	HRB Meeting of February 27, 2025							
First Name	Last Name	Meeting Date	Agenda Item Number	Position	I would like to speak on the item during public testimony.	Comments		
	Comments - A	Added 2/25/20	)25, 2/26/202	5				
Maria	Frase	2/27/2025				I am totally in support of designating historic areas! I believe it enhances the attractiveness of our communities and our city. It is short sighted to not protect I		
Ben	Littman	2/27/2025				I do not support any actions that undermine the integrity of National Register Districts. It is important to follow the established procedures and ensure loc these districts. National Register Districts should automatically have access to the Mills Act, as is the case in most other municipalities across California. Th protection from National Register Historic Districts is detrimental, biased, and discriminatory. Such actions must be avoided. We must prioritize preservat essential to promote, process, and protect all historic districts. I do not endorse the anti-preservation stance advocated by certain YIMBY Democrats and I Responsible development and historic preservation can and should coexist. Deregulating the housing industry undermines both preservation efforts and affordability and equity. Historic resources constitute less than 2% of the housing stock. It is crucial to process and protect all historic districts while recog Register Districts at the local level. This approach will provide clarity and certainty for both homeowners and builders .Our beautiful charming neighborho should be preserved. The streets are winding and narrow and couldn't handle more residents.		
Klonie	Kunzel	2/27/2025				please see letter attached		
ITEM 1				1				
Mark	Houghton- Berry	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Please see the attached letter for my reasoned arguments against designation.		
Michael	Robinson	2/27/2025	1	In Support of Item		Please find attached our letter in support of the nomination of the designation as a Historic Resource. We do not agree with the City Planning staff's recor home at 310 San Fernando Street should not be designated as a Historic Resource.		

Attachments

ect them!	
local recognition of . The removal of vation over politics. It is nd Mayor Todd Gloria. Ind the goals of cognizing National rhood of Talmadge	
	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-02/item- 1-310-san-fernando-st-1.pdf

	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2 025-02/310-san-fernando.pdf
commendation that the	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2 025-02/letter-historical-resources-board- february-24.pdf

Michael	Panissidi	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve histor San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distra buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa's fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 Sar
Nicole	Panissidi	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Please do not designate the single-family residence at 310 San Fernando Street. We urge the Board to accept the City of San Diego staff's recommendatic does not meet any HRB designation criteria and lacks historic integrity required for designation. Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve histor San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distra buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa's fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 Sar
Elizabeth	Panissidi	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve histori San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distra buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa's fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 Sar
Charlie	Bullard	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to ltem	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve histor San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distra buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa's fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 Sar
Natalie	Harris	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to ltem	No	Please do not designate the single-family residence at 310 San Fernando Street. We urge the Board to accept the City of San Diego staff's recommendatic does not meet any HRB designation criteria and lacks historic integrity required for designation. Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve histor San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distra buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa's fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 Sar
Tom	Chintala	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Please do not designate the single-family residence at 310 San Fernando Street. We urge the Board to accept the City of San Diego staff's recommendatic does not meet any HRB designation criteria and lacks historic integrity required for designation.
L	1	1	I	II		

oric designation—310 tract from preserving San Fernando Street.	
tion, as the property oric designation—310 ract from preserving San Fernando Street.	
oric designation—310 tract from preserving San Fernando Street.	
oric designation—310 tract from preserving San Fernando Street.	
tion, as the property oric designation—310 ract from preserving San Fernando Street.	
tion, as the property	

Cherin	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item		Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve histori San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distrac buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa's fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 Sar
Panissidi	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve histori San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distra buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa's fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 Sar
McGrath	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item		The Landmark nomination fails to demonstrate how this house meets the City's HRB criteria or how its significant alterations are "minimal." The house no original red tile roof, wood eaves, front porch, stucco, or windows—all key character- defining features. These are not minor changes. Please do not desig St.
Deely	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve histori San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distra buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa's fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 Sar
Roth	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve histori San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distra buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa's fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 Sar
Lemke	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	NIO INIO	Please do not designate the single-family residence at 310 San Fernando Street. We urge the Board to accept the City of San Diego staff's recommendatic does not meet any HRB designation criteria and lacks historic integrity required for designation.
	Panissidi McGrath Deely Roth	Image: series of the series	Panissidi2/27/20251McGrath2/27/20251Deely2/27/20251Roth2/27/20251	Cherin2/27/20251Opposition to ItemPanissidi2/27/20251In Opposition to ItemMcGrath2/27/20251In Opposition to ItemDeely2/27/20251In Opposition to ItemRoth2/27/20251In Opposition to ItemRoth2/27/20251In Opposition to ItemLemke2/27/20251In Opposition to Item	Cherin2/27/20251Opposition to ItemNoPanissidi2/27/20251In Opposition to ItemNoMcGrath2/27/20251In Opposition to ItemNoDeely2/27/20251In Opposition to ItemNoRoth2/27/20251In Opposition to ItemNoRoth2/27/20251In Opposition to ItemNoLemke2/27/20251In Opposition to ItemNo

