series) of the ordinances of The City of San Diego, entitled, "An Ordinance authorizing the sale and conveyance of Cuyamaca View Park containing .17 acres upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of the City of San Diego," be ratified?	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">76.69%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">36,648</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">23.31%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">11,142</td> </tr> </table>	76.69%	YES	36,648	NO	23.31%	11,142	47790	
FOR COUNCILMAN DISTRICT NO. 2																																																													
(Vote for One)																																																													
WILLIAM R. (BILL) HARTLEY	32 835																																																												
HAROLD J. (HARRY) LA DOU	10 101																																																												
FOR COUNCILMAN DISTRICT NO. 5																																																													
(Vote for One)																																																													
FRANK CURRAN Councilman Fifth District	31 515																																																												
MRS. JEAN CARMODY SELF	19 424																																																												
FOR COUNCILMAN DISTRICT NO. 6																																																													
(Vote for One)																																																													
JUSTIN C. EVENSON Councilman Sixth District	30 497																																																												
MRS. MARY ELIZABETH ELY	19 802																																																												
CITY PROPOSITIONS																																																													
A PROPOSITION A. Amend Section 110 of Article VII of the Charter of The City of San Diego. This amendment adds to Section 110 of the Charter the provision that no suit shall be brought against the City on any claims for money or damages until a demand has been made upon the City in accordance with the existing provisions of Section 110. <i>47982</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">73.89%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">35,453</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">26.11%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">12,529</td> </tr> </table>	73.89%	YES	35,453	NO	26.11%	12,529																																																						
73.89%																																																													
YES																																																													
35,453																																																													
NO																																																													
26.11%																																																													
12,529																																																													
B PROPOSITION B. Amend Section 117 of Article VIII of the Charter of The City of San Diego. This amendment adds to the Unclassified Service of the City the positions of two Deputy Police Chiefs, Assistant City Engineer, Director of Operations, Director of Utilities, and Assistant Public Works Director; and removes from the Unclassified Service of the City the positions of Director of Operations of Police Department, Director of Service of Police Department, Director of the Water Department, Hydraulic Engineer, and Superintendent of Maintenance and Operation of the Water Department. <i>46932</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">68.31%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">32,058</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">31.69%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">14,874</td> </tr> </table>	68.31%	YES	32,058	NO	31.69%	14,874																																																						
68.31%																																																													
YES																																																													
32,058																																																													
NO																																																													
31.69%																																																													
14,874																																																													
C PROPOSITION C. Shall Ordinance No. 8070 (New Series) of the ordinances of The City of San Diego, entitled, "An Ordinance authorizing the sale and conveyance of a portion of Pueblo Lot 1284 of the Pueblo Lands of The City of San Diego consisting of 17.8 acres more or less upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of The City of San Diego," be ratified? <i>47544</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">75.78%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">36,030</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">24.22%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">11,514</td> </tr> </table>	75.78%	YES	36,030	NO	24.22%	11,514																																																						
75.78%																																																													
YES																																																													
36,030																																																													
NO																																																													
24.22%																																																													
11,514																																																													
D PROPOSITION D. Shall Ordinance No. 8069 (New Series) of the ordinances of The City of San Diego, entitled, "An Ordinance authorizing the sale and conveyance of Cuyamaca View Park containing .17 acres upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of the City of San Diego," be ratified?	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">76.69%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">36,648</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">23.31%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">11,142</td> </tr> </table>	76.69%	YES	36,648	NO	23.31%	11,142																																																						
76.69%																																																													
YES																																																													
36,648																																																													
NO																																																													
23.31%																																																													
11,142																																																													
47790																																																													

4-21-1959

**PROPOSED PROPOSITIONS TO
RATIFY ORDINANCES AND
AMENDMENTS TO THE CITY CHARTER
TOGETHER WITH ARGUMENTS**

To Be Submitted to the Qualified Voters
of The City of San Diego at the

**GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION
TO BE HELD ON TUESDAY,
APRIL 21, 1959**

The following proposed propositions for the ratification and approval of ordinances authorizing the sale or conveyance of certain Pueblo Lands of The City of San Diego and all of Cuyamaca View Park and the following proposed amendments to the Charter of The City of San Diego will be submitted to the qualified voters of The City of San Diego on Tuesday, April 21, 1959.

