



NORTH PARK PLANNING COMMITTEE
PUBLIC FACILITIES, TRANSPORTATION, PARKS, AND PUBLIC ART SUBCOMMITTEE

northparkplanning.org

Like us: [NorthParkPlanning](https://www.facebook.com/NorthParkPlanning) Follow us: [@NPPlanning](https://twitter.com/NPPlanning)

MEETING AGENDA: Wednesday, July 09, 2014 – 6:00 p.m.
North Park Recreation Center/Adult Center, 2719 Howard Avenue

- I. Parliamentary Items (6:00 pm)**
 - A. Call to Order
 - B. Modifications to & adoption of the July 09, 2014 Agenda
 - C. Chair’s Comments
 - D. Approval of Previous Minutes: June 11, 2014
 - E. Announcements: (See last page of Agenda for details and links)
- II. Non Agenda Public Comment** (2 min. each). Raise your hand to comment on items NOT on today’s agenda.
- III. Information Items:**
 - A. none
- IV. New/Current Business: (Action Items)**
 - A. **“Cluster Boxes”:** Congress member Susan Davis is requesting community feedback on [upcoming bill](#) (s) in Congress which propose the universal implementation of Cluster Boxes (see background attachment) instead of the current door-to-door postal service now in effect : Discussion & Action (6:10 to 6:20)
 - B. **Noticing Process for Parking Conversions:** Brief discussion of acceptable noticing process for parking conversions from parallel to Head-in parking in North Park. (6:20 to 6:30)
 - C. **SANDAG Regional Bike Corridor [North Park, MidCity, Uptown](#):** Discussion and formulation of feedback on the most recently proposed routes. This month the **Meade Avenue** Alignment (6:30 to 7:30)
http://www.keepsandiegomoving.com/Libraries/Transnet-doc/NorthPark_MidCity_11x17.sflb.ashx
- V. Old/Ongoing Business: (Update/discussion/possible Action Items)**
(Note: these items may/will be trailed to following month(s) if we run out of time)
 - A. **NP Community Plan Update – Remaining Elements & Discussion Draft:** Mobility, Recreation, Public Facilities, Historic Preservation, Noise, Arts & Culture, etc. Update & Discussion. (7:35 to 7:40)
 - B. **Parking District Policy(s):** Report/updates from Ernie Bonn, (7:40 to 7:45)
 - C. **Utility Box Task Force & Utility box intrusion in PROW:** Update from V.Granowitz (7:45 to 7:50)
- VI. Unfinished, New Business & Future Agenda Items. (7:50 to 7:55)**
- VII. Next Meeting date: PF Subcommittee: Wednesday, August 13, 2014, 6 p.m.**
Next month: Proposed SANDAG Bike corridors - Meade/Monroe Alignment will be discussed
- VIII. Adjournment (8:00 pm)**

Times listed are estimates only. Please speak only when recognized by the Chair. Be respectful of others and their ideas. Listen and be open-minded. No interruptions or side conversations. Stay focused on issues rather than personalities.

* **Subcommittee Quorum:** Total seated members cannot exceed 13, with a maximum of 7 elected NPPC Board Members (cannot exceed NPPC quorum) and 6 Community Voting Members. The majority of total seated members must be elected NPPC board members
** **Community Voting Members:** Community members gain subcommittee voting rights after attending at least three subcommittee meetings. Elected Board Members have voting rights anytime.

NPPC PF-Subcommittee: Dionné Carlson (Chair) 619-584-2496 /Roger Morrison (Vice Chair) Email Chair & Vice Chair: publicfacilities@northparkplanning.org

Links/Notes/ Attachments/Background for NPPC PF. Subcommittee Agenda Items:

Rules Governing this Subcommittee meeting of the NPPC:

The Brown Act: <http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=gov&group=54001-55000&file=54950-54963>
About the Brown Act: <http://www.thefirstamendment.org/Brown-Act-Brochure-DEC-03.pdf>
Council Policy 600-24: <http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/community/pdf/cpc/agendas/attachments/60024clean.pdf>
Roberts Rules of Order: <http://www.robertsrules.org/> & <http://www.rulesonline.com/index.html>
NPPC Standing Rules: http://northparkplanning.org/pdfs/nppc_standingrules.pdf
NPPC Bylaws: http://northparkplanning.org/pdfs/nppc_bylaws.pdf

Links to City of San Diego Planning Documents:

