Applicability of Permanent, Post-Construction Storm Water BMP Requirements	Form I-1

Project Identification

Project Name:	
Permit Application Number:	Date:

Determination of Requirements

The purpose of this form is to identify permanent, post-construction requirements that apply to the project. This form serves as a short summary of applicable requirements, in some cases referencing separate forms that will serve as the backup for the determination of requirements.

Answer each step below, starting with **Step 1** and progressing through each step until reaching "Stop". Refer to the manual sections and/or separate forms referenced in each step below.

Step	Answer	Progression
Step 1: Is the project a "development project"? See Section 1.3 of the manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance.	☐ Yes	Go to **Step 2**.
	☐ No	**Stop**. Permanent BMP requirements do not apply. No SWQMP will be required. Provide discussion below.
Discussion / justification if the project is not a "development project" (e.g., the project includes *only* interior remodels within an existing building):		
Step 2: Is the project a Standard Project, PDP, or PDP Exempt? To answer this item, see Section 1.4 of the manual in its entirety for guidance AND complete Form DS-560, Storm Water Requirements Applicability Checklist.	☐ Standard Project	**Stop.** Standard Project requirements apply
	☐ PDP	PDP requirements apply, including PDP SWQMP. Go to **Step 3**.
	☐ PDP Exempt	**Stop.** Standard Project requirements apply. Provide discussion and list any additional requirements below.
Discussion / justification, and additional requirements for exceptions to PDP definitions, if applicable:		

Form I-1 Page 2 of 2		
Step	**Answer**	**Progression**
Step 3. Is the project subject to earlier PDP requirements due to a prior lawful approval? See Section 1.10 of the manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance.	☐ Yes	Consult the City Engineer to determine requirements. Provide discussion and identify requirements below. Go to **Step 4**.
	☐ No	BMP Design Manual PDP requirements apply. Go to **Step 4**.
Discussion / justification of prior lawful approval, and identify requirements (not required if prior lawful approval does not apply):		
Step 4. Do hydromodification control requirements apply? See Section 1.6 of the manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance.	☐ Yes	PDP structural BMPs required for pollutant control (Chapter 5) and hydromodification control (Chapter 6). Go to **Step 5**.
	☐ No	**Stop**. PDP structural BMPs required for pollutant control (Chapter 5) only. Provide brief discussion of exemption to hydromodification control below.
Discussion / justification if hydromodification control requirements do not apply:		
Step 5. Does protection of critical coarse sediment yield areas apply? See Section 6.2 of the manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance.	☐ Yes	Management measures required for protection of critical coarse sediment yield areas (Chapter 6.2). **Stop**.
	☐ No	Management measures not required for protection of critical coarse sediment yield areas. Provide brief discussion below. **Stop**.
Discussion / justification if protection of critical coarse sediment yield areas does not apply:		

Site Information Checklist For Standard Projects	Form I-3A
Project Summary Information	
Project Name	
Project Address	
Assessor's Parcel Number(s) (APN(s))	
Permit Application Number	
Project Watershed	Select One: ☐ San Dieguito River ☐ Penasquitos ☐ Mission Bay ☐ San Diego River ☐ San Diego Bay ☐ Tijuana River
Hydrologic subarea name with Numeric Identifier up to two decimal places (9XX.XX)	
Project Area (total area of Assessor's Parcel(s) associated with the project or total area of the right-of-way)	_____ Acres (_____ Square Feet)
Area to be disturbed by the project (Project Footprint)	_____ Acres (_____ Square Feet)
Project Proposed Impervious Area (subset of Project Footprint)	_____ Acres (_____ Square Feet)
Project Proposed Pervious Area (subset of Project Footprint)	_____ Acres (_____ Square Feet)
Note: Proposed Impervious Area + Proposed Pervious Area = Area to be Disturbed by the Project. This may be less than the Project Area.	