PHILLIP ACKER, City Clerk

00664

PROPOSITION A
 (THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE
 FOLLOWING FORM)

<p>PROPOSITION A. Amend Section 110 of Article VIII of the Charter of The City of San Diego. This amendment adds to Section 110 of the Charter the provision that no suit shall be brought against the City on any claims for money or damages until a demand has been made upon the City in accordance with the existing provisions of Section 110.</p>	YES	
	NO	

This proposed amendment amends Section 110 of the City Charter by adding a new paragraph. The paragraph to be added is printed in **BLACK-FACED TYPE**.

"Section 110. CLAIMS AGAINST THE CITY. Whenever it is claimed that The City of San Diego is liable to any person because of injuries suffered by such person, either to person or property, because of negligence of the City or its officers, a verified claim for damages shall be presented in writing and filed with the Clerk of The City of San Diego within ninety (90) days after the occurrence giving rise to the claim for damages.

Whenever it is claimed that The City of San Diego is obligated to pay money to any person because of contract or by virtue of operation of law, a demand or claim for such money shall be presented in writing and filed with the Auditor and Comptroller of The City of San Diego within ninety (90) days after the last item of the account or claim has accrued.

Each claim for damages because of tort shall specify the name and address of the claimant, the date and place of the accident and the extent of the injuries or damages received.

Each claim or demand for money due because of contract or operation of law shall specify the name and address of the claimant, a brief description of the contract or a brief recital of the facts giving rise to the obligation of the City imposed by law.

The time limit of ninety (90) days shall not begin to run against a claimant whose claim or demand for money due is because of operation of law until such claimant shall have actual notice of the existence of such claim.

NO SUIT SHALL BE BROUGHT ON ANY CLAIM FOR MONEY OR DAMAGES AGAINST THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO UNTIL A DEMAND FOR THE SAME HAS BEEN PRESENTED, AS HEREIN PROVIDED."

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION A

Section 110 of the Charter requires that claims must be filed with the City whenever a person claims money or damages from the City. Several years ago this section was amended to conform with the claim filing pro-

visions of the State Law as contained in the Government Code. Since that change our Charter has been interpreted by the Courts in the same manner as the State Law has been interpreted. However, a recent decision of the District Court of Appeal ruled that our Charter provision does not require the filing of a claim before a lawsuit may be brought against the City for money or damages. This decision is contrary to the legal effect which has been given to Section 110 and the Government Code for many years.

In order to correct the effect of the recent Appellate Court decision, it is necessary to amend our Charter by the addition of the proposed new paragraph to Section 110. This amendment is necessary in order to protect the City from fraudulent claims and to give the City sufficient notice of valid claims so that they may be paid without the necessity of claimants filing lawsuits against the City. The adoption of this amendment will result in the City Charter claim filing provisions once again having the same meaning and legal effect as the claim filing requirements under State Law.

The City Council,
 City of San Diego

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

PROPOSITION B
 (THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE
 FOLLOWING FORM)

<p>PROPOSITION B. Amend Section 117 of Article VIII of the Charter of The City of San Diego. This amendment adds to the Unclassified Service of the City the positions of two Deputy Police Chiefs, Assistant City Engineer, Director of Operations, Director of Utilities, and Assistant Public Works Director; and removes from the Unclassified Service of the City the positions of Director of Operations of Police Department, Director of Service of Police Department, Director of the Water Department, Hydraulic Engineer, and Superintendent of Maintenance and Operation of the Water Department.</p>	YES	
	NO	

This proposed amendment amends Section 117 of the City Charter by deleting certain provisions thereof and by the addition of new provisions. The portions to be deleted are printed in **STRIKE-OUT TYPE** and the portions to be added are printed in **BLACK-FACED TYPE**.