oric designation—310 tract from preserving San Fernando Street.	
oric designation—310 ract from preserving San Fernando Street.	
no longer retains its signate 310 San Fernando	
oric designation—310 tract from preserving San Fernando Street.	
oric designation—310 tract from preserving San Fernando Street.	
tion, as the property	

_							
	Michael	Timon	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Please do not designate the single-family residence at 310 San Fernando Street. We urge the Board to accept the City of San Diego staff's recommendatic does not meet any HRB designation criteria and lacks historic integrity required for designation.
	Scott	Moomjian	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Dear Chair Hutter & HRB Members: I am well-familiar with the above-referenced property ("Property"), including its history and the various modifications and alterations which the residence over the years. In my professional opinion, I do not believe that the Property qualifies under any HRB Criteria, and therefore, is not eligible for historic site designation. Sincerely. Scott A. Moomjian Attorney at Law
	Sean	Kennedy	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve histor San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distra buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa's fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 Sar
	Carly	Kennedy	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Please do not designate the single-family residence at 310 San Fernando Street. We urge the Board to accept the City of San Diego staff's recommendatic does not meet any HRB designation criteria and lacks historic integrity required for designation.

tion, as the property	
nce and site has sustained	
oric designation—310 tract from preserving San Fernando Street.	
tion, as the property	

				1		
Joseph	Ghio	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	<ol> <li>Please do not designate the single-family residence at 310 San Fernando Street. We urge the Board to accept the City of San Diego staff's recommendadoes not meet any HRB designation criteria and lacks historic integrity required for designation.,</li> <li>The Landmark nomination fails to demonstrate how this house meets the City's HRB criteria or how its significant alterations are "minimal." The house original red tile roof, wood eaves, front porch, stucco, or windows—all key character defining features. These are not minor changes. Please do not design Street.</li> <li>310 San Fernando Street is not a good example of Prairie-style architecture and lacks historic integrity—which is essential for designation under the Cit Ignoring the clear loss of integrity due to numerous renovations would undermine 310 San Fernando Street.</li> <li>This structure is 109 years old and is in need of major renovations and modifications to even possibly comply with Historic requirements. I have recent and exterior of the subject property. It is my opinion that, modifications/alterations have been performed over the past 109 years and the age &amp; type of w construction used in this structure has reached the end of it's expected lifespan. It would require major electrical, plumbing, roof, stucco and window rep structural and foundation repairs and modifications to bring this home back to serviceable condition after which it would likely still not comply with Hill burden on the owner forcing a guidelines / requirements. I five city approves historic designation of this structure is the city approves historic designation of this structure it would be placing an undue financial burden on the owner forcing a structure that has exceeded its lifespan. Ny qualifications to make these comments are, I am a former Licensed General Contractor, Home Inspector, and real estate broker. I live in Point Loma Heights and believe in protecting our neighborhoods and the wonderful diverse architectural gems of the area. I d hom</li></ol>
Natalie	Harris	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve histor San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distra buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa's fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 Sar
Stuart	Hartley	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Please see attached letter
Steven	Untiedt	2/27/2025	1	In Support of Item	Yes	l am submitting my letter dated today, and I would like to briefly speak at the meeting tomorrow, in support of designating 310 San Fernando Street as a under Criteria A and C.

