

PRELIMINARY HYDROLOGY/DRAINAGE STUDY

FOR

ROMERO DRIVE TENTATIVE MAP

6850 Country Club Drive La Jolla, CA 92037 Portion of Parcel 3 of PM 21506, APN: 352-300-11

City of San Diego SDP No. 1050407 / Tentative Plan No. 1050354 / CDP No. 1050394 PRJ-1063767

Applicant/Developer: **La Jolla Reserve, LLC** 10452 Coyote Hill Lane Escondido, CA 92026 (619) 446-5000

PREPARED BY:

Son-Engineering civil engineers P.O. Box 1707 Alpine, CA 91903 (619) 770-9339, son@soncivil.com *SON2307-03*

Dated: December 17, 2023

DECLARATION OF RESPONSIBLE CHARGE

I, HEREBY DECLARE THAT I AM THE CIVIL ENGINEER OF WORK FOR THIS PROJECT, THAT I HAVE EXERCISED RESPONSIBLE CHARGE OVER THE DESIGN OF THE PROJECT AS DEFINED IN SECTION 6703 OF THE BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE, AND THAT THE DESIGN IS CONSISTENT WITH CURRENT STANDARDS.

I UNDERSTAND THAT THE CHECK OF PROJECT DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS BY THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO IS CONFINED TO A REVIEW ONLY AND DOES NOT RELIEVE ME, AS ENGINEER OF WORK, OF MY RESPONSIBILITY FOR PROJECT DESIGN.

SON P. NGUYEN R.C.E. 86249 EXP. 03-31-25



<u>12-17-2023</u> DATE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>

Project Information	1
Drainage Maps	8
Pre-Development Calculations	<u>11</u>
Post-Development Calculations	15
Post-Development Calculations (Mitigated)	<u>21</u>
Detention Calculations	<u>2</u> 7

PRELIMINARY HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS FOR ROMERO DRIVE TENTATIVE MAP

The project is located at 6850 Country Club Drive with Assessor's Parcel Nos. 352-300-11. The project proposes to grade approximately 3.74 acres for the construction of 5 proposed residences and an access concrete driveway that will connect to an existing concrete driveway. The following hydrology calculations were prepared utilizing the rational method with Hydraflow Hydrographs software and the 2017 City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual criteria. The manual allows for a weighted C value based on the actual percentage area of impervious surface. See the enclosed runoff factor calculations for determination of C factors for each of the pre- and post-development drainage basins.

PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS: The existing site currently is a golf course with an existing concrete paved driveway along the middle portion of the site which is accessed via Romero Drive near the northwest corner of the site. The existing drainage within the site is divided up into two drainage basins (refer to Pre-Development Drainage Map located in this report). Drainage Basin A consists of natural sheet flow in the general east direction that is directed toward an existing detention basin. The peak 100-year storm event discharge for Drainage Basin A is 0.77 cfs. Drainage Basin B consists of natural sheet flows in a general southeasterly direction that is directed towards an existing biofiltration basin. The peak 100-year storm event discharge for Drainage Basin B is 4.42 cfs. The total pre-development 100-year peak discharge from the proposed development site (Basin A + Basin B) is 5.19 cfs.

POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS:

Drainage Basin A will not be developed and will discharge similar to the predevelopment condition, where runoff from this area will sheet flow into the existing detention basin. As part of the proposed project, the site will go through a coastal development permit process to adjust the property lot lines to create five separate single-family residential lots in Drainage Basin B. Each newly created lot will accommodate a new single-family residence and its appurtenances. The proposed development will maintain similar drainage patterns as in the existing condition, and will consist of five drainage basins (refer to the enclosed Post-Development Drainage Map). Drainage basin B is comprised of five sub-basins: B1-A, B1-B, B2, B3, B4, B5, and B6. Basins B1 through B5 consists of runoff from the proposed main residence and its adjacent landscape areas. Runoff from the house rooftop will be directed through roof gutters onto the adjacent landscape areas prior to entering a proposed storm drain system that will direct runoff into a proposed biofiltration with partial retention basin which will provide some mitigation of the 100-year peak discharge. Sub-basin B6 is the graded slope outside basins B1-B5 and is sheet flow through the natural vegetated Parcel C then off site. Sub-basins B1-B5 consist of graded slopes and any peak flows exceeding the low flow threshold in the biofiltration basin will be collected in the overflow inlets and conveyed through Lots 2 to 5 and discharging onto an energy dissipater prior flowing downstream at the northerly boundary of Lot 5. The peak 100-year discharge of Drainage Basin B is 5.00 cfs. The total post-development peak 100-year discharge from the proposed development site (Drainage Basin A + B) after mitigation is approximately 5.77 cfs.