"Section 117. CLASSIFICATION. The administrative service of the City is hereby divided into the Unclassified and Classified Service, as follows:

The Unclassified Service shall include all elective positions and the following administrative offices:

Assistant to the Mayor; a Confidential Secretary to the Mayor; a Confidential Secretary to the City Council; City Manager, a confidential secretary, one Assistant Manager and two Assistants to the Manager; City Clerk; City Auditor and Comptroller; Park and Recreation Director; Director of Mission Bay Park; City Librarian; Chief of Police; TWO DEPUTY POLICE CHIEFS; Director of Building Inspection; ~~DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS OF POLICE DEPARTMENT; DIRECTOR OF SERVICE OF POLICE DEPARTMENT;~~ a Confidential Secretary to the Chief of Police; Chief of Fire Department; Budget Officer; Purchasing Agent; Personnel Director; City Engineer; ASSISTANT CITY ENGINEER; An Assistant to and All Deputies of the City Attorney; City Treasurer; ~~DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS; DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS; ASSISTANT PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR; DIRECTOR OF THE WATER DEPARTMENT; HYDRAULIC ENGINEER; SUPERINTENDENT OF MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF THE WATER DEPARTMENT;~~ Director of Public Health; Director of Social Service; ~~DIRECTOR OF UTILITIES;~~ Industrial Coordinator; Officers and Employees of the San Diego Unified School District; Members of all Commissions and Advisory Boards who serve the City without compensation.

The Classified Service shall comprise all positions not specifically included by this Charter in the Unclassified Service."

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION B

This proposition if approved will change the titles of five existing unclassified positions and will add one additional position to the unclassified service. The proposed unclassified title changes are as follows:

Director of Operations of Police Department and Director of Service of Police Department to become Deputy Police Chiefs; Director of the Water Department to become Director of Operations; Hydraulic Engineer to become Assistant City Engineer, a presently existing classified title; and Superintendent of Maintenance and Operation of the Water Department to become Director of Utilities. The new Police Department titles will more accurately describe the duties of these positions and will also better conform with similar titles in other Police Departments throughout the nation. The balance of the title changes are necessitated by the recent city departmental reorganization of Public Works, Engineering and Utilities and, therefore, will more accurately describe the duties of these positions.

The new position to be added to the unclassified service is that of Assistant Public Works Director, a presently existing classified title. This proposed change recognizes the importance of this position in the largest department of the City.

The City Council,
City of San Diego

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

PROPOSITION C

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

<p>PROPOSITION C. Shall Ordinance No. 8070 (New Series) of the ordinances of The City of San Diego, entitled: "An Ordinance authorizing the sale and conveyance of a portion of Pueblo Lot 1284 of the Pueblo Lands of The City of San Diego consisting of 17.8 acres more or less upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of The City of San Diego," be ratified?</p>	YES	
	NO	

Ordinance No. 8070 (New Series) reads as follows:

"AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE SALE AND CONVEYANCE OF A PORTION OF PUEBLO LOT, 1284 OF THE PUEBLO LANDS OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO CONSISTING OF 17.8 ACRES MORE OR LESS UPON SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS MAY BE DEEMED BY THE CITY COUNCIL TO BE IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

Section 1. That the City Manager of The City of San Diego be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to sell and convey the following described portion of Pueblo Lands lying north of the San Diego River, to-wit:

All that portion of the South Half of Pueblo Lot 1284 of the Pueblo Lands of the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California, according to Map thereof made by James Pascoe in the year 1870, which map is filed in the office of the Recorder of said County of San Diego as Miscellaneous Map 36, and being that portion of said South Half of Pueblo Lot 1284 lying westerly of a line drawn directly from a point in the northerly line of said South Half, distant thereon 600.0 feet easterly of the westerly line thereof, to a point on the southerly line of said South Half, distant thereon 1000.00 feet easterly of the westerly line thereof, containing 17.8 acres more or less.

Section 2. Such sale and conveyance shall be made upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of The City of San Diego.