City of San Diego Street Design Manual: <http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/pdf/peddesign.pdf>
Link to San Diego General Plan Recreation Element: <http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/genplan/pdf/generalplan/recreationelement.pdf>

SANDAG Regional Bike Projects:

- Uptown Regional Bike Corridor: Beth Robrahn, Project Manager
http://www.keepsandiegomoving.com/RegionalBikeProjects/uptown_intro.aspx
- Mid-City Regional Bike Corridor (Includes North Park): Bridget Enderle, Project Manager
http://www.keepsandiegomoving.com/RegionalBikeProjects/NorthParkMidCity_intro.aspx

Additional Background for current & future SANDAG bike lane discussions:

http://www.peoplepoweredmovement.org/site/images/uploads/Protected_Bike_Lanes_Mean_Business.pdf

Bike Protected Intersection:

<http://www.protectedintersection.com/> <http://vimeo.com/86721046>
<http://bikeportland.org/2014/02/19/portland-designerplanner-unveils-protected-intersections-for-bicyclists-101767>
<http://www.peopleforbikes.org/blog/entry/video-argues-that-protected-bike-lanes-need-protected-intersections>
<http://www.protectedintersection.com/>

Economic Impact of Bike Lanes:

<http://ealscoalition.org/2009/07/25/traffic-calming-has-positive-economic-effects-on-small-businesses-and-property-values/>
https://docs.google.com/document/d/12B6Y9GmCVtf8iuNRKPluvipKykIPSZle8mPZ0-eo7_E/edit?pli=1
<http://movabilityaustin.org/2012/11/pedaling-the-economy-bike-lanes-offer-growth-to-businesses-safety-savings-to-consumers/>

National Realtor survey showing preference for walkable/bikable mixed use neighborhoods:

<http://plannersweb.com/2014/03/national-realtors-survey-indicates-strong-interest-walkable-mixed-use-neighborhoods/>

Cluster Boxes (This background received from the Office of Member of Congress, Susan Davis)

Community Question: How do/would cluster boxes work in your neighborhood?

The Issue: There are proposals in Congress to basically eliminate door-to-door mail delivery and replace it with cluster boxes. This would have to be done at 30 million addresses over the next six years. Currently, postal code requires new home divisions to use cluster boxes but the proposal would require every neighborhood to convert retroactively. **Congresswoman Susan Davis wants to get a sense of how your community would feel about such a proposal.**

Background Info - Problems with Cluster Boxes:

- Seniors and people with disabilities would have trouble getting prescriptions and other mail. Cluster boxes can be close to homes or miles away.
- There are many problems with cluster boxes. We hear from constituents all the time about them being broken into, tagged by graffiti and hit by cars. USPS does not maintain them, which means the property owner has to pay when they break and we hear from people who can't get all their neighbors to pitch in.
- The bill would allow people to pay for door delivery creating two classes of postal patron—rich people would get mail at their door, poor people wouldn't. Neighbors would know who's getting mail and who's not.
- Many postal patrons would be inconvenienced.
- In 2013, USPS offered voluntary cluster box conversions to businesses and only .8 percent signed up. Letter carriers tell us many businesses decline Saturday delivery because they worry about the security of their cluster boxes.
- Mail would be devalued since people would check it less. It would not be as valuable to advertisers and would be used less thus slowing gutting the postal service. We talk about the mail as a subway system that loses riders when you eliminate stops and reduce hours—this is an example of how that would happen.
- There are not enough locations for cluster boxes in many neighborhoods especially urban ones. In some cases USPS might have to exercise eminent domain.
- There are other ways USPS can generate revenue—selling things, more parcel deals like that with Amazon. They are making money again. And this could lose them money in the long run.

Arguments for Cluster Boxes:

-Proponents think they will save USPS money by shrinking the workforce. A recent GAO (Government Accountability Office) study estimates delivery costs could go down by 55% and 80,000 jobs would be eliminated. However, GAO does not have current delivery cost data or data on how much the post office would lose as use would go down. GAO recommends further study.