The following table is a summary of the 100-year peak discharges for the pre- and postdevelopment conditions:

PRE	& POST	DEVELC	PMENT	100-YR.	PEAK E	SCHAR	GES
PRE-C	EVELOPMEN	т	POS	ST-DEVELOPN	MENT	POST-DE WITH M	VELOPMENT ITIGATION
BASIN ID	AREA A (IN ACRES)	DISCHARGE Qicco (IN CFS)	SUB-BASIN ID	AREA A (IN ACRES)	DISCHARGE Qioo (IN CFS)	AREA A (IN ACRES)	DISCHARGE Qioo (IN CFS)
A	O.53	O.77	A	O.53	0.77	O.53	O.77
В	3.84	4.42	B1-A	0.24	1.03	0.24	0.60
			B1-B	0.06	0.14	0.06	0.06
			B2	0.64	1.06	0.64	O.35
			B3	O.59	1.75	O.59	O.95
			B4	O.58	1.08	0.58	0.40
			B5	0.98	3.24	0.98	1.70
			B6	O.65	0.94	O.65	0.94
TOTAL	4.37 AC.	5.19 CFS		4.27 AC.	IO.OI CFS	4.27 AC.	5.77 CFS

SUMMARY OF 100-YEAR, 6-HOUR STORM EVENT

CONCLUSION:

- The peak 100-year discharge from the post-development after mitigation will be less than the discharge in the pre-development condition.
- There will be no negative impacts to downstream and/or adjacent properties / drainage facilities due to the construction of the proposed development.
- The project site does not impact waters of the U.S., therefore it is not subject to CWA 401/404 regulations.





Figure A-1. Intensity-Duration-Frequency Design Chart





APPENDIX A: RATIO NAL METHO D AND MODIFIED RATIO NAL METHOD

Table A-1. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method

Land Use	Runoff Coefficient (C) Soil Type ⁽¹⁾
Residential:	
Single Family (Assumed 50% Imperviousness)	0.55
Multi-Units	0.70
Mobile Homes	0.65
Rural (lots greater than ½ acre)	0.45
Commercial ⁽²⁾	
80% Impervious	0.85
Industrial ⁽²⁾	
90% Impervious	0.95

Note:

⁽¹⁾ Type D soil to be used for all areas.

⁽²⁾ Where actual conditions deviate significantly from the tabulated imperviousness values of 80% or 90%, the values given for coefficient C, may be revised by multiplying 80% or 90% by the ratio of actual imperviousness to the tabulated imperviousness. However, in case shall the final coefficient be less than 0.50. For example: Consider commercial property on D soil.

Actual impe	erviou	sness	=	60%
Tabulated in Single-Fami	nperv ly)	viousness (For	=	50%
Revised C 0.55	=	(60/50) x	=	0.66

The values in Table A–1 are typical for urban areas. However, if the basin contains rural or agricultural land use, parks, golf courses, or other types of nonurban land use that are expected to be permanent, the appropriate value should be selected based upon the soil and cover and approved by the City.

Pre-Developmer	it - Rome	ro Drive 1
Basin	Α	В
Impervious Area (SF)	7,841	19,858
Total Basin Area (SF)	23,087	125,017
Actual imperviousness (AI) = Imp. Area/Total Area	34%	16%
Tabulated imperviousness =	45%	45%
For Single-Family: Revised C = (AI/50) x 0.55, 0.50 Minimum For Rural: C = 0.45	0.42	0.19
Use	0.50	0.50

Pre-Development - Romero Drive TM

APPENDIX A: RATIONAL METHOD AND MODIFIED RATIONAL METHOD

Table A-1. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method

Land Use	Runoff Coefficient (C) Soil Type ⁽¹⁾
Residential:	
Single Family (Assumed 50% Imperviousness)	0.55
Multi-Units	0.70
Mobile Homes	0.65
Rural (lots greater than ½ acre)	0.45
Commercial ⁽²⁾	
80% Impervious	0.85
Industrial ⁽²⁾	
90% Impervious	0.95

Note:

 $^{\left(1\right) }$ Type D soil to be used for all areas.

⁽²⁾ Where actual conditions deviate significantly from the tabulated imperviousness values of 80% or 90%, the values given for coefficient C, may be revised by multiplying 80% or 90% by the ratio of actual imperviousness to the tabulated imperviousness. However, in case shall the final coefficient be less than 0.50. For example: Consider commercial property on D soil.

Actual imperviousness	=	60%
Tabulated imperviousness (For Single-Family)	=	50%
Revised C = $(60/50) \ge 0.55$	=	0.66

The values in Table A–1 are typical for urban areas. However, if the basin contains rural or agricultural land use, parks, golf courses, or other types of nonurban land use that are expected to be permanent, the appropriate value should be selected based upon the soil and cover and approved by the City.

