



**City of San Diego | Hillcrest Focused Plan Amendment
Uptown Planners Subcommittee Meeting | April 15, 2021**

CHAT LOG

OVERVIEW

The April 15, 2021 Uptown Planners Subcommittee Meeting for the Hillcrest Focused Plan Amendment was held via Zoom. The meeting included a presentation and discussion on Urban Design requirements within the Focused Plan Amendment Area that builds upon the feedback received at the previous meetings covering building form and public spaces.

The meeting was conducted with the Zoom videoconference platform. Attendees typed comments and questions through the “chat” tool. Each chat submittal includes the time and the participant’s name, which are provided in this document.

CHAT LOG

- 00:55:33 Oscar T: I really enjoy the visuals provided with the set backs
- 01:07:57 Carol Emerick: I would like to make a comment. Carol
- 01:09:07 Lu Rehling: Thanks for hearing all of my comments. If time permits, I hope that you might also address the question about the effects on businesses and residents during construction of massive projects in relatively small spaces. Also about funding for “linear parks.”
- 01:11:01 Mat Wahlstrom: I would like to make a comment as well.
- 01:12:05 Lu Rehling: Hmm. I didn’t identify two opposing sides but rather discussion of issues, preferences, concerns. We don’t need to be ideological and it’s unfortunate to characterize comments that way.
- 01:12:27 Lu Rehling: That building that got an onion also was nominated for an orchid. Eye of the beholder.
- 01:13:29 Mat Wahlstrom: Which of these setback and stepback elements in the current Plan are being enforced? Because I'm seeing for example the new "7th & Robinson" project (<http://www.studioarchitects.com/work/projects-on-the-boards/>), where there are four-story sheer solid walls being built directly on the property lines of the two single-story homes of the adjoining properties. Since this project never came before Uptown Planners, it would seem these parts of the current Plan can already be ignored and permitted as ministerial. The reason, I suspect, is that this project is putting 16

micro units on a single family zoned lot, so that density bonuses automatically trumped all other design elements, and so believe this new Plan will replicate this problem.

- 01:20:48 John Bertsch: Agree with Bill about diversity of facade
- 01:29:36 Bill Ellig: I agree with you Brer, park Blvd can get linier park from the public right of way!
- 01:35:33 Gail Friedt: Reminder new UP website: uptownplannerssd.org
- 01:35:50 Oscar T: Very hard to compromise with people that don't want any housing. I agree with Gail's comment, there is a housing shortage and meeting in the middle is not a win win. it is a big loose for those that are looking for housing and can add value and diversity to our neighborhood.
- 01:37:55 Brer Marsh : Have a great evening everyone!
- 01:38:03 Ian: Housing needs are not compromisable.