Image of what proposed Cluster boxes would look like



NPPC-PF Subcommittee 07/09/2014 Announcements:

SANDAG Regional Bike Projects:

- Uptown Regional Bike Corridor: Beth Robrahn, Project Manager
http://www.keepsandiegomoving.com/RegionalBikeProjects/uptown_intro.aspx
- Mid-City Regional Bike Corridor (Includes North Park): Bridget Enderle, Project Manager
http://www.keepsandiegomoving.com/RegionalBikeProjects/NorthParkMidCity_intro.aspx

Upcoming North Park Planning Public Meetings on Proposed SANDAG Bike Corridors

Meade/Monroe Alignment – Wednesday, July 9th, 2014

Howard/Orange Alignment - Wednesday September 10th, 2014

NPPC Public Facilities, Transportation, Parks & Public Art Subcommittee

Meets 2nd Wednesdays, 6:00 pm - North Park Recreation Center/Adult Center, 2719 Howard Avenue

Email PF Subcommittee Chair & Vice Chair: publicfacilities@northparkplanning.org

SANDAG News: <http://www.sandag.org/enewsletter/june2014.pdf>

- Construction progress on Mid-City Rapid Bus project
<http://www.keepsandiegomoving.com/Mid-City-Rapid/midcity-rapid-bus-Construction-Notices.aspx>
- [SANDAG Seeks Input on Draft White Papers for the Regional Plan](#) ***
- [\\$360M Available in Grants for Bike/Pedestrian Facilities and Programs](#) ****

Find SANDAG on Facebook: [SANDAGregion](#) and Twitter: [@SANDAG](#)

University Heights Community Association (UHCA): <http://uhsd.org/>

North Park Community Association (NPCA):

See the NPCA's home page <http://northparksd.org/> and their community calendar at <http://northparksd.org/meetings-calendar>.

Contact news@northparksd.org to have your event/meeting added to the calendar

NPCA Summer Concert Band Line Up

<u>Date</u>	<u>Band</u>	<u>Website</u>	<u>Music Type</u>
June 14	Bill Magee Blues Band	www.billmageeblues.com	blues
June 28	The Craig Ingraham Band	www.craingraham.com	folk
July 12	Ballad Mongers	www.balladmongers.com	Indie Rock
July 26	The Mark Jackson Band	www.reverbnation.com/markjacksonband	county
August 9	Bayou Brothers w/Special Guest, Robin Henkel	www.Bayoubrothers.net https://myspace.com/robinhenkel	zydeco/folk

Adams Ave Business Association (AABA): <http://www.adamsavenuebusiness.com/>

El Cajon Boulevard Business District: www.theboulevard.org

North Park Main Street: <http://northparkmainstreet.com/>

University Heights Community Development Corporation (UHCDC): www.uhcdc.org

Free Summer Concerts in Trolley Barn Park • Adams Avenue & Florida Street - 6 to 8 pm Friday Evenings

- July 4 - **Rhythm and the Method**
Rock, Blues, Folk www.rhythmandthemethod.com
- July 11 - **The Swamp Critters**
Zydeco, Boogie, Swing www.theswampcritters.com
- July 18 - **The Peripherals**
Indie Americana Rock www.theperipherals.com
- July 25 - **Caliber**
70s, 80s, 90s, Old School Funk www.wearecaliber.com
- August 1 - **Sue Palmer & Her Motel Swing Orchestra**
Boogie Woogie & Swing www.suepalmer.com

Public Workshop for the Watershed Management Area Analysis

Tuesday, July 29, 2014: 4:30 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.

The San Diego Stormwater Copermittees invites you to participate at the public workshop for the regional draft Watershed Management Area Analysis (WMAA). This public workshop will be held to accept comments and recommendations on the draft WMAA. Overview of the public workshop will cover: watershed management area characterization and hydromodification management exemptions.

Please **RSVP** to Trevor Alsop at TAlsop@Geosyntec.com or (619) 810-4020.

Location: County of San Diego

Hearing Room 5520, 5520 Overland Avenue, San Diego, CA 92123 **Parking available at the Parking Structure (5515) located along Farnham Street.**

Making Stormwater Alternative Compliance Programs Work: Opportunities in Development, Funding and Partnering

July 17, 2014: 2:00 – 6:00 pm - Procopio Tower – 525 B Street, San Diego, CA 92101

Complimentary Attendance; Register [here](#).

Don't miss this interactive workshop!

The San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board (SDRWQCB) Municipal Storm Water Permit has created new opportunities to meet storm water regulations by making available a selection of offsite mitigation options known as "Alternative Compliance." How these programs and mitigation options will be approved, funded, managed, and implemented breaks new ground for developers, municipalities, and regulatory agencies.