Basin	B1-A	B1-B	B2	B3	B4	B5	Α
Impervious Area (SF)	9,160	1,611	11,799	16,122	12,631	30,069	7,841
(Acres)	0.210	0.037	0.271	0.370	0.290	0.690	0.180
Total Basin Area (SF)	10,314	2,765	27,817	25,793	25,384	42,829	23,087
(Acres)	0.237	0.063	0.639	0.592	0.583	0.983	0.530
0.71	89%	58%	42%	63%	50%	70%	34%
Tabulated imperviousness =	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
For Single-Family: Revised C = (AI/50) x 0.55, 0.50 Minimum For Rural: C = 0.45	0.98	0.64	0.47	0.69	0.55	0.77	0.37
Use	0.98	0.64	0.50	0.69	0.55	0.77	0.50

Post-Development Romero Drive TM

DRAINAGE MAPS



		-15									TOTAL 4.37 AC. 5					C (P A 0.53	ID (IN ACRES) ()	PRE & POST D			
		50								I. REDU	,19 CFS						0.77	SCHARGE Qioo IN CFS)	EVELC	DIRECT		
PR	TELEPHON SON	o q S	/ 🕅							S ON LOTS B		B 6	84 85	B3	B2	B1-B	A R1_A	SUB-BASIN		ION OF FLOW	GE BASIN BO	
ELIMINA	4E (619) 770-93 P. NGUYEN	ON-Engin								i thru B5	4.27 AC.	0.65	O.58 O.98	0.59	0.64	0.06	O 0.53	AREA A (IN ACRES)	100-YR.	I	JUNDARY	
-31-25 MERO I RY PRE DRAINA	339, son@soncivil R.C.E. 86249	neering incers	DF WORK							IENT AREA DI	10.01 CFS	0.94	1.08 3.24	1.75	1.06	0.14	0.77	DISCHARGE Qioo (IN CFS)				
DRIVE T DEVEL	.com • • • •	REGISTERED	PR							JE TO PROPO	4.27 AC.	0.65	O.58 O.98	0.59	0.64	0.06	O.53	AREA A (IN ACRES)	POST-DEV WITH MI			
-M OPMENT	OF CALIFORNIE	C 86249 p. 03-31-25	NOFESS ION							SED	5.77 CFS	0.94	0.40	0.95	O.35	0.06	0.77	DISCHARGE Qioo (IN CFS)	FGES			>
SHEET	NO	DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTIC	DN BY	NO DATE		REVISION DESCRIPTION		BY	DESIGNER	NP	,	SHEET TITLE		<u> </u>	PF	RELIM					
OF 1 SUFFER	1 (03/08/2023	REVISIONS PER 1ST REVIEW C UPDATES PER UPDATED SIT	COMMENTS SDA TE PLAN SON						DRAWN CHECKED DATE	JGF SPN 06-17-7	=	JOB NAME	68	RO 850 LA	MER COUN	D DF	RIVE T CLUB E A 9203	TM DRIVE	MAP		
JOB NO. LJ4736		SNIPE:	S-DYE ASSOCIA	ATES 834	8 CENTE	R DRIVE,	SUITE G. L	A MESA, C	A 91942-29	10 (619)	697	7—92	234, F	-AX	(619) 46	0-2	033	•			



			4									r													
		F							545				TOTAL						B	A	BASIN	PRE &			
)/-		535							540				4.37 AC.						3.84	0.53	AREA A A (IN ACRES)				
	/ /											NOTE: N REDU	5.19 CFS						4.42	0.77	DISCHARGE Qioo (IN CFS)		DIRECT	BASIN DRAIN	LEO
PRE	SON	P.O. TELEPHONI										S ON LOTS B		B 6	B 5	B4	B2	B1-B	B1-A	٨	SUB-BASIN		FION OF FLOV	id no. Age basin ba	λEND
R	P. NGUYEN EXPIRES 03-	UN-Engli civil eng: BOX 1707, ALF E (619) 770-93										it-developi I thru B5	4.27 AC.	0.65	O.98	0.59 0.58	0.64	0.06	0.24	0.53	AREA A (IN ACRES)	100-YR.		DUNDARY	
DMERO L RY POS DRAINAG	R.C.E. 86249 - 31-25	1<i>CE</i>TINg incers INE, CA 91903 39, son©soncivil.c	OF WORK									NENT AREA DU	10.01 CFS	0.94	3.24	1.75	1.06	0.14	1.03	0.77	DISCHARGE Qioo (IN CFS)	PEAK D			
DRIVE 1 T-DEVEI GE MAP	AIE	REGIS	ERED DR										4.27 AC.	0.65	O.98	O.59	0.64	0.06	0.24	O.53	AREA A A A A A A A CRES)	POST-DE	÷		
rM LOPMENT	OF CALIFOR	C 86249 5. 03-31-25 CIVIL	OFESSIONAL									SED	5.77 CFS	0.94	1.70	0.40 0.40	0.35	0.06	0.60	0.77	DISCHARGE Qioo (IN CFS)	ICLOPMENT	¥	Þ	
SHEET	N	0 DATE		REVISION DESCRIPTION	BY	NO DATE		REVISIO	N DESCRIPTION	BY		DESIGNER	NE)	SHEET T				PRE		NARY				
4	1	03/08/202	REV	ISIONS PER 1ST REVIEW COMMENTS	SDA SON							DRAWN	JG	F	JOB NAM	IE PC	<u>/31-(</u> г				<u>NI UKAI</u>	NAGE			
OF				TUTILS FLIT NEVISED SHE FLAN								CHECKED DATE	SP	N	-		685 <u>-</u>			RY		IVI RIVE			
JOB NO.							1707		$\cap \Lambda \cap 1 \cap \cap 7$				<mark>06-17-</mark> (2 022	770	077	$\frac{L}{\Omega}$.A J(JLLA	, CA	a 92037	/			
LJ4/36		SUV	- C / V G / / V C I	$\underline{\prime}$ / $(// \vee \bigcirc$	Ρ.Ο	DUX	1707,	ALMINE,	CA JIJUJ	SUNWSU	$V \cup V \cup V \cup U$	JIVI	(\mathcal{O})	\mathcal{I} ,	////-	- 900	I								

PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS

PRE-DEVELOPMENT PEAK 100-YEAR DISCHARGES (Q)										
BASIN A: Q ₁₀₀										
<u>COMBINED RUNOFF COEFFICIENT (C):</u>										
Land Use	Coefficient (C)	Tributary Area]							
Rural (Lots greater than 1/2 acre)	0.45	0.53 AC]							
City of San Diego Drainage Design N Table A-1: Runoff Coefficient for Rat	/anual - January 2017 Ec ional Method. (See Enclo	lition sed Calculations)								
C= Runoff Factor										
			= <mark>0.</mark>	<u>50</u>						
<u>RAINFALL INTENSITY (I):</u>										
ΔE = Change in elevation along the	ne Effective Slope		=	6.5 Feet						
D= Water Course Distance			=	296 Feet						
				0.00.0/						
$S = Slope = (\Delta E/D) \times 100\%$			= _	2.20 %						
To - Time of Concentration										
$T_{c} = T_{im} e \text{ or Concentration}$	_		_ [14.20						
$T_c = [1.8(1.1-C)(D^{-1}/2)]/[S^{-1}(1/3)]$	=		=	14.30 Minutes						
				Use 5 Minutes Minimum						
Urban Areas Overland Time of Fl	ow Curves Pg. A-8									
City of San Diego- Drainage Desi	gn Manual 2017									
Intensity = Intensity-Duration-Free	quency Curves Pg. A-4	1	=	2.90 Inches/Hour						
City of San Diego- Drainage Desi	gn Manual 2017									
PEAK DISCHARGES (Q):										
A = Area of the basin			=	0.53 Acres						
Q = CIA			=	0.77 ft ³ /sec						

PRE-DEVELOPN	IENT PEAK 100	-YEAR DISCHA	RGES (Q))
BASIN B: Q ₁₀₀				
COMBINED RUNOFF COEFFICIENT (C	<u>):</u>			
Land Use	Coefficient (C)	Tributary Area]	
Rural (Lots greater than 1/2 acre)	0.45	3.84 AC]	
City of San Diego Drainage Desigr Table A-1: Runoff Coefficient for R	n Manual - January 2017 ational Method. (See End	Edition closed Calculations)		
C= Runoff Factor				
			= <mark>0.50</mark>	
RAINFALL INTENSITY (I):				
$\Delta F = Change in elevation along$	the Effective Slope		_	11 Foot
	line Enective Slope		-	44 7661
D= Water Course Distance			- 1	080 Feet
			- //	000 1 661
$S = Slope = (\Lambda F/D) \times 100\%$			= 4	07 %
Tc = Time of Concentration				
T c= [1.8(1.1-C)(D^1/2)]/[S^(1/3)] =		= 22	.22 Minutes
	-			
Urban Areas Overland Time of	Flow Curves Pg. A-8			
City of San Diego- Drainage De	sign Manual 2017			
	0			
Intensity = Intensity-Duration-Fr	equency Curves Pg. A	<i>\-4</i>	= 2	
City of San Diego- Drainage De	sign Manual 2017			
<u>PEAK DISCHARGES (Q):</u>				
A = Area of the basin			= 3	.84 Acres
Q = C/A			=	$42 \text{ ft}^{3}/\text{soc}$
			- 4	-+2 IL /38L

POST-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS

Romero Drive TM Post-Development Lot 1 (BASIN 1A)