Section 3. This ordinance shall become effective only after it is affirmatively approved by a majority vote of the qualified electors of The City of San Diego at the municipal election to be held in said City on the 21st day of April, 1959 at which such proposition of ratifying this ordinance is submitted."

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION C

This property is adjacent to the La Jolla Country Club and has been developed as a part of their golf course under a series of short term leases dating back to 1913. The City Charter limits leases of pueblo lands to a 15-year term. Approval of Proposition C would permit sale of the property on the basis of competitive bids or negotiation of a long-term lease on the

basis of the true market value of the property.

The City Council,
City of San Diego

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION C

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

PROPOSITION D

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

<p>PROPOSITION D. Shall Ordinance No. 8069 (New Series) of the ordinances of The City of San Diego, entitled: "An Ordinance authorizing the sale and conveyance of Cuyamaca View Park containing .17 acres upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of the City of San Diego," be ratified?</p>	YES	
	NO	

Ordinance No. 8069 (New Series) reads as follows:

"AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE SALE AND CONVEYANCE OF CUYAMACA VIEW PARK CONTAINING .17 ACRES UPON SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS MAY BE DEEMED BY THE CITY COUNCIL TO BE IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

Section 1. That the City Manager of The City of San Diego be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to sell and convey Cuyamaca View Park, consisting of Lots 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 in Block 133 of Central Park, according to Map thereof No. 453 filed in the office of the County Recorder, containing .17 of an acre more or less.

Section 2. Such sale and conveyance shall be made upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of The City of San Diego.

Section 3. This ordinance shall become effective only after it is affirmatively approved by a two-thirds vote of the qualified electors of The City of San Diego voting at the municipal election to be held in said City on the 21st day of April, 1959 at which such proposition of ratifying this ordinance is submitted."

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION D

This small area was acquired by the City in 1898, but has not proven to be suitable for development as a park. It lies on the north side of Imperial Avenue between 32nd Street and the San Diego Arizona Eastern Railroad. The affected City departments and commissions have unanimously agreed that it is not desirable or feasible to develop the area for park purposes. Approval of Proposition D will permit sale of the property and return to the tax rolls.

The City Council,
City of San Diego

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION D

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

4-21-1959

PROPOSITION A

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION A. Amend Section 110 of Article VII of the Charter of The City of San Diego. This amendment adds to Section 110 of the Charter the provision that no suit shall be brought against the City on any claims for money or damages until a demand has been made upon the City in accordance with the existing provisions of Section 110.	YES	
	NO	

This proposed amendment amends Section 110 of the City Charter by adding a new paragraph. The paragraph to be added is printed in **BLACK-FACED TYPE**.

"Section 110. CLAIMS AGAINST THE CITY. Whenever it is claimed that The City of San Diego is liable to any person because of injuries suffered by such person, either to person or property, because of negligence of the City or its officers, a verified claim for damages shall be presented in writing and filed with the Clerk of The City of San Diego within ninety (90) days after the occurrence giving rise to the claim for damages.

Whenever it is claimed that The City of San Diego is obligated to pay money to any person because of contract or by virtue of operation of law, a demand or claim for such money shall be presented in writing and filed with the Auditor and Comptroller of The City of San Diego within ninety (90) days after the last item of the account or claim has accrued.

Each claim for damages because of tort shall specify the name and address of the claimant, the date and place of the accident and the extent of the injuries or damages received.

Each claim or demand for money due because of contract or operation of law shall specify the name and address of the claimant, a brief description of the contract or a brief recital of the facts giving rise to the obligation of the City imposed by law.

The time limit of ninety (90) days shall not begin to run against a claimant whose claim or demand for money due is because of operation of law until such claimant shall have actual notice of the existence of such claim.

"NO SUIT SHALL BE BROUGHT ON ANY CLAIM FOR MONEY OR DAMAGES AGAINST THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO UNTIL A DEMAND FOR THE SAME HAS BEEN PRESENTED, AS HEREIN PROVIDED."

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION A.