Procopio invites you to come roll up your sleeves with SDRWQCB Executive Officer David Gibson and other expert panelists to participate in a facilitated workshop where we will explore opportunities with real-world examples of alternative compliance projects while addressing key obstacles and questions about how to build a successful program or project.

Topics to be explored include:

- Acceptable projects and programs
- Watershed benefit criteria
- Cost - benefit analysis
- Regulatory agency consideration of multi-use credits
- Inter- agency or watershed agreements
- In lieu fee development

After the conclusion of the workshop, a summary of the results will be published.

Panelists

- David Gibson, Executive Officer, SDRWQCB
- John J. Lormon, Partner, Cleantechnology, Energy, Environmental & Resources Practice Group Leader, Procopio
- Michelle Lee Mattson, Southern CA Biology Team Manager, ICF International
- Scott Taylor, Senior Vice President, RBF Consulting
- Laurie Walsh, PE, WRC Engineer Storm Water Management Unit, SDRWQCB

Facilitator: Carl Nettleton, Nettleton Strategies & OpenOceans Global



Program Organizer: Erica M. Ryan, Principal, Stepping Stone Permit Compliance

Click here for more information and to register: <http://www.eventbrite.com/e/making-stormwater-alternative-compliance-programs-work-opportunities-in-development-funding-and-tickets-12083450927>



NORTH PARK PLANNING COMMITTEE

northparkplanning.org

Like us:  [NorthParkPlanning](https://www.facebook.com/NorthParkPlanning) Follow us:  [@NPPlanning](https://twitter.com/NPPlanning)

**PUBLIC FACILITIES, TRANSPORTATION, PARKS, AND PUBLIC ART
SUBCOMMITTEE**

DRAFT MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, June 11, 2014, 6:00 p.m., North Park Recreation Center/Adult Center
2719 Howard Avenue, San Diego, CA 92104

Attendance:

Seated Board Members: Dionné Carlson (Chair), Peter Hill (minutes), Lucky Morrison, Kevin Clarke (arrived 7:04PM), Daniel Gebreselassie

Community Voting Members: René Vidales, Ernie Bonn, Rob Steppe (arrived 6:05), Kitty Callen (arrived 6:20)

Board member not seated: None

Also present: Lan Jefferson, Danielle Nisan, Brooke Lintag, Steve Aldana, Joe Jimenez & one other

Parliamentary Items:

Call to order. The meeting was called to order at 6:00 pm.

Motion to Adopt Agenda: Bonn/Vidales 6-0-0

Approval of May minutes: Corrections (attendance correction and spelling) from Bonn. Motion to approve with corrections: Gebreselassie/Morrison 6-0-1 (Hill abstained – absent)

Chair's Comments: Thanked Steve Aldana from the Boulevard for posting public notices of meeting on Oregon Street and Adrian Granda & Joe Jimenez for working hard to ensure public is properly noticed on parking conversions

Announcements & Non-Agenda Public Comment:

Announcements

- Vidales: New MTS Rapid Bus Routes 235 and 60.
- Bonn: Assembly bill 199 sponsored by Toni Atkins (tax credits for historic buildings) – deadline 6/12/14 to give comments to Toni Atkins' office

Non-Agenda Public Comment

- [Lan Jefferson: candidate for SD Community District Board](#) introduced herself.
- Vidales: SANDAG bike corridor not on agenda? Carlson: will be on July & September.

Information Item.

Danielle Nisan, City of San Diego – Urban Forest Management Plan.
Presentation covering:

Benefits of trees: energy savings, providing shade, cleaning air, mitigating climate change effects, stabilizing soil, support wildlife, increase property values, enhance neighborhoods and business districts,

Urban Forestry's goals in 2008 San Diego General Plan: develop, nurture, and sustain community forest.

Urban Forest Management Plan: steps

Next Steps: issue survey and hold stakeholder meetings. Implementation plan early 2015 and City Council action Spring 2015. Link to Survey:

<https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/CXS5KXC>

Discussion:

Board: Morrison: How will inventory be done? Nissan: can't answer.

Morrison/Steppke: Suggested surveyors reference the existing North Park MAD (Maintenance Assessment District) study

Bonn: University Heights MAD (contact Univ. Heights CDC) also has tree survey that should be referenced.

Vidales: Read section of NP Community Plan Update: Regarding Urban Forestry language in NP community plan draft, how does this city effort integrate with community plan? Nissan: not sure.