	POST-DEVELO	PMENT PEAK	100-YEAR DIS	CHAR	GES	(Q)
BASI	N LOT B1-A: Q ₁₀₀					
		- (-)				
<u>COMBII</u>	NED RUNOFF COEFFICIEN	<u>T (C):</u>				
	Land Use	Coefficient (C)	Tributary Area			
	Single Family	0.98	0.24	AC		
	City of San Diego Drainage De Table A-1: Runoff Coefficient t					
	C= Runoff Factor				= <mark>0.9</mark>	98
<u>RAINFA</u>	LL INTENSITY (I):					
	ΔE = Change in elevation a	ong the Effective Slope			= [16.5 Feet
						10.0 1 000
	D= Water Course Distance				=	310 Feet
	S= Slope = (∆E/D) X 1009	6			=	5.32 %
	Tc = Time of Concentration	1				
	Tc=[1.8(1.1-C)(D^1/2)]/[S^	(1/3)] =			=	2.18 Minutes
	Urban Areas Overland Time	e of Flow Curves Pa. A-	8			Use 5 Minutes Minimum
	City of San Diego- Drainage	e Design Manual 2017	-			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0				
	Intensity = Intensity-Duratio	n-Frequency Curves P	g. A-4		=	4.40 Inches/Hour
	City of San Diego- Drainage	e Design Manual 2017			-	
PEAK D	<u>ISCHARGES (Q):</u>					
	A = Area of the basin				=	0.24 Acres
	Q = CIA				=	1.03 ft ³ /sec

Hydrograph Report

Studio Express by Hydrology Studio v 1.0.0.15

BASIN 1A

Project Name: Romero Dr TM

12-17-2023

Hyd. No. 1



Hydrograph Discharge Table

NRCS

Time (hrs)	Outflow (cfs)								
2.32	0.012	5.92	0.076						
2.42	0.023	6.02	0.073						
2.52	0.039	6.12	0.033						
2.62	0.061	6.22	0.003						
2.72	0.094	end	end						
2.82	0.150								
2.92	0.266								
3.02	0.622								
3.12	1.046								
3.22	0.783								
3.32	0.491								
3.42	0.365								
3.52	0.296								
3.62	0.252								
3.72	0.221								
3.82	0.198								
3.92	0.180								
4.02	0.165								
4.12	0.153								
4.22	0.144								
4.32	0.135								
4.42	0.128								
4.52	0.122								
4.62	0.116								
4.72	0.111								
4.82	0.106								
4.92	0.102								
5.02	0.099								
5.12	0.095								
5.22	0.092								
5.32	0.090								
5.42	0.087								
5.52	0.084								
5.62	0.082								
5.72	0.080								
5.82	0.078								

Romero Drive TM Post-Development Lot 1 (BASIN 1B)

	POST-DEVELO	PMENT PEAK	100-YEAR DIS	CHAR	GES	(Q)
BASI	N LOT B1-B: Q ₁₀₀					
2010						
COMBIN	NED RUNOFF COEFFICIEN	<u>(C):</u>				
	Land Use	Coefficient (C)	Tributary Area			
	Single Family	0.64	0.04	AC		
	City of San Diego Drainage De Table A-1: Runoff Coefficient fo					
	C= Runoff Factor				= <mark>0.6</mark>	4
<u>RAINFA</u>	<u>LL INTENSITY (I):</u>					
	ΔE = Change in elevation al	ong the Effective Slope	9		=	16.5 Feet
	D= Water Course Distance				=	310 Feet
	S= Slope = (<i>ΔE/D</i>) X 100%				=	5.32 %
	Tc = Time of Concentration					
	$T_c = [1.8(1.1-C)(D^{1/2})]/[S^{(1)}]$	[1/3)] =			=	8.35 Minutes
	Urban Areas Overland Time	of Flow Curves Pg. A	-8			Minimum
	City of San Diego- Drainage	Design Manual 2017				
	Intensity = Intensity-Duration	n-Frequency Curves F	Pg. A-4		=	4.40 Inches/Hour
	City of San Diego- Drainage	Design Manual 2017				
PEAK D	ISCHARGES (Q):					
	A = Area of the basin				=	0.04 Acres
					_	
	Q = CIA				=	0.11 ft ³ /sec

Hydrograph Report

Studio Express by Hydrology Studio v 1.0.0.15

BASIN 1B

12-17-2023

Hyd. No. 2



NRCS

Hydrograph Discharge Table

Time (hrs)	Outflow (cfs)								
2.92	0.016								
3.02	0.062								
3.12	0.134								
3.22	0.113								
3.32	0.076								
3.42	0.059								
3.52	0.049								
3.62	0.042								
3.72	0.037								
3.82	0.034								
3.92	0.031								
4.02	0.029								
4.12	0.027								
4.22	0.025								
4.32	0.024								
4.42	0.023								
4.52	0.022								
4.62	0.021								
4.72	0.020								
4.82	0.019								
4.92	0.019								
5.02	0.018								
5.12	0.017								
5.22	0.017								
5.32	0.016								
5.42	0.016								
5.52	0.016								
5.62	0.015								
5.72	0.015								
5.82	0.014								
5.92	0.014								
6.02	0.014								
6.12	0.006								
end	end								

