

San Dieguito River Park Joint Powers Authority 18372 Sycamore Creek Road Escondido, CA 92025 (858) 674-2270 Fax (858) 674-2280 www.sdrp.org

DATE: January 10, 2023

TO: Sara Osborn, City of San Diego DSD

FROM: Shawna Anderson, Executive Director

SUBJECT: GHG Consistency Memo

PRJ-1049410, Osuna Segment of Coast to Crest Trail

The purpose of this memo is to provide City staff with information on the above-listed project's consistency with the City's greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions strategies and goals. The Osuna Trail project is a "public infrastructure project" as described in the project CAP Consistency Checklist attached. This memo is a discussion of the project's overall consistency with each of the strategies of the City's CAP as described below.

Strategy 1: Decarbonization of the Built Environment

The project will not conflict with the achievement of decarbonization of the built environment. The Osuna Trail project is a non-vehicular public trail that would improve recreational opportunities for the community and San Diego region. The project implements the San Dieguito River Park Coast to Crest Trail – a planned 71-mile-long public trail - by closing an existing one-mile gap in the Coast to Crest (CTC) Trail in the Fairbanks Ranch community. The CTC Trail by design reduces the use of fossil fuels by providing non-vehicular recreational opportunities accessible to the surrounding community. The trail will connect directly to the existing CTC at the west end and to an existing public road and bike lane on San Dieguito Road (future CTC pathway) at the east end. Pedestrians and cyclists will access the trail from those locations and thus the trail will be accessible from the surrounding community. Vehicular parking is also available at an existing trail staging area approximately 2.5 miles to the west.

Strategy 2: Access to Clean and Renewable Energy

The project would not conflict with the achievement of a goal of 100% renewable energy nor impede or prevent access to clean energy. Project operation would not entail the use of energy and in fact would support use of non-energy consuming modes of travel. The new trail segment would extend an existing public trail by one mile and connect to an existing public road (San Dieguito Road) with bike lanes and planned future multi-use pathway. Access to clean and renewable energy would not be affected.

Strategy 3: Mobility and Land Use

The project by design improves mobility by expanding a non-vehicular mode of travel with connections to the trail network that improve non-vehicular access to movement and recreation. The project furthers implementation of the regional Coast to Crest Trail by closing a gap in the trail and connecting it to an existing public accessway.

Strategy 4: Circular Economy and Clean Communities

This strategy does not apply because the project does not involve demolition of existing structures nor generate construction debris. A minor amount of existing vegetation would be removed to accommodate the trail, which is typically chipped and mulched and used onsite or removed if it contains invasive plants.

Strategy 5: Resilient Infrastructure

The project includes native habitat restoration adjacent to the trail to restore disturbed vegetation and replace non-native species with native upland and riparian habitat. Restoring habitat with native species improves ecosystem health thereby furthering the City's climate resiliency goals.

Strategy 6: Emerging Climate Action

The project would not conflict with the City's ability to achieve and implement emerging climate action strategies. Providing non-vehicular connecting trail networks that improve non-polluting modes of travel supports climate action strategies. The project would not impede or interfere with the ability to achieve these strategies.



- The Checklist is required only for projects subject to CEQA review.²
- ❖ If required, the Checklist must be included in the project submittal package. Application submittal procedures can be found in Chapter 11: Land Development Procedures of the City's Municipal Code.
- ❖ The requirements in the Checklist will be included in the project's conditions of approval.
- The applicant must provide an explanation of how the proposed project will implement the requirements described herein to the satisfaction of the Planning Department.

Application Information							
Contact Information							
Project No./Name:							
Property Address:							
Applicant Name/Co.:							
Contact Phone:							
Was a consultant retained to complete this checklist?	☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, complete the following						
Consultant Name:	Contact Phone:						
Company Name:	Contact Email:						
Project Information							
1. What is the size of the project (acres)?							
2. Identify all applicable proposed land uses:							
\square Residential (indicate # of single-family units):							
\square Residential (indicate # of multi-family units):							
☐ Commercial (total square footage):							
☐ Industrial (total square footage):							
☐ Other (describe):							
3. Is the project or a portion of the project located in a Transit Priority Area?	□ Yes □ No						
4. Provide a brief description of the project proposed:							

² Certain projects seeking ministerial approval may be required to complete the Checklist. For example, projects in a Community Plan Implementation Overlay Zone may be required to use the Checklist to qualify for ministerial level review. See Supplemental Development Regulations in the project's community plan to determine applicability.