dation, as the property	
se no longer retains its ignate 310 San Fernando	
City's HRB Guidelines. isting precedent and set	
ntly viewed the interior of wood frame/stucco eplacement, plus historic designation a complete rehab of a nd current CA licensed do however look at the sented buyers and	
oric designation—310 ract from preserving San Fernando Street.	
	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-02/310- san-fernando_0.pdf
a historical resource	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025- 02/untiedt-letter-to-the-historical-resources-board- 9109227.2.pdfhttps://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/ 2025-02/exhibit-a-2019-photo-of-310-san-fernando- street.pdfhttps://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025- 02/exhibit-b-1916-photo-of-la- playa.pdfhttps://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025- 02/310-san-fernando-street-the-la-playa-trail-assn- comments_0.pdf, https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-02/310- san-fernando-soho-comments.pdf

_						-	
ŗ	<i>N</i> arcie	Rothman	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	Yes	I look forward to speaking to the Board on this important matter.
F	elix	Tinkov	2/27/2025	1	In Support of Item	Yes	l will make public comment tomorrow orally.
J	ane	Hamlin	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item		Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve histor San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distra buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa's fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 Sar
1	Vatalie	Harris	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item		Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve histor San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distra buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa's fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 Sar
E	3111	Hamlin	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	I used to own a property just up the street from this one, at 360 San Gorgonio and have since moved to Loma Portal. This property does not fit the histor understanding. Please do not designate the single-family residence at 310 San Fernando Street. We urge the Board to accept the City of San Diego staffs the property does not meet any HRB designation criteria and lacks historic integrity required for designation. Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve histor San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distra buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa's fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 San
٩	Лаrcie	Rothman	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	The earlier attachments are from the community and indicate their concerns about this issue. And attached is my letter to the community. Thanks.

	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-02/310- jean-yap-2.9.25.pdf,_ https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025- 02/rothman_hartley-letter-re-310-san-fernando-street- 1.pdf, https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025- 02/rothman_prj-1127900-310-san-fernando-st.pdf
	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025- 02/bolton_tinkov.pdf, https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025- 02/epp.pdf
oric designation—310 ract from preserving an Fernando Street.	
oric designation—310 ract from preserving ian Fernando Street.	
oric criteria from my f's recommendation, as oric designation—310 ract from preserving tan Fernando Street.	
	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-02/310- eric-law-2.5.25-2.pdf

ITEM 3							
Patrick	Noonan	2/27/2025	3	In Support of Item	No	Dear Members of the Historical Resources Board, I am writing to express my support for the application to grant historical designation to the Spanish Colonial home at 7964 Roseland Dr in La Jolla. As a lifelong San Diego resident and a La Jolla real estate professional, I believe the features of this residence being considered are vital to our local heritage and community. Located near public access to La Jolla Shores beach and the village of La Jolla, this home is a visible landmark admired by many residents and visitors. Its Spanish Colonial features reflect the rich cultural history of San Diego and enhance the neighborhood's character. Preserving this property through historical designation will allow future generations to appreciate its significance and craftsmanship. Protecting such landmarks fosters pride among residents and strengthens our connection to our shared history. The visibility of the historical features at issue amplify its community impact, inviting passersby to engage with San Diego's architectural heritage. Designating it as a historic resource will promote awareness and appreciation of our city's rich cultural history. I urge the board to support the application for historical and cultural significance for 7964 Roseland Dr. Its preservation will be a valuable asset to our community and enhance the cultural fabric of San Diego for years to come. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Patrick@lajollahomes.com www.lajollahomes.com 858-349-6621	
Lauren	Lockhart	2/27/2025	3	In Support of Item	No	See letter attached.	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2 025-02/lambert-spec-house-1-los.pdf
ITEM 4	1	<u> </u>					<u> </u>
Monique	Muther	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	I do not support the attack on National Register Districts. Follow the procedures in place and recognize National Register Districts locally. National Register Districts should have access to the Mills Act automatically as most other municipalities within California do. Do not remove protection from National Register Historic Districts. This is punitive, biased and discriminatory. Put preservation over politics! Promote, process and protect all historic districts. I do not support the anti-preservation movement promoted by YIMBY Dems and Mayor Todd Gloria. Responsible development and historic preservation can co-exist. Deregulating the housing industry destroys preservation, affordability and equity. Historic resources represent less than 2% of the housing stock! Process and protect all historic districts and recognize National Register Districts locally now! This will create certainty for homeowners and builders alike.	
Gregory	Aarons	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	National Register Districts should have access to the Mills Act automatically as most other municipalities within California do. Do not remove protection from National Register Historic Districts. This is punitive, biased and discriminatory. I do not support the anti-preservation movement promoted by YIMBY Dems and Mayor Todd Gloria. Responsible development and historic preservation can co-exist. Deregulating the housing industry destroys preservation, affordability and equity.	