Romero Drive TM Post-Development Lot 2

	POST-DEVELOPMENT PEAK 100-YEAR DISCHARGES (Q)										
BASI	N LOT B2: Q ₁₀₀										
COMBI	NED RUNOFF COEFFICIEN	<u>T (C):</u>									
	Land Use	Coefficient (C)	Tributary Area								
	Single Family	0.50	0.64	AC							
	City of San Diego Drainage De Table A-1: Runoff Coefficient f	esign Manual - Januar or Rational Method. (S	y 2017 Edition See Enclosed Calculations)								
	C= Runoff Factor										
					= <mark>0.50</mark>						
RAINFA	<u>ALL INTENSITY (I):</u>										
											
	ΔE = Change in elevation al	ong the Effective Sl	ope		=	7 Feet					
	D= Water Course Distance				= 27	75 Feet					
		,				– •/					
	$S = Slope = (\Delta E/D) \times 100\%$	6			= 2.5	5 %					
	Tc = Time of Concentration)									
	T c = [1.8(1.1-C)(D^1/2)]/[S^	(1/3)] =			= 13.1	2 Minutes					
	Urban Areas Overland Time	e of Flow Curves Pg	A-8								
	City of San Diego- Drainage	e Design Manual 20 ⁻	17								
	Intensity = Intensity-Duratio	n-Frequency Curves	s Pg. A-4		= 3.3	30 Inches/Hour					
	City of San Diego- Drainage	e Design Manual 20 [.]	17								
PEAK D	<u> DISCHARGES (Q):</u>										
	A = Area of the basin				= 0.6	64 Acres					
	Q = CIA				= 1(06 ft ³ /sec					
	~ ***										

Hydrograph Report

Studio Express by Hydrology Studio v 1.0.0.15

BASIN 2

Project Name: Romero Dr TM

12-17-2023

Hyd. No. 3



NRCS

Hydrograph Discharge Table

Time (hrs)	Outflow (cfs)								
2.92	0.047								
3.02	0.353								
3.12	0.994								
3.22	1.058								
3.32	0.772								
3.42	0.583								
3.52	0.479								
3.62	0.412								
3.72	0.365								
3.82	0.330								
3.92	0.303								
4.02	0.281								
4.12	0.263								
4.22	0.247								
4.32	0.234								
4.42	0.223								
4.52	0.213								
4.62	0.204								
4.72	0.196								
4.82	0.189								
4.92	0.182								
5.02	0.177								
5.12	0.171								
5.22	0.166								
5.32	0.162								
5.42	0.157								
5.52	0.153								
5.62	0.150								
5.72	0.146								
5.82	0.143								
5.92	0.140								
6.02	0.135								
6.12	0.078								
6.22	0.018								
6.32	0.00								
end	end								

Romero Drive TM Post-Development Lot 3

POST-DEVELOPN	IENT PEAK 1	00-YEAR DIS	CHAR	GES (Q)					
BASIN LOT B3: Q 100									
COMBINED RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	<u>(C):</u>								
Land Use	Coefficient (C)	Tributary Area							
Single Family	0.69	0.59 AC)						
City of San Diego Drainage De Table A-1: Runoff Coefficient fo	City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual - January 2017 Edition Table A-1: Runoff Coefficient for Rational Method. (See Enclosed Calculation:								
C= Runoff Factor									
			=	0.69					
<u>RAINFALL INTENSITY (I):</u>									
ΔE = Change in elevation ald	ong the Effective Slo	pe	=	8 Feet					
D= Water Course Distance			=	193 Feet					
$S=Slope=(\Delta E/D) \times 100\%$			=	4.15 %					
Tc = Time of Concentration									
T c = [1.8(1.1-C)(D^1/2)]/[S^(1/3)] =		=	6.38 Minutes					
Urban Areas Overland Time	of Flow Curves Pg.	A-8							
City of San Diego- Drainage	Design Manual 201	7							
Intensity = Intensity-Duration	-Frequency Curves	Pg. A-4	=	4.30 Inches/Hour					
City of San Diego- Drainage	Design Manual 201	7							
<u>PEAK DISCHARGES (Q):</u>									
A = Area of the basin			=	0.59 Acres					
0 - 0'4									
Q = CIA			=	1./5 ft ⁻ /sec					