CAP CONSISTENCY CHECKLIST QUESTIONS

Step 1: Land Use Consistency

The first step in determining CAP consistency for discretionary development projects is to assess the project's consistency with the growth projections used in the development of the CAP. This section allows the City to determine a project's consistency with the land use assumptions used in the CAP.

	imptons used in the Crit.		
	Step 1: Land Use Consistency		
	ecklist Item neck the appropriate box and provide explanation and supporting documentation for your answer)	Yes	No
A. B.	Is the proposed project consistent with the existing General Plan and Community Plan land use and zoning designations?, OR, If the proposed project is not consistent with the existing land use plan and zoning designations, and includes a land use plan and/or zoning designation amendment, would the proposed amendment result in an increased density within a Transit Priority Area (TPA) and implement CAP Strategy 3 actions, as determined in Step 3 to the satisfaction of the Development Services Department?; OR,		
C.	If the proposed project is not consistent with the existing land use plan and zoning designations, does the project include a land use plan and/or zoning designation amendment that would result in an equivalent or less GHG-intensive project when compared to the existing designations?		
em	Yes ," proceed to Step 2 of the Checklist. For question B above, complete Step 3. For question C above, provissions under both existing and proposed designation(s) for comparison. Compare the maximum buildout d the maximum buildout of the proposed designation.		
noi	No ," in accordance with the City's Significance Determination Thresholds, the project's GHG impact is significanted in Step 2 to mitigate cumulative GHG emissions impacted in Step 2 to mitigate cumulative GHG emissions impacted in Step 2 to mitigate cumulative GHG emissions impacted in Step 2 to mitigate cumulative GHG emissions impacted in Step 2 to mitigate cumulative GHG emissions impacted in Step 2 to mitigate cumulative GHG emissions impacted in Step 2 to mitigate cumulative GHG emissions impacted in Step 2 to mitigate cumulative GHG emissions impacted in Step 3 to 3 t	acts unless the o	decision

³ This question may also be answered in the affirmative if the project is consistent with SANDAG Series 12 growth projections, which were used to determine the CAP projections, as determined by the Planning Department.

⁴ This category applies to all projects that answered in the affirmative to question 3 on the previous page: Is the project or a portion of the project located in a transit priority area.

Step 2: CAP Strategies Consistency

The second step of the CAP consistency review is to review and evaluate a project's consistency with the applicable strategies and actions of the CAP. Step 2 only applies to development projects that involve permits that would require a certificate of occupancy from the Building Official or projects comprised of one and two family dwellings or townhouses as defined in the California Residential Code and their accessory structures. All other development projects that would not require a certificate of occupancy from the Building Official shall implement Best Management Practices for construction activities as set forth in the Greenbook (for public projects).

Step 2: CAP Strategies Consistency			
Checklist Item (Check the appropriate box and provide explanation for your answer)	Yes	No	N/A
Strategy 1: Energy & Water Efficient Buildings			
1. Cool/Green Roofs.			
 Would the project include roofing materials with a minimum 3-year aged solar reflection and thermal emittance or solar reflection index equal to or greater than the values specified in the voluntary measures under <u>California Green Building Standards Code</u> (Attachment A)?; <u>OR</u> Would the project roof construction have a thermal mass over the roof membrane, including areas of vegetated (green) roofs, weighing at least 25 pounds per square foot as specified in the voluntary measures under <u>California</u> 			
 Green Building Standards Code?; OR Would the project include a combination of the above two options? 			
Check "N/A" only if the project does not include a roof component.			