Vidales: How will this effort be structured? Nissan: Thinks organized by community. Not certain. Vidales: Does this City effort present an opportunity for having our own North Park forestry master plan? Nissan: Possibly

Callen: Why are we encouraging planting trees when there is a water shortage? Nissan: "The right tree for the right place" – Choosing the appropriate species for each location is important and can minimize water use and waste.

Hill: Important to be clear about what community organizations you're approaching for this survey.

Steppke: Important to work with other City departments e.g. gathering existing tree surveys from various MADS.

Gebreselassie: Will the survey cover private and/or public trees? Nissan: Public.

Gebreselassie: There is an existing interactive website tracking planted trees (including age and species). Chair Carlson confirmed this, will provide link: <https://sandiegotreemap.org/sdtrees/map/> <https://www.facebook.com/SanDiegoTreeMap> How will this inventory tie in with the online website inventory? Ans. Don't know. "

New Business:

A. Proposed Parking Conversion from standard to Head-In Parking on Oregon Street Only:

Blocks affected: Oregon Street from University – Lincoln (east side), El Cajon – Howard (east side), Meade – El Cajon (east side), Madison – Monroe (east side)

(Diagrams & Project Summary attached to agenda.)

Carlson introduced.

Petitions were mailed to the 92 property owners on both sides of the affected blocks of Oregon St.

The property owner addresses on record with the San Diego County Assessor's office were used.

After a 30-day input period, the City of San Diego received two objection letters and

7 "undeliverable" returns.

So as to err on the side of caution, the City has counted the undeliverable returns as objections for the purposes of determining the required approval ratio

All segments met the City's 75% approval ratio. Street notices of the public meeting were posted,

No community objections were presented during public comment

Steve Aldana from El Cajon Blvd. Business Association

Oregon is the third street to be converted to head-in parking. So far people have liked it when

implemented and no complaints have been received to date.

Joe Jimenez, City of San Diego parking engineer – introduced.

Carlson explained noticing and responses to date.

Discussion:

Steppeke: lives on Arizona St. and received unhelpful letter about changing parking patterns. Is this the type of letter being sent out? Jimenez: should have had box to check to show objection.

Steppeke: will re-check. Jimenez: explained thresholds for objection / support and process.

Gebreselassie: notices to tenants of apartments? Jimenez: thought yes? Aldana: No, Notices mailed to owners of record, i.e. only owners of apartment received notices. Individual condos owners got letters, as did single-family homeowners. Gebreselassie: What is the logic in not sending to tenants? Aldana: City can access the list of owners of record, tenants tend to be transitory. Gebreselassie: what's the policy going forward? Aldana: will consult with city. Jimenez: new process. Decided to send to occupants on Oregon Street. No exact policy. Gebreselassie: past issue in not knowing percentage needed for approval. Jimenez: 75%. G. Occupants or owners? J. Occupants.

Morrison: unclear on notification policy. Chair Carlson: Point of order: Focus discussion on Oregon Street please. Dissent from board members: Process differences are important. Aldana: for this project on Oregon St. – notice went to all property owners on both sides of the street. Morrison: thought it was handled differently. Aldana: Problems have arisen where there has been disagreement between tenant and owner. Morrison: Precedence should be to occupant. Any studies about head-in parking safety? Aldana: Head-in parking narrows the street and acts as a traffic calmer, cars drive slower, thus more safely. Morrison: not in favor.

Bonn: Question about process for separate Univ. Heights parking survey; Concern about incorrect addresses and returns from wrong addresses. Jimenez: Recommend redoing survey.

Carlson: Heard community feedback from previous meetings: Single-family home-owners concerned about being outvoted by apartment dwellers on a street. What is more fair way to notice people on a street? And who should have the vote – owner or tenant? Gebreselassie: Could be both owners and tenants. Carlson: Feels is either / or issue for voting, but supports noticing broadly. Can't have double vote. Happy with condo owners getting vote.

Vidales: All occupants should be notified. Process question: notices for spaces fronting property only?

Jimenez: One proposal had angled parking on one side and head-in on other. Engineers will calculate for most spaces. Vidales: clarifying: notification was to describe proposal on both sides?

Callen: believe occupants are most affected by this.

Steppeke: agree with both sides of issue for Oregon St. Most cars (on street) from apartments and take up spaces. As owner, want to be able to vote. City's initial duty is to owners. Courtesy notice to tenants is ok, but property owner should have the vote.