Hydrograph Report

Studio Express by Hydrology Studio v 1.0.0.15

BASIN 3

12-17-2023

Hyd. No. 4



Hydrograph Discharge Table

NRCS

Time (hrs)	Outflow (cfs)								
2.62	0.013	6.22	0.021						
2.72	0.053	6.32	0.00						
2.82	0.132	end	end						
2.92	0.298								
3.02	0.816								
3.12	1.663								
3.22	1.560								
3.32	1.050								
3.42	0.765								
3.52	0.616								
3.62	0.522								
3.72	0.458								
3.82	0.410								
3.92	0.374								
4.02	0.344								
4.12	0.320								
4.22	0.300								
4.32	0.283								
4.42	0.268								
4.52	0.255								
4.62	0.244								
4.72	0.234								
4.82	0.225								
4.92	0.216								
5.02	0.209								
5.12	0.202								
5.22	0.196								
5.32	0.190								
5.42	0.185								
5.52	0.180								
5.62	0.175								
5.72	0.171								
5.82	0.167								
5.92	0.163								
6.02	0.157								
6.12	0.091								

Romero Drive TM Post-Development Lot 4

POST-DEVELOPI	MENT PEAK 100-	YEAR DISCH	AR	GES (Q)					
BASIN LOT B4: Q 100									
COMBINED RUNOFF COEFFICIEN	<u>r (C):</u>								
Land Use	Coefficient (C) Ti	ributary Area							
Single Family	0.55	0.58 AC							
City of San Diego Drainage De Table A-1: Runoff Coefficient f	City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual - January 2017 Edition Table A-1: Runoff Coefficient for Rational Method. (See Enclosed Calculation:								
C= Runoff Factor									
-			=	0.55					
RAINFALL INTENSITY (I):									
ΔE = Change in elevation al	ong the Effective Slope		=	17 Feet					
D= Water Course Distance			=	323 Feet					
$S=Slope=(\Delta E/D) \times 100\%$	0		=	5.26 %					
Tc = Time of Concentration									
T c = [1.8(1.1-C)(D^1/2)]/[S^	(1/3)] =		=	10.23 Minutes					
Urban Areas Overland Time	of Flow Curves Pa. A-8								
City of San Diego- Drainage	Design Manual 2017								
	2001gir mandal 2017								
Intensity = Intensity-Duratio	n-Frequency Curves Pa	Δ_4	=	3.40 Inches/Hour					
City of San Diego- Drainage	Design Manual 2017	A-7		<u>3.40 menes/riou</u>					
	Design Manual 2017								
PEAK DISCHARGES (Q):									
A = Area of the basin			=	0.58 Acres					
Q = CIA			=	1.08 ft ³ /sec					

Hydrograph Report

Studio Express by Hydrology Studio v 1.0.0.15

BASIN 4

Project Name: Romero Dr TM

12-17-2023

Hyd. No. 5



NRCS

Hydrograph Discharge Table

Time (hrs)	Outflow (cfs)								
2.92	0.066								
3.02	0.377								
3.12	0.991								
3.22	1.030								
3.32	0.742								
3.42	0.557								
3.52	0.456								
3.62	0.392								
3.72	0.346								
3.82	0.313								
3.92	0.286								
4.02	0.265								
4.12	0.248								
4.22	0.233								
4.32	0.221								
4.42	0.210								
4.52	0.200								
4.62	0.192								
4.72	0.184								
4.82	0.178								
4.92	0.172								
5.02	0.166								
5.12	0.161								
5.22	0.156								
5.32	0.152								
5.42	0.148								
5.52	0.144								
5.62	0.140								
5.72	0.137								
5.82	0.134								
5.92	0.131								
6.02	0.127								
6.12	0.074								
6.22	0.017								
6.32	0.00								
end	end								

Romero Drive TM Post-Development Lot 5

POST-DEVELOPI	IENT PEAK 100-YEAR D	SCHARG	SES (Q)						
BASIN LOT B5: Q 100									
COMBINED RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	<u>(C):</u>								
Land Use	Coefficient (C) Tributary Ar	ea							
Single Family	0.77 0.98	AC							
City of San Diego Drainage De Table A-1: Runoff Coefficient fo	City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual - January 2017 Edition Table A-1: Runoff Coefficient for Rational Method. (See Enclosed Calculation:								
C= Runoff Factor									
		= 0	.77						
<u>RAINFALL INTENSITY (I):</u>									
		г							
ΔE = Change in elevation alo	ong the Effective Slope	=	52 Feet						
		Г							
D= Water Course Distance		=	617 Feet						
		_							
$S=Slope=(\Delta E/D) \times 100\%$		=	8.43 %						
Tc = Time of Concentration		_							
T c = [1.8(1.1-C)(D^1/2)]/[S^(1/3)] =	=	7.25 Minutes						
Urban Areas Overland Time	of Flow Curves Pa. A-8								
City of San Diego- Drainage	Design Manual 2017								
Intensity = Intensity-Duration	-Frequency Curves Pa A-4	_	4 30 Inches/Hour						
City of San Diego- Drainage	Design Manual 2017		4.00 moneomou						
ony of our blogo brainage	Design Manual 2017								
PEAK DISCHARGES (Q):									
		_							
A = Area of the basin		=	0.98 Acres						
Q = CIA		=	3.24 ft ³ /sec						