Actions that are not subject to Step 2 would include, for example: 1) discretionary map actions that do not propose specific development, 2) permits allowing wireless communication facilities, 3) special events permits, 4) use permits or other permits that do not result in the expansion or enlargement of a building (e.g., decks, garages, etc.), and 5) non-building infrastructure projects such as roads and pipelines. Because such actions would not result in new occupancy buildings from which GHG emissions reductions could be achieved, the items contained in Step 2 would not be applicable.

2.	Plumbing fixtures and fittings		_
	With respect to plumbing fixtures or fittings provided as part of the project, would those low-flow fixtures/appliances be consistent with each of the following:		
	Residential buildings:		
	Kitchen faucets: maximum flow rate not to exceed 1.5 gallons per minute at 60		
	psi; • Standard dishwashers: 4.25 gallons per cycle;		
	 Compact dishwashers: 3.5 gallons per cycle; and 		
	 Clothes washers: water factor of 6 gallons per cubic feet of drum capacity? 		
	Nonresidential buildings:		
	 Plumbing fixtures and fittings that do not exceed the maximum flow rate specified in <u>Table A5.303.2.3.1 (voluntary measures) of the California Green</u> <u>Building Standards Code</u> (See Attachment A); and 		
	Appliances and fixtures for commercial applications that meet the provisions of		
	Section A5.303.3 (voluntary measures) of the California Green Building Standards Code (See Attachment A)?		
	Check "N/A" only if the project does not include any plumbing fixtures or fittings.		

⁶ Non-portable bicycle corrals within 600 feet of project frontage can be counted towards the project's bicycle parking requirements.

If the project includes nonresidential development that would accommodate over 10 tenant occupants (employees), would the project include changing/shower facilities in accordance with the voluntary measures under the California Green Building Standards Code as shown in the table below? Number of Tenant Occupants (Employees) Shower/Changing Facilities Required Two-Tier (12" X 15" X 72") Personal Effects Lockers Required D-10	Shower fo	acilities					
Occupants (Employees) Occupants (Incomplete Required) Incomplete Required (Incomplete Required) Occupants (Incomplete Required) Incomplete Required (Incomplete Required) Inco	tenant occup accordance	pants (employees), with the voluntary n	would the project inclune as ures under the Ca	de changing/shower f	acilities in		
11-50		Occupants		72") Personal Effects			
51-100		0-10	0	0			
101-200		11-50	1 shower stall	2			
Over 200 1 shower stall plus 1 additional shower stall for each 200 additional tenant-occupants 1 two-tier locker plus 1 two-tier locker for each 50 additional tenant-occupants Check "N/A" only if the project is a residential project, or if it does not include nonresidential development that would accommodate over 10 tenant occupants		51-100	1 shower stall	3			
Over 200 additional shower stall for each 200 additional tenant-occupants Check "N/A" only if the project is a residential project, or if it does not include nonresidential development that would accommodate over 10 tenant occupants		101-200	1 shower stall	4			
nonresidential development that would accommodate over 10 tenant occupants		Over 200	additional shower stall for each 200 additional	two-tier locker for each 50 additional tenant-			
	nonresider	ntial development th					

	Number of Required Parking Spaces	Number of Designated Parking Spaces			
	0-9	0	-		
	10-25	2	7		
	26-50	4	7		
	51-75	6	7		
	76-100	9	7		
	101-150	11	7		
	151-200	18	7		
	201 and over	At least 10% of total			
Juition to			a alcoda		
	A" only if the project is a residential use in a TPA.	ential project, or if it does not ir	nciude		

Transportation Demand Management Program		
If the project would accommodate over 50 tenant-occupants (employees), would it include a transportation demand management program that would be applicable to existing tenants and future tenants that includes:		
At least one of the following components:		
Parking cash out program		
 Parking management plan that includes charging employees market-rate for single-occupancy vehicle parking and providing reserved, discounted, or free spaces for registered carpools or vanpools 		
 Unbundled parking whereby parking spaces would be leased or sold separately from the rental or purchase fees for the development for the life of the development 		
And at least three of the following components:		
 Commitment to maintaining an employer network in the SANDAG iCommute program and promoting its RideMatcher service to tenants/employees 		
On-site carsharing vehicle(s) or bikesharing		
Flexible or alternative work hours		
Telework program		
Transit, carpool, and vanpool subsidies		
 Pre-tax deduction for transit or vanpool fares and bicycle commute costs 		
 Access to services that reduce the need to drive, such as cafes, commercial stores, banks, post offices, restaurants, gyms, or childcare, either onsite or within 1,320 feet (1/4 mile) of the structure/use? 		
Check "N/A" only if the project is a residential project or if it would not accommodate over 50 tenant-occupants (employees).		