lifelong San Diego	
s Spanish Colonial	
indmarks fosters pride	
nating it as a historic	
community and enhance	
	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2 025-02/lambert-spec-house-1-los.pdf

Susan	Dean	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	The memorandum provided by the City states that San Diego is unique among its peers in having a designation criterion that provides for local listing of National or State registers. This ignores the fact that different CLGs extend protections and incentives differently than San Diego does. For example contributors to National/State Register listed historic districts to apply for Mills Act benefits, while San Diego does not. Apples and ora Also, the report discusses the number of Mills Act contracts, and lack of competitive process or limit on property value - and oddly notes the number of only to LA (SD is second only to LA in size). But so far, the City has not provided an updated analysis of the financial impact of Mills Act Co The only analysis of which I am aware is a 2008 study by Andrew Narwold which concluded that, due to the halo effect on surrounding properties, Mills have a positive effect on net property tax revenue. This report is still listed on the City's website as an illustration of the benefits of historic The City should do its homework on real, current financial impacts of the Mills Act before making adjustments.
Lynn	Mercer	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	I do not support the attack on National Register Districts. Follow the procedures in place and recognize National Register Districts locally. Historic resources represent less than 2% of the housing stock! Process and protect all historic districts and recognize National Register Districts locally n certainty for homeowners and builders alike.
LAURA	HENSON	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	In Package B it states, "Amendments to address, automatic regulation and/or listing of National/State register resources to align with other jurisdictions, service and California office of historic preservation requirements" It appears that Deputy Director Stanco is now suggesting that National Register Districts should not get regulatory protection from the Municipal Code. S access to Criterion E and therefore the Mills Act incentives stating that we had regulatory protection from the municipal code and therefore did not need she is proposing denying us both Mills Act incentives and historic regulatory protection. What has happened to Historic Preservation? It appears that ant regulation YIMBY policies have found an even more comfortable home within Heritage Preservation leadership. This is bad for historic preservation, com and equity. See the attached Conclusion In the Perils of Land Use Deregulation.
Roger	Anderson	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item		Less than 2% of the housing stock is represented by Historic Resources. Process and protect all historic districts and recognize National Register Districts these areas are destroyed- there are so few of them left - they can NEVER return. Do not destroy our history!
Jennifer	Homer	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	Hello, please Do Not remove protection from National Register Historic Districts.This is punative , biased and discriminatory.

of a resource listed on the ole, Pasadena allows ranges. of MA contracts is second Contracts.	
lls Act designations may ric districts.	
now! This will create	
s, as well as National Park . She has denied us ed local designation. Now nti-preservation and anti- ommunities, affordability	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2 025-02/the-perils-of-land-use-deregulation.pdf
ts locally now! Once	