Section 110 of the Charter requires that claims must be filed with the City whenever a person claims money or damages from the City. Several years ago this section was amended to conform with the claim filing pro-

4-21-1959

visions of the State Law as contained in the Government Code. Since that change our Charter has been interpreted by the Courts in the same manner as the State Law has been interpreted. However, a recent decision of the District Court of Appeal ruled that our Charter provision does not require the filing of a claim before a lawsuit may be brought against the City for money or damages. This decision is contrary to the legal effect which has been given to Section 110 and the Government Code for many years.

In order to correct the effect of the recent Appellate Court decision, it is necessary to amend our Charter by the addition of the proposed new paragraph to Section 110. This amendment is necessary in order to protect the City from fraudulent claims and to give the City sufficient notice of valid claims so that they may be paid without the necessity of claimants filing lawsuits against the City. The adoption of this amendment will result in the City Charter claim filing provisions once again having the same meaning and legal effect as the claim filing requirements under State Law.

The City Council,
City of San Diego

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

PROPOSITION B

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

<p>PROPOSITION B. Amend Section 117 of Article VIII of the Charter of The City of San Diego.</p> <p>This amendment adds to the Unclassified Service of the City the positions of two Deputy Police Chiefs, Assistant City Engineer, Director of Operations, Director of Utilities, and Assistant Public Works Director; and removes from the Unclassified Service of the City the positions of Director of Operations of Police Department, Director of Service of Police Department, Director of the Water Department, Hydraulic Engineer, and Superintendent of Maintenance and Operation of the Water Department.</p>	YES	
	NO	

This proposed amendment amends Section 117 of the City Charter by deleting certain provisions thereof and by the addition of new provisions. The portions to be deleted are printed in ~~STRIKE OUT TYPE~~ and the portions to be added are printed in **BLACK-FACED TYPE**.

"Section 117. **CLASSIFICATION.** The administrative service of the City is hereby divided into the Unclassified and Classified Service, as follows:

4-21-1959

The Unclassified Service shall include all elective positions and the following administrative offices:

Assistant to the Mayor; a Confidential Secretary to the Mayor; a Confidential Secretary to the City Council; City Manager; a confidential secretary, one Assistant Manager and two Assistants to the Manager; City Clerk; City Auditor and Comptroller; Park and Recreation Director; Director of Mission Bay Park; City Librarian; Chief of Police; ~~TWO DEPUTY POLICE CHIEFS~~; Director of Building Inspection; ~~DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS OF POLICE DEPARTMENT~~; ~~DIRECTOR OF SERVICE OF POLICE DEPARTMENT~~; a Confidential Secretary to the Chief of Police; Chief of Fire Department; Budget Officer; Purchasing Agent; Personnel Director; City Engineer; ~~ASSISTANT CITY ENGINEER~~; An Assistant to and All Deputies of the City Attorney; City Treasurer; ~~DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS~~; Director of Public Works; ~~ASSISTANT PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR~~; ~~DIRECTOR OF THE WATER DEPARTMENT~~; ~~HYDRAULIC ENGINEER~~; ~~SUPERINTENDENT OF MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF THE WATER DEPARTMENT~~; Director of Public Health; Director of Social Service; ~~DIRECTOR OF UTILITIES~~; Industrial Coordinator; Officers and Employees of the San Diego Unified School District; Members of all Commissions and Advisory Boards who serve the City without compensation.

The Classified Service shall comprise all positions not specifically included by this Charter in the Unclassified Service."

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION B

This proposition if approved will change the titles of five existing unclassified positions and will add one additional position to the unclassified service. The proposed unclassified title changes are as follows:

Director of Operations of Police Department and Director of Service of Police Department to become Deputy Police Chiefs; Director of the Water Department to become Director of Operations; Hydraulic Engineer to become Assistant City Engineer, a presently existing classified title; and Superintendent of Maintenance and Operation of the Water Department to become Director of Utilities. The new Police Department titles will more accurately describe the duties of these positions and will also better conform with similar titles in other Police Departments throughout the nation. The balance of the title changes are necessitated by the recent city departmental reorganization of Public Works, Engineering and Utilities and, therefore, will more accurately describe the duties of these positions.