Step 3: Project CAP Conformance Evaluation (if applicable)

The third step of the CAP consistency review only applies if Step 1 is answered in the affirmative under option B. The purpose of this step is to determine whether a project that is located in a TPA but that includes a land use plan and/or zoning designation amendment is nevertheless consistent with the assumptions in the CAP because it would implement CAP Strategy 3 actions. In general, a project that would result in a reduction in density inside a TPA would not be consistent with Strategy 3. The following questions must each be answered in the affirmative and fully explained.

1. Would the proposed project implement the General Plan's City of Villages strategy in an identified Transit Priority Area (TPA) that will result in an increase in the capacity for transit-supportive residential and/or employment densities?

Considerations for this question:

- Does the proposed land use and zoning designation associated with the project provide capacity for transit-supportive residential densities within the TPA?
- Is the project site suitable to accommodate mixed-use village development, as defined in the General Plan, within the TPA?
- Does the land use and zoning associated with the project increase the capacity for transit-supportive employment intensities within the TPA?

2. Would the proposed project implement the General Plan's Mobility Element in Transit Priority Areas to increase the use of transit? Considerations for this guestion:

- Does the proposed project support/incorporate identified transit routes and stops/stations?
- Does the project include transit priority measures?

3. Would the proposed project implement pedestrian improvements in Transit Priority Areas to increase walking opportunities? Considerations for this guestion:

- Does the proposed project circulation system provide multiple and direct pedestrian connections and accessibility to local activity centers (such as transit stations, schools, shopping centers, and libraries)?
- Does the proposed project urban design include features for walkability to promote a transit supportive environment?

4. Would the proposed project implement the City of San Diego's Bicycle Master Plan to increase bicycling opportunities? Considerations for this guestion:

- Does the proposed project circulation system include bicycle improvements consistent with the Bicycle Master Plan?
- Does the overall project circulation system provide a balanced, multimodal, "complete streets" approach to accommodate mobility needs of all users?

5. Would the proposed project incorporate implementation mechanisms that support Transit Oriented Development? Considerations for this question:

- Does the proposed project include new or expanded urban public spaces such as plazas, pocket parks, or urban greens in the TPA?
- Does the land use and zoning associated with the proposed project increase the potential for jobs within the TPA?
- Do the zoning/implementing regulations associated with the proposed project support the efficient use of parking through mechanisms such as: shared parking, parking districts, unbundled parking, reduced parking, paid or time-limited parking, etc.?

6. Would the proposed project implement the Urban Forest Management Plan to increase urban tree canopy coverage?

Considerations for this question:

- Does the proposed project provide at least three different species for the primary, secondary and accent trees in order to accommodate varying parkway widths?
- Does the proposed project include policies or strategies for preserving existing trees?
- Does the proposed project incorporate tree planting that will contribute to the City's 20% urban canopy tree coverage goal?



This attachment provides performance standards for applicable Climate Action Pan (CAP) Consistency Checklist measures.

Table 1 Roof Design Values for Question 1: Cool/Green Roofs supporting Strategy 1: Energy & Wa Efficient Buildings of the Climate Action Plan						
Land Use Type	Roof Slope	Minimum 3-Year Aged Solar Reflectance	Thermal Emittance	Solar Reflective Index		
Low-Rise Residential	≤2:12	0.55	0.75	64		
Low-Rise Residential	> 2:12	0.20	0.75	16		
High-Rise Residential Buildings,	≤2:12	0.55	0.75	64		
Hotels and Motels	> 2:12	0.20	0.75	16		
Non-Residential	≤2:12	0.55	0.75	64		
Non-Residential	> 2:12	0.20	0.75	16		

Source: Adapted from the California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) Tier 1 residential and non-residential voluntary measures shown in Tables A4.106.5.1 and A5.106.11.2.2, respectively. Roof installation and verification shall occur in accordance with the CALGreen Code.

