



October 17, 2024, 6:30pm

Meeting Agenda

InPerson: 7 Oaks Community Center 16789 Bernardo Oaks Dr, San Diego, CA 92128

2024 RB PLANNING BOARD								
P = present		A = absent			ARC = arrived after roll call			
Robin Kaufman		June Smith		Steve Dow		James Nadlonek		
Benjamin Wier		Vicki Touchstone		Rebecca Rapp		Alfredo Ortiz		
Gary Long		Donald Bertsch		Sujata Yellaepeddi		Keith Mikas		
								Total Seated
								12
								Total in Attendance

- ITEM #1 Call to Order/Roll Call:**

- ITEM #2 Chair Remarks:**

- ITEM #3 Non-agenda public comment (3 minutes per speaker): To discuss items not on the agenda, yet within the jurisdiction of the RB Planning Board. Board members should limit discussion of non-agenda items so as not to detract from the time available for agenda items.**

- ITEM #4 Government Staff Reports: **Information Item**
 Various government staff have an opportunity to present updates to the Board.**

- ITEM #5 Modification and Adoption of Agenda: **Action Item****

- ITEM #6 Administrative Items: **Action Items**
 a. Approve July 2024 Meeting Minutes:
 b. Approve Treasurer’s Report:**

- ITEM #7 Rancho Bernardo Community Plan Update **Action Item**
 The City of San Diego Planning Department is proposing to update the Rancho Bernardo Community Plan. There is currently no funding for this project, but it is anticipated to be completed by 2028. According to the City, the updated plan will serve as a long-range vision for land use, mobility, urban design, public facilities and services, natural resources, historic and cultural resources, and economic development.**

- ITEM #8 Land Development Code Update **Information Item**
 The Planning Board’s request to revise the Land Development Code to appropriately address how to process a Capital Improvements Project and/or a City Project that deviates from the Environmentally Sensitive Lands Regulations was submitted to the Planning Department on September 12, 2024.**

- ITEM #9 Infrastructure Planning - City Priorities. **Information Item**
 Information on the City’s Infrastructure Plan on where their priorities are. See Links below and supporting document.
<https://webdocs.sandiego.gov/public/infrastructure-priorities-summary-report.pdf>
<https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2024-01/fy-25-29-five-year-capital-infrastructure-planning-outlook.pdf>**

ITEM #10 Sub-Committee Reports: **Information Item**
Development Review..... Benjamin Wier
Regional Issues..... Vicki Touchstone
Traffic & Transportation.....Robin Kaufman

ITEM #12 Liaison Reports: **Information Item**
Community Council.....Robin Kaufman (Did not meet)*
Community Planners Committee (CPC).....Vicki Touchstone (Report Attached)*
Community Recreation Group (CRG).....Robin Kaufman (Did not meet)*
SANDAG..... Steve Dow
San Dieguito River ParkVicki Touchstone
San Pasqual/Lake Hodges Planning Group.....
Commercial Representative

ITEM #13 OLD BUSINESS ITEM:

ITEM #14 NEW BUSINESS:

ADJOURNMENT:

Community Recreation Group: Did not meet

Community Council: Did not meet

*Note from Robin Kaufman - The RB Community Council held their 14th annual safety fair, adding health and wellness aspects. 43 vendor booths. Chili cook-off contest between fire station #33 and northeastern police department. Police department won second year in a row.

Meeting Notes - Community Planners Committee (CPC) Meeting of 9/24/24

Provided by: Becky Rapp

Public Comments:

Mission Bay Parks improvement PEIR

Ed More: 1,000 bed shelter would be the nation's largest shelter on the smallest amount of land in the country. Equaling 65sq.ft. per person. He's strongly against it and would like to rally support.

Becky Rapp: I wanted to share with you information regarding AB 1775, currently sitting on the Governor's desk. The Bill aims to prioritize profits over health, jeopardizing California's smoke-free workplace protections allowing for the permitting of marijuana cafes. The American Cancer Society is urging Governor Newsom to veto the bill and I'd like to suggest that representatives here tonight make their planning groups aware of the bill and consider publicly supporting a Veto of the bill.

San Diego is the second largest city in the state and therefore can provide great insight when new legislation is being considered in Sacramento.

The ACS states that the legislation violates provisions of state law that prohibit smoking marijuana anywhere the use of tobacco products is banned including smoke-free restaurant laws.

The American Cancer Society and public health educators believe It's not wise to make exceptions to laws in efforts to accommodate a for-profit industry.

Item #4: Measure B

Measure B passed in 2022 allowed for the review of residential area trash collection services and fees. The cost-of-Service study will provide options for All fees, partial fees, and discounted fees. Charging a fee would free up \$60-\$80 million from the general fund.

Cost of Service Study is underway and roll-out will be summer of 2026

The city will not make a profit and won't collect more than it costs them to run the program. Rules under Prop 218 state that there's a 45-day period when mailers will be sent out. Residents can oppose the fee and will need to respond to the mailer. 50 plus 1% will be required not to have a fee implemented.

The study will cost 3-4.4 million

Item #5: Chollas Valley

Chollas Valley filed an appeal against an approved development (project) that didn't fit into the community plan. They needed \$1,000 to file an appeal but didn't have it. Residents pooled the money and filed the appeal. No notices were issued and the PG found out the hearing was happening with 1 day's notice.

The zone wasn't changed but a footnote was added. The zoning change was put into the municipal code with a footnote. I'll attach a picture

The presentation will be sent out via e-mail. Table 131-04D – Development regulations for RS Zones.

Item #6: Planning Department work program

Rancho Bernardo was discussed as a planning group that was next on the list for a plan update. Marlon mentioned that the city was looking for funding before moving forward. (I wondered why they need funding)?

Tierrasanta Rep mentioned that it wasn't a good idea to update your plan because the city will shove high-density housing into it. If you don't update, then you won't have to accommodate high density.

Community plans take precedence over municipal code.