Janet	O'Dea	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	If I am unable to attend please comment on this matter. Thank you Subject: Ensuring Majority Representation by the Historic Resources Board Members presentDear Historic Resources board and staff,I appreciate the ber staff has provided to evaluate processes and programs from other jurisdictions as you reconsider the historic preservation program in the city of San Die that the review did not address how other cities handle voting procedures for historic designations by the Historic Resources Board. Recently, a historic designation was lost for a bungalow court despite a majority of attending board members voting in favor (5 members), simply becaus against it. This outcome, where a minority of board members determines the result, is fundamentally anti-democratic—especially given that the board co many of whom are serving beyond their terms. Additionally, there is no proxy process for absent board members, meaning decisions can be made without full representation. As changes to the progra considered, I urge the city to update the voting requirements to ensure that: A designation decision is based on the majority of members present when there is a quorum. A proxy process could also be established for members unable to attend. These changes will help guarantee that the majority viewpoint is properly reflected for historic designation decisions. Thank you for considering this impor Sincerely, Janet O'Dea
Lisa	Mortensen	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	I do not support the anti-preservation movement promoted by YIMBY Dems and Mayor Todd Gloria. Responsible development and historic preservation Deregulating the housing industry destroys preservation, affordability and equity. Historic resources represent less than 2% of the housing stock! Process historic districts and recognize National Register Districts locally now! This will create certainty for homeowners and builders alike.
Danna	Givot	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	In San Diego, National Register Districts should have access to the Mills Act automatically as most other municipalities within California do. I do not support National Register Districts. Follow the procedures in place and recognize National Register Districts locally. To remove protection from National Register P Diego is punitive, biased and discriminatory. San Diego should be ashamed of having not processed an historic district since 2018. The anti-preservation by YIMBY Dems and Mayor Gloria is a transparent delay tactic and political maneuver to undermine historic preservation in our beautiful and well worth preservation over politics! Promote, process and protect all historic districts. Responsible development and historic preservation can co-exist. Deregulating destroys preservation, affordability and equity. Historic resources represent less than 2% of the city's housing stock! Process and protect all historic district in National Register Districts locally now! It is unconscionable not to do so
MARY	Brown	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	I do not support the attack on National Register Districts. Follow the procedures in place and recognize National Register Districts locally. National Register access to the Mills Act automatically as most other municipalities within California do. Do not remove protection from National Register Historic Districts. and discriminatory. Put preservation over politics! Promote, process and protect all historic districts. I do not support the anti-preservation movement protems and Mayor Todd Gloria. Responsible development and historic preservation can co-exist. Deregulating the housing industry destroys preservation, equity. Historic resources represent less than 2% of the housing stock! Process and protect all historic districts and recognize National Register Districts for create certainty for homeowners and builders alike.
Misha	Moore	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	I do not support the attack on National Register Districts. Follow the procedures in place and recognize National Register Districts locally. National Regist access to the Mills Act automatically as most other municipalities within California do. Do not remove protection from National Register Historic Districts. and discriminatory. Put preservation over politics! Promote, process and protect all historic districts.

penchmarking efforts Diego. However, I noticed use 2 members voted consists of volunteers, ram are being	
nportant issue.	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025- 02/hrb-board-majority-rule.pdf
on can co-exist. ess and protect all	
oport the attack on er Historic Districts in San on movement promoted th preserving city. Put ating the housing industry tricts and recognize	
ster Districts should have ts. This is punitive, biased promoted by YIMBY on, affordability and s locally now! This will	
ster Districts should have ts. This is punitive, biased	

Jeana	Preston	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	I support the preservation of San Diego's historic homes and neighborhoods. Responsible development is possible without destroying our historical com resources represent less than 2% of the housing stock. Please protect our historic districts and also recognize National Register Districts locally. Homes in also have access to the Mills Act. I ask that you put preservation for San Diego neighborhoods over politics!
Ann	Kilrain	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	Dear San Diego Historical Resources Board, You play a critically important role in evaluating, promoting and protecting our city's historic resources and districts. No other individuals or boards hold t responsibility. I strongly urge you to uphold the integrity of San Diego's historic district regulations and work to align rather than segregate local from stat Historic districts make up less than 2% of San Diego's housing stock, yet they provide an irreplaceable connection to our city's past. They are also a rich sc occuring affordable housing. Despite this, San Diego has not designated a local historic district since 2018, leaving the state and national designation prov and legitimate path for recognition and preservation by residents who continue to invest, support and preserve their historic homes and neighborhoods. and processes are almost identical whether the state/national or local path is undertaken with the exception of the time required to come before the res imperative that the SD Historical Resources Board upholds it responsibilities to protect both local and national districts—not weaken the regulations that the other or create what would ultimately be a secondary and unnecessarily duplicative and costly designation process. As stewards of San Diego's heritage, you have the privilege and duty to ensure that preservation remains a priority. I urge you to stand firm against the at "Preservation and Progress" that will erode protections for San Diego's duly recognized national historic districts. All of which were supported by this HRB political influence and pressures from developers and others who will prioritize personal gain over the well-being of our city's historic and cultural assets sense, what's right for San Diego. Instead, please actively promote policy updates that strengthen preservation for future generations by aligning local re State/National and Department of the Interior Standards. To do anything less would be a disservice to our shared history and community and a derelictic respons
Susan	Nowak	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	l do not sport the attack on the National Register. It not only negatively affects the value of my home but the integrity of our community. I do not support movement promoted by YIMBY Dems and Mayor Todd Gloria. Responsible development and historic preservation can co-exist. Deregulating the housing preservation, affordability and equity.
Jeana	Preston	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	l support the preservation of San Diego's historic homes and neighborhoods. Responsible development is possible without destroying our historical com resources represent less than 2% of the housing stock. Please protect our historic districts and also recognize National Register Districts locally. Homes in also have access to the Mills Act. I ask that you put preservation for San Diego neighborhoods over politics!