CALGreen does not include recommended values for low-rise residential buildings with roof slopes of ≤ 2:12 for San Diego's climate zones (7 and 10). Therefore, the values for climate zone 15 that covers Imperial County are adapted here.

Solar Reflectance Index (SRI) equal to or greater than the values specified in this table may be used as an alternative to compliance with the aged solar reflectance values and thermal emittance.

Table 2 Fixture Flow Rates for Non-Residential Buildings related to Question 2: Plumbing Fixtures a Fittings supporting Strategy 1: Energy & Water Efficient Buildings of the Climate Action Pla				
	Fixture Type	Maximum Flow Rate		
	Showerheads	1.8 gpm @ 80 psi		
Lavatory Faucets Kitchen Faucets Wash Fountains Metering Faucets Metering Faucets for Wash Fountains Gravity Tank-type Water Closets Flushometer Tank Water Closets		0.35 gpm @60 psi		
		1.6 gpm @ 60 psi		
		1.6 [rim space(in.)/20 gpm @ 60 psi]		
		0.18 gallons/cycle		
		0.18 [rim space(in.)/20 gpm @ 60 psi]		
		1.12 gallons/flush		
		1.12 gallons/flush		
	Flushometer Valve Water Closets	1.12 gallons/flush		
_	Electromechanical Hydraulic Water Closets	1.12 gallons/flush		
	Urinals	0.5 gallons/flush		

Source: Adapted from the California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) Tier 1 non-residential voluntary measures shown in Tables A5.303.2.3.1 and A5.106.11.2.2, respectively. See the California Plumbing Code for definitions of each fixture type.

Where complying faucets are unavailable, aerators rated at 0.35 gpm or other means may be used to achieve reduction.

Acronyms:

gpm = gallons per minute psi = pounds per square inch (unit of pressure)

in. = inch

Table 3 Standards for Appliances and Fixtures for Commercial Application related to Question 2: Plumbing Fixtures and Fittings supporting Strategy 1: Energy & Water Efficient Buildings of the Climate Action Plan						
Appliance/Fixture Type	Standard					
Clothes Washers	Maximum Water Factor (WF) that will reduce the use of water by 10 percent below the California Energy Commissions' WF standards for commercial clothes washers located in Title 20 of the California Code of Regulations.					
Conveyor-type Dishwashers	0.70 maximum gallons per rack (2.6 L) (High-Temperature)	0.62 maximum gallons per rack (4.4 L) (Chemical)				
Door-type Dishwashers	0.95 maximum gallons per rack (3.6 L) (High-Temperature) 1.16 maximum gallons per rack (2.6 L) (Chemical)					
Undercounter-type Dishwashers	0.90 maximum gallons per rack (3.4 L) (High-Temperature)	0.98 maximum gallons per rack (3.7 L) (Chemical)				
Combination Ovens	Consume no more than 10 gallons per hour (38 L/h) in the full operational mode. Function at equal to or less than 1.6 gallons per minute (0.10 L/s) at 60 psi (414 kPa) and Be capable of cleaning 60 plates in an average time of not more than 30 seconds per plate. Be equipped with an integral automatic shutoff. Operate at static pressure of at least 30 psi (207 kPa) when designed for a flow rate of 1.3 gallons per minute (0.08 L/s) or less.					
Commercial Pre-rinse Spray Valves (manufactured on or after January 1, 2006)						

Source: Adapted from the California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) Tier 1 non-residential voluntary measures shown in Section A5.303.3. See the California Plumbing Code for definitions of each appliance/fixture type.

Acronyms: L = liter

L/h = liters per hour
L/s = liters per second
psi = pounds per square inch (unit of pressure)
kPa = kilopascal (unit of pressure)