Hydrograph Report

Studio Express by Hydrology Studio v 1.0.0.15

BASIN 5

12-17-2023

Hyd. No. 6



Hydrograph Discharge Table

NRCS

Time (hrs)	Outflow (cfs)								
2.52	0.020	6.12	0.120						
2.62	0.076	6.22	0.010						
2.72	0.171	end	end						
2.82	0.337								
2.92	0.688								
3.02	1.821								
3.12	3.306								
3.22	2.586								
3.32	1.665								
3.42	1.255								
3.52	1.025								
3.62	0.877								
3.72	0.772								
3.82	0.694								
3.92	0.633								
4.02	0.584								
4.12	0.544								
4.22	0.510								
4.32	0.481								
4.42	0.456								
4.52	0.434								
4.62	0.415								
4.72	0.398								
4.82	0.382								
4.92	0.368								
5.02	0.355								
5.12	0.344								
5.22	0.333								
5.32	0.323								
5.42	0.314								
5.52	0.306								
5.62	0.298								
5.72	0.290								
5.82	0.283								
5.92	0.277								
6.02	0.265								

Romero Drive TM Post-Development SLOPE AREAS

POST-DEVELOPMENT PEAK 100-YEAR DISCHARGES (Q)							
BASIN LOT B6: Q 100							
COMBINED RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	<u>(C):</u>						
Land Use	Coefficient (C)	Tributary Area					
Single Family	0.50	0.65 AC	;				
City of San Diego Drainage Des Table A-1: Runoff Coefficient fo	sign Manual - January r Rational Method. (S	2017 Edition ee Enclosed Calculation	on				
C= Runoff Factor			= <mark>0</mark> .	50			
RAINFALL INTENSITY (I):							
4T - Observe in elevation of	na tha Effective Ole		Г				
ΔE = Change in elevation and	ng the Effective Sid	pe	=	31 Feet			
D= Water Course Distance	D- Matan Courses Distance						
D- Waler Course Distance				500 7 661			
$S = Slope = (\Delta E/D) \times 100\%$			= [5.34 %			
			L				
Tc = Time of Concentration							
T _c = [1.8(1.1-C)(D^1/2)]/[S^(1	1/3)] =		=	14.88 Minutes			
	/ -		<u> </u>				
Urban Areas Overland Time	of Flow Curves Pg.	A-8					
City of San Diego- Drainage	Design Manual 201	7					
	-						
Intensity = Intensity-Duration	-Frequency Curves	Pg. A-4	=	2.90 Inches/Hour			
City of San Diego- Drainage	Design Manual 201	7					
<u>PEAK DISCHARGES (Q):</u>							
A = Area of the basin			=	0.65 Acres			
Q = C/A			=	$0.94 \text{ft}^{3}/\text{soc}$			
				0.34 11 /366			

POST-DEVELOPMENT MITIGATION CALCULATIONS



HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

(12" PVC & 6" PVC DISCHARGE VELOCITY CALCULATIONS)

Channel Report

Studio Express by Hydrology Studio v 1.0.0.15

12-inch PVC

Project filename: Romero TM Post-Development.stx

12-17-2023

Channel 1

CIRCULAR PIPE		DISCHARGE	
Diameter	= 12.0 in	Method	= Known Q
Invert Elevation	= 528.00 ft	Known Q	= 3.40 cfs
Pipe Slope	= 4.000 %		
Manning's n	= 0.013		

CALCULATION SAMPLE

Flow	Depth	Area	Velocity	WP	n-value	Crit Depth	HGL	EGL	Max Shear	Top Width
(cfs)	(in)	(sqft)	(ft/s)	(ft)		(in)	(ft)	(ft)	(lb/sqft)	(ft)
3.40	5.9	0.38	8.88	1.55	0.013	9.5	528.5	529.72	1.22	1.00



Channel Report

Studio Express by Hydrology Studio v 1.0.0.15

6-inch PVC LOT 1 Basins

Project filename: Romero TM Post-Development.stx

12-17-2023

Channel 2

CIRCULAR PIPE		DISCHARGE			
Diameter	= 6.0 in	Method	= Known Q		
Invert Elevation	= 528.00 ft	Known Q	= 0.66 cfs		
Pipe Slope	= 10.000 %				
Manning's n	= 0.013				

CALCULATION SAMPLE

Flow	Depth	Area	Velocity	WP	n-value	Crit Depth	HGL	EGL	Max Shear	Top Width
(cfs)	(in)	(sqft)	(ft/s)	(ft)		(in)	(ft)	(ft)	(lb/sqft)	(ft)
0.66	2.6	0.08	7.93	0.73	0.013	5.0	528.2	529.20	1.37	0.50