ommunities. Historic s in these districts should	
d this privilege and tate/national regulations. souce of naturally rocess as the only viable ds. Designation criteria espective boards. It is nat safeguard one over e attempts guised as RB. Please resist the	
ts and do what makes regulations with tion of your	
ort the anti-preservation ng industry destroys	
ommunities. Historic s in these districts should	

Karen	Austin	2/27/2025	4	In Support of Item	No	Historic preservation is crucial for maintaining community character and preserving cultural heritage for future generations. Talmadge is an excellent exc Diego neighborhood and should be an approved historic district. Making it a historic resource will help maintain the unique character and identity of the community, and create a strong sense of pride and belonging. P homes and buildings in this historic district will reduce the need for new construction, will conserve resources, reduce waste and other negative impacts. the City of San Diego has set goals to achieve. Single family homes in a charming neighborhood like Talmadge are one very important and desirable housing type. These homes need to be maintained materials to safeguard their architectural significance. Please help homeowners preserve these beautiful homes, so that they can enjoy the benefits now future community members can own these homes with room for kids to play, room to garden, room for pets and many other wonderful attributes. I urge you to promote and protect our San Diego history by setting strong preservation guidelines so places like the Talmadge Historic District will be app decade after decade by residents near and far.
Debbie	Sanders	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	The history of our City is rich on multiple levels - culture, architecture, military, and aeronautics to name a few. Much of that history is represented in con preservation of these communities is vital to ensure San Diego retains its sense of heritage, uniqueness and special place to live. The updated 'Preservation and Progress' Program being presented today MUST include consideration of the impact to well established neighborhoods to our City. Many of these communities have proactive planning groups and their voice(s) deserve attention - they consist of reasonable, cooperative volume both a need for housing and preservation of historical value. Interaction with the various communities and their constituents can achieve long lived, well minded housing plans. Our City is full of under-utilized corric used for development. Well thought out housing in those corridors can provide the same aesthetics that are sought out in the established neighborhood development ensures a blend of new housing and preservation of existing housing. Our City must strive to achieve an actual true compromise if it desires to maintain itself as "America's Finest City". Thank you for your consideration.
Diana	Carnahan	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	l oppose the attack on National Register Districts! We need to support National Register Districts locally. National Historic Districts should have access to the Mills Act. Do not remove protection from Nati do not support the anti-preservation movement promoted by Mayor Todd Gloria. Responsible development and historic districts can co-exist. Thank you Diana Carnahan
Jayna	McLeod	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	Do not remove protection from national register, historic districts. This is punitive, biased, and discriminatory! National register district should have acce automatically as most other municipalities within California do.

example of an iconic San	
Preserving existing ts. It is the sustainability	
ed using the appropriate w and can also ensure	
pproved and cherished	
ommunities and	
that exist throughout nteers that understand	
ridors that should be ods. This form of	
itional Historic Districts. I	
ess to the Mills act	

			_			
Kurt	Stolle	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	l do not support the anti-preservation movement promoted by YIMBY Dems and Mayor Todd Gloria. Responsible development and historic preservation Deregulating the housing industry destroys preservation, affordability, and equity. DO NOT remove protection from National Register Historic Districts. T and discriminatory.
Cindy	Yurkovich	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	l do not support the attack on National Register Districts. Follow the procedures in place and recognize National Register Districts locally. National Registe access to the Mills Act automatically as most other municipalities within California do. Do not remove protection from National Register Historic Districts. and discriminatory.
JL	Foltyn	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	PRESERVATION OVER POLITICS. i do not support the attack on National Register Districts, which are an important part of the city of San Diego's history. Procedures in place and recognize National Register Districts locally, which comprise 2% of housing. National Register Districts should have access to the as most other municipalities within California do. Do not remove protection from National Register Historic Districts. This is punitive, biased, pro develop promoted by mayor Gloria, who has no interest in our San Diego history, has never owned property in san diego, does not value single family neighborhor historic districts, and is only interested in campaign contributions to finance his political aspirations. Disgusting!
Ann	Garwood	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	San Diego's historical resources should be valued and maintained. Please don't dilute what we have left. "Preservation and progress" is neither. Sincerely, Ann Garwood President of the Hillcrest History Guild
Hoan	Nguyen	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	l do not support the anti-preservation movement promoted by YIMBY Dems and Mayor Todd Gloria. Responsible development and historic preservation Deregulating the housing industry destroys preservation, affordability and equity.

on can co-exist. . This is punitive, biased	
ster Districts should have ts. This is punitive, biased	
Protect them. Follow the ne Mills Act automatically oper agenda item, hoods, historic houses or	
on can co-exist.	