The new position to be added to the unclassified service is that of Assistant Public Works Director, a presently existing classified title. This proposed change recognizes the importance of this position in the largest department of the City.

The City Council,
City of San Diego.

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

4-21-1959

Passee

PROPOSITION C

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION C. Shall Ordinance No. 8070 (New Series) of the ordinances of The City of San Diego, entitled, "An Ordinance authorizing the sale and conveyance of a portion of Pueblo Lot 1284 of the Pueblo Lands of The City of San Diego consisting of 17.8 acres more or less upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of The City of San Diego," be ratified?	YES	
	NO	

Ordinance No. 8070 (New Series) reads as follows:

"AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE SALE AND CONVEYANCE OF A PORTION OF PUEBLO LOT 1284 OF THE PUEBLO LANDS OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO CONSISTING OF 17.8 ACRES MORE OR LESS UPON SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS MAY BE DEEMED BY THE CITY COUNCIL TO BE IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

Section 1. That the City Manager of The City of San Diego be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to sell and convey the following described portion of Pueblo Lands lying north of the San Diego River, to-wit:

All that portion of the South Half of Pueblo Lot 1284 of the Pueblo Lands of the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California, according to Map thereof made by James Pascoe in the year 1870, which map is filed in the office of the Recorder of said County of San Diego as Miscellaneous Map 36, and being that portion of said South Half of Pueblo Lot 1284 lying westerly of a line drawn directly from a point in the northerly line of said South Half, distant thereon 600.0 feet easterly of the westerly line thereof, to a point on the southerly line of said South Half, distant thereon 1000.00 feet easterly of the westerly line thereof, containing 17.8 acres more or less.

Section 2. Such sale and conveyance shall be made upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of The City of San Diego.

Section 3. This ordinance shall become effective only after it is affirmatively approved by a majority vote of the qualified electors of The City of San Diego at the municipal election to be held in said City on the 21st day of April, 1959 at which such proposition of ratifying this ordinance is submitted."

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION C

This property is adjacent to the La Jolla Country Club and has been developed as a part of their golf course under a series of short term leases dating back to 1913. The City Charter limits leases of pueblo lands to a 15-year term. Approval of Proposition C would permit sale of the property on the basis of competitive bids or negotiation of a long-term lease on the

4-21-1959

basis of the true market value of the property.

The City Council,
City of San Diego

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION C

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

PROPOSITION D

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION D. Shall Ordinance No. 8069 (New Series) of the ordinances of The City of San Diego, entitled, "An Ordinance authorizing the sale and conveyance of Cuyamaca View Park containing .17 acres upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of the City of San Diego," be ratified?	YES	
	NO	

Ordinance No. 8069 (New Series) reads as follows:

"AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE SALE AND CONVEYANCE OF CUYAMACA VIEW PARK CONTAINING .17 ACRES UPON SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS MAY BE DEEMED BY THE CITY COUNCIL TO BE IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

Section 1. That the City Manager of The City of San Diego be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to sell and convey Cuyamaca View Park, consisting of Lots 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 in Block 133 of Central Park, according to Map thereof No. 453 filed in the office of the County Recorder, containing .17 of an acre more or less.

Section 2. Such sale and conveyance shall be made upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed by the City Council to be in the best interests of the people of The City of San Diego.

Section 3. This ordinance shall become effective only after it is affirmatively approved by a two-thirds vote of the qualified electors of The City of San Diego voting at the municipal election to be held in said City on the 21st day of April, 1959 at which such proposition of ratifying this ordinance is submitted."

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION D

This small area was acquired by the City in 1898, but has not proven to be suitable for development as a park. It lies on the north side of Imperial Avenue between 32nd Street and the San Diego Arizona Eastern Railroad. The affected City departments and commissions have unanimously agreed that it is not desirable or feasible to develop the area for park purposes. Approval of Proposition D will permit sale of the property and return to the tax rolls.

The City Council,
City of San Diego

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION D

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.