Gebreselassie: Concerned that occupants are not able to make their voices heard. Can't support Oregon St. project because only owners notified. Aldana / Gebreselassie: (exchange). Granda: comment on weighting the votes. Gebreselassie: how to remedy? Granda: not possible to represent both owners and residents for same property due to weighting by street frontage.

Carlson: fairness? Q. for Gebreselassie – example of voting percentage case. Hearing interest from board in ensuring representation of renters.

Morrison: disenfranchising people who don't own property? (for Jimenez): also evaluating other types of parking? J.: yes. Both sides of street? A.: yes. Doesn't like head-in parking – is unsafe.

Aldana: Almost no objections received so far; strong support.

Carlson: Are we ready to limit discussion to Oregon St. & vote, or have broader discussion about noticing process? Consensus to vote. Response to comment on head-in parking safety: Bike advocates say they are ok with head-in parking because taillights visible when cars are backing out. No data to support increased accident risk with head-in parking. No known accidents with head-in parking. Regarding Oregon St. specifically, in past, board has supported head-in parking on Oregon St. with language anticipating possible linear park. Previous motion language listed on agenda for reference.

Motion: (Gebreselassie) To table this item for a future meeting until tenants have been notified.

Question from Callen: Have residents of Oregon street been noticed by mail and voted by mail on this parking conversion plan? Ans: Yes, all addresses on record with assessor's office were noticed by mail.

Chair called for a second for the motion. Vidales seconded motion.

Discussion: Carlson: Will vote against this motion and to support the parking conversion. Is confident all residents of Oregon adequately noticed. Zero community members showed up to protest. Subcommittee agendas very full until at least October, so it would be months before NPPC could re-hear this item.

Gebreselassie / Vidales: 2-7-0 Motion failed.

Motion: (Clark) To approve the Parking Conversion from Standard to Head-In Parking as proposed on Oregon Street from University to Lincoln (east side only – gain of 22 spaces), from El Cajon to Howard (east side only – gain of 17 spaces), from Meade to El Cajon (east side only – gain of 19 spaces), from Madison to Monroe (east side only – gain of 15 spaces); with the understanding that this project may be changed in the future to allow for the implementation of the North Park Linear Park, bike lanes, electric car charging stations and any other items in conformance with the Park and Recreation Element, the Mobility Element & the Sustainability Element of the North Park Community Plan Update.

Clark / Callen 7-2-0 Motion passes. (Gebreselassie & Morrison against)

Carlson: Feels the City and the Parking district are making a genuine and effective effort to better notice the community on these parking conversions and displaying a willingness to work with the board & the community in finding the right path. However there still appears to be some confusion on this issue. Would board like to place the noticing and tally process on a future subcommittee agenda for further discussion & clarification? Consensus was yes. Will place on future agenda for discussion.

B. DECObIKE Stations- Discussion of proposed locations and possible NPPC response: see map of proposed locations here: <http://www.decobike.com/sandiego/sdmap.pdf>

Carlson: NPPC Board members Kevin Clark & Steve Cordrero sought and gathered feedback from a many sources, indicating that residents and BIDs alike would like to see more decobike stations dispersed more widely in the Mid-City.

Clark presented handouts with a map of currently proposed locations, and also showing two maps for improving the currently proposed Decobike locations; one map to relocate 3 proposed Stations if decobikes cannot add more stations in the Mid-city; and one map including the proposed relocations and adding additional stations to serve popular community destinations. Input was gathered from BIDs, community groups and residents.

Carlson: Requested board feedback on report.

Discussion:

Steppe: Please explain DecoBike. Clark: Bike-sharing business with stations throughout an area.

Gebreselassie: As currently planned, doesn't go where I want to go. Needs to be linked into shopping, schools, parks. Currently only centered on 30th street. Should be more on University and El Cajon Blvd.

Morrison: Stations follows transit corridors. Clark's proposed locations fine. Clark: goal is not just transit lines; but also parks, etc. Morrison: DecoBike company's economic viability? Granda: Rumors were about another vendor company, No indication of company failing. Gebreselassie: prefers Kevin's suggested map.

Bonn: How about using church lot at Park and Meade? Also adding stations to school district offices and DMV? Clark: appropriate to suggest locations beyond North Park in the interest of connectivity.

Hill: Target market? Granda: Locals. Hill: stations located in Public right-of-way? Clark: Yes.