Lott	Hill	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	I do not support revisions to San Diego's preservation program. For San Diego to remain a world class city, you must follow the procedures in place and r Register Districts locally. National Register Districts should have access to the Mills Act automatically as most other municipalities within California do. Do not remove protection f Historic Districts. Doing so will have a negative impact on the future of San Diego and the unique nature of our city. I urge you to promote, process and protect all historic districts. Responsible development and historic preservation can co-exist. Deregulating the housin preservation, affordability and equity. Process and protect all historic districts and recognize National Register Districts locally now! This will create certainty for homeowners and builders alike
Brent	Gutekunst	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	Subject: Public Comment on Item-4 – Recognition of National Register Districts Dear Members of the Historic Resources Board, I urge the Board to formally recognize National Register Historic Districts at the local level and follow the established procedures for their designation an municipalities across California, properties within these districts are automatically granted access to the Mills Act and other preservation incentives, ackn historical significance without additional barriers. San Diego should align with these best practices by ensuring that properties in National Register Districts receive the same consideration locally as they o national levels. This recognition is essential for preserving our city's historic fabric and providing property owners with the incentives needed to maintain significant structures. I respectfully ask the Board to take the necessary steps to follow the procedures already in place and ensure National Register Historic Districts are proper San Diego's historic preservation framework. Thank you for your time and dedication to protecting our city's historic resources. Sincerely, Brent Gutekunst
Celia	McIntosh	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	Hello, I am writing in opposition to certain updates outlined in the Staff Memorandum for the Preservation and Progress Workshop for February 27, 2025 (the ' comments align with the correspondence letter from the Save Our Heritage Organization dated February 26, 2025. While some of the proposals in the Memo make sense to me, my worry lies in the ability for a de novo hearing of appeals before the City Counsel and its existing or prevent new historical designations that are recommended by the Historic Resources Board. I'd also like to see more analysis around San Die city designations. My husband and I recently purchased a contributing property in Talmadge where we now live with our toddler. Part of our decision to invest in Talmadge federal historic preservation status and I am reluctant to support anything that jeopardizes it. Please pause proposed updates and amendments until the PlaceEconomics memo has been published. Thank you. Celia McIntosh

d recognize National	
n from National Register	
sing industry destroys	
ke.	
and treatment. In many knowledging their	
y do at the state and ain and restore these	
operly recognized within	
e "Memo"). In general, my	
ts potential to remove iego vs. other California	
lge was based on its	

Scott	Case	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	l do not support the proposed changes. a. San Diego needs to follow the procedures in place and recognize National Register Districts locally. b. National Register Districts should have access to the Mills Act automatically as most other municipalities within California do. c. Do not remove protection of our local historical districts from National Register Historic Districts.
Donna	Knierim	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	l do not support the anti-preservation movement promoted by YIMBY Dems and Mayor Todd Gloria. Responsible development and historic preservation Please put Preservation over politics !
Susan	Nowak	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item		l do not sport the attack on the National Register. It not only negatively affects the value of my home but the integrity of our community. I do not support movement promoted by YIMBY Dems and Mayor Todd Gloria. Responsible development and historic preservation can co-exist. Deregulating the housing preservation, affordability and equity.
Lauren	Westlundv	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	Regarding the memorandum, historic resources represent less than 2% of the housing stock! Process and protect all historic districts and recognize Natic locally now! This will create certainty for homeowners and builders alike.

on can co-exist.	
ort the anti-preservation ng industry destroys	
tional Register Districts	