Vidales: Coverage beyond San Diego? Granda: no. Explained range of neighborhoods. Phase 1 roll-out now: future expansion possible. Vidales: how do locations connect with SANDAG bike routes? Will there be way finding signs, etc.? No connectivity between Decobike stations as currently proposed and citywide bike lane networks. Carlson: SANDAG engineers are in contact with DecoBike.

Callen: agree with Kevin Clark plan.

Carlson: There appears to be consensus on the board with Kevin's plan. Clark: Consider additional location for NP Community Park near water tower? General comment: yes. Carlson: Is the City looking

at benchmarks for successful locations in other cities? Granda: Yes. Looking for densely populated areas. Bonn: locations in Balboa Park? Clark: not many, especially near North Park. Granda: historic considerations and commercial nature of enterprise limit opportunities for locating stations in Balboa Park.

Callen: What does DecoBike pay the city? Granda: DecoBike paying for stations and bikes; sharing income with city.

Clark: additional locations mentioned in input: 32nd & Thorn.

Carlson: proposals to structure motions for this? Clark: discussed.

Steppke: this should cover areas not accessible by bus. Clark: agreed.

Clark / Carlson: in favor of Trolley Barn Park area station because such a popular park.

Motion:

If no Decobike stations are added: To recommend that, proposed stations 119, 120, & 123 be relocated to Adams Ave. and 30th; to Howard St. and 30th (or the vicinity of 30th and El Cajon Blvd.); and to Upas St. West of 30th St.

Clark / Vidales 9-0-0

Motion:

If additional Decobike stations can be added: To recommend that proposed stations 119, 120, & 123 be relocated to Adams Ave. and 30th; to Howard St. and 30th (or the vicinity of 30th and El Cajon Blvd.); and to Upas St. West of 30th St. and to recommend adding additional Decobike stations at the following locations:

- Adams Ave. & 30th St.
- El Cajon Blvd. & 30th St.
- Upas St. (west of 30th St.)
- Monroe Ave. & Park Blvd.
- El Cajon Blvd. & Mississippi St.
- Alabama St. & University Ave.
- Morley Field (vicinity of Upas and Texas)
- Howard St. near Oregon and Idaho Streets (North Park Community Park)
- Adams Ave. in the vicinity of Trolley Barn Park

Clark / Vidales 8-1-0 (Bonn against– believes insufficient parking for station at Trolley Barn Park)

C. North Park Community Plan Update – Remaining Elements & Discussion Draft: Mobility, Recreation, Public Facilities, Historic Preservation, Noise, Arts & Culture, etc. Update & Discussion.

Discussion: None

Ongoing Business

A. Parking District Policy: No update.

B. Utility Box Task Force & Utility Box intrusion in PROW. No update.

Unfinished, New Business & Future Agenda Items. None.

Next Meeting date: Wednesday, July 09, 2014

Adjournment: Motion: To adjourn meeting Steppke/Clark 9-0-0. Meeting adjourned at 8:03 p.m.

City of San Diego Urban Forest Management Plan

The Urban Forest Management Plan will answer the following questions:

- What do you have? (inventory)
- What do you want? (goals and objectives)
- How do you get what you want? (implementation plan)
- Are you getting what you want? (monitoring)

Goals for urban forestry:

- *Develop, nurture, and protect a sustainable urban/community forest*, City of San Diego 2008 General Plan
- See also Conservation Element, J. Urban Forestry for additional policies

Benefits of trees:

- Trees shade buildings and lower energy bills
- Trees shade streets and parks
- Trees clean the air by absorbing pollutants
- Trees reduce greenhouse gases and urban heat
- Trees stabilize soil and reduce stormwater runoff
- Trees provide food and shelter for wildlife
- Trees increase property values
- Trees create more pleasant neighborhoods and business districts

Next steps:

- \$75,000 planning grant received from California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CalFire)
- City staff, Community Forest Advisory Board, and consulting urban foresters working to develop the draft plan
- Survey about community forestry, at <https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/CXS5KXC>
- **Public Stakeholder meetings, Monday evenings from 6pm to 8pm**
- September 22, 2014 and January 26, 2015-University Town Center (UTC) Forum Hall (above Wells Fargo Bank) 4315 La Jolla Village Drive
- September 29, 2014 and February 2, 2015-Balboa Park, War Memorial Bldg.
- September Meetings: Review Objectives and Potential Actions
- January/February Meetings: Review Draft Plan
- Draft Urban Forest Management Plan, early 2015
- City Council action expected, Spring/Summer 2015
- Implementation of the Plan will require additional resources and funding