Alan	Baia	2/27/2025	4	In Support of Item	No	<ul> <li>Isticity oppose the proposed designation or namadge as a historic district. As a nonneowner in this community for over 20 years, not not want my proposed or unnecessary restrictions imposed on me that would impact my ability to maintain and improve my home. Such a designation would have significant no for homeowners, including increased costs, restricted property rights, and diminished future property value.</li> <li>One of the primary concerns is the loss of freedom to modify and upgrade my property as I see fit. Becoming part of a historic district would mean that a home, including renovations, repairs, or improvements, would need to comply with rigid regulations set by the historical society. These rules could dictat design elements, and construction methods I must use, severely limiting my ability to modernize my home in a way that suits my needs and preferences, oversight would also lead to bureaucratic red tape, requiring approvals that could delay projects and add unnecessary costs.</li> <li>Furthermore, historic district designation would increase the financial burden on homeowners. Any future projects would likely become more expensive requirement to use historically accurate materials and follow preservation guidelines. These constraints could force homeowners to spend significantly no repairs than under current zoning regulations. Additionally, the added regulatory requirements would discourage property investment and create obstace looking to improve their homes.</li> <li>Another primary concern is the impact on property values. While some argue that historic designation enhances a community's appeal, the reality is that home's marketability. Prospective buyers may be deterred by the restrictions that come with historic preservation, as they may not want to be subjected when making changes to their property. A home that cannot be easily modernized or modified according to a buyer's preferences may ultimately reduce homeowner's ability to sell the property at its full market potential.</li></ul>
Katherine	Picano	2/27/2025	4	In Support of Item	No	Please remove the neighborhood of Talmadge from the protection of the National Register Historic Districts. This is punitive, biased, and discriminatory. for about 21 years and am in the process of permitting an ADU garage conversion. One unit will be affordable. The request from the US Secretary of the I Rehabilitation DO NOT makes sense. It states, " New additions, exterior alternations, or related new construction will not destroy historic material, feature relationships that characterize the property. The new work must be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, feature proportions, and massing to protect the integrity of the property." A visually appealing roof was designed, and the historic team is asking to change it so i primary home. I was advised to differentiate the existing structure, the roof of the new construction should be lowered to a hip or flat roof. I believe a flat visually and can cause flooding. It makes no sense why I can't keep a roofline similar to the primary home. The requests from the DSD Historic team are i The requests increase costs to the project. For example, DSD Historic is requesting aluminum / wood / sash windows frames instead of vinyl. The comme team are requesting that the exterior siding must be different from the historic home. The historic home is just stucco. What must the ADU addition be d costly? DSD Historic team is requesting a schedule detailing window / door details such as type of material, dimensions, operation panes. They are reque to be different than the historic. They must be simpler than the historic. The primary home does not have historic windows. They have already been update is request makes no sense. Housing construction should be deregulated. It's destroying the affordability to construct which limits supply and increased reference.
Jan	Taylor	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	I do not support the anti-preservation movement promoted by YIMBY Dems and Mayor Todd Gloria. Responsible development and historic preservation Deregulating the housing industry destroys preservation, affordability and equity. Historic resources represent less than 2% of the housing stock! Process and protect all historic districts and recognize National Register Districts locally no
Louise	Rehling	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	It's common sense for the city to automatically register national districts as local historic districts. The city's slow-walking of historic districts isn't about ei preservation; it's obviously only about politics.

negative consequences	
t any changes to my ate the type of materials, es. The additional	
ve due to the y more on upgrades and tacles for homeowners	
at it can also limit a ed to strict oversight ce value, limiting the	
Property owners should te Talmadge as a historic	
increased costs, eject this designation,	
y. I've lived in Talmadge e Interior's Standard for ures, and spatial ares, size, scale and o it does not match the flat roof is less appealing e incredibly frustrating. ments from DSD historic e different and more uesting any new windows odated and are vinyl. This I rental housing prices.	
on can co-exist.	
now!	
either progress or	

TERRENCE	HANCOCK	2/27/2025	4	In Opposition to Item	No	Dear Board Members. I am writing to express my opposition to this proposal. This is a political, biased attack on National Register Districts. Follow the procedures in place and recognize National Register Districts locally. Quit trying to destroy San Diego's neighborhoods! Do your job! Protect Historical Districts like Talmadge.	
Aileen	Teague	2/27/2025	4	ln Opposition to ltem	No	l do not support the attack on National Register Districts. Follow the procedures in place and recognize National Register Districts locally. Deregulating the housing industry destroys preservation, affordability and equity. Historic resources represent less than 2% of the housing stock! Process and protect all historic districts and recognize National Register Districts locally now!	