Form I-3A Page 2 of 4

Description of Existing Site Condition and Drainage Patterns

Current Status of the Site (select all that apply)

- ☐ Existing development
- ☐ Previously graded but not built out
- ☐ Agricultural or other non-impervious use
- ☐ Vacant, undeveloped/natural

Description / Additional Information

Existing Land Cover Includes (select all that apply)

- ☐ Vegetative Cover
- ☐ Non-Vegetated Pervious Areas
- ☐ Impervious Areas

Description / Additional Information

Underlying Soil belongs to Hydrologic Soil Group (select all that apply):

- ☐ NRCS Type A
- ☐ NRCS Type B
- ☐ NRCS Type C
- ☐ NRCS Type D

Existing Natural Hydrologic Features (select all that apply)

- ☐ Watercourses
- ☐ Seeps
- ☐ Springs
- ☐ Wetlands
- ☐ None

Description / Additional Information

Description of Existing Site Drainage:

Form I-3A Page 3 of 4
Description of Proposed Site Development and Drainage Patterns
Project Description / Proposed Land Use and/or Activities
List proposed impervious features of the project (e.g., buildings, roadways, parking lots, courtyards, athletic courts, other impervious features)
List proposed pervious features of the project (e.g., landscape areas)
Does the project include grading and changes to site topography? ☐ Yes ☐ No Description / Additional Information
Does the project include changes to site drainage (e.g., installation of new storm water conveyance systems)? ☐ Yes ☐ No Description / Additional Information

Form I-3A Page 4 of 4

Identify whether any of the following features, activities, and/or pollutant source areas will be present (select all that apply)

- ☐ Onsite storm drain inlets
- ☐ Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps
- ☐ Interior parking garages
- ☐ Need for future indoor & structural pest control
- ☐ Landscape/outdoor pesticide use
- ☐ Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water features
- ☐ Food service
- ☐ Refuse areas
- ☐ Industrial processes
- ☐ Outdoor storage of equipment or materials
- ☐ Vehicle and equipment cleaning
- ☐ Vehicle/equipment repair and maintenance
- ☐ Fuel dispensing areas
- ☐ Loading docks
- ☐ Fire sprinkler test water
- ☐ Miscellaneous drain or wash water
- ☐ Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots

Description / Additional Information

Site Information Checklist For PDPs	Form I-3B
Project Summary Information	
Project Name	
Project Address	
Assessor's Parcel Number(s) (APN(s))	
Permit Application Number	
Project Watershed	Select One: ☐ San Dieguito River ☐ Penasquitos ☐ Mission Bay ☐ San Diego River ☐ San Diego Bay ☐ Tijuana River
Hydrologic subarea name with Numeric Identifier up to two decimal places (9XX.XX)	
Project Area (total area of Assessor's Parcel(s) associated with the project or total area of the right-of-way)	_____ Acres (_____ Square Feet)
Area to be disturbed by the project (Project Footprint)	_____ Acres (_____ Square Feet)
Project Proposed Impervious Area (subset of Project Footprint)	_____ Acres (_____ Square Feet)
Project Proposed Pervious Area (subset of Project Footprint)	_____ Acres (_____ Square Feet)
Note: Proposed Impervious Area + Proposed Pervious Area = Area to be Disturbed by the Project. This may be less than the Project Area.	
The proposed increase or decrease in impervious area in the proposed condition as compared to the pre-project condition	_____ %

Description of Existing Site Condition and Drainage Patterns

Current Status of the Site (select all that apply):

- ☐ Existing development
- ☐ Previously graded but not built out
- ☐ Agricultural or other non-impervious use
- ☐ Vacant, undeveloped/natural

Description / Additional Information:

Existing Land Cover Includes (select all that apply):

- ☐ Vegetative Cover
- ☐ Non-Vegetated Pervious Areas
- ☐ Impervious Areas

Description / Additional Information:

Underlying Soil belongs to Hydrologic Soil Group (select all that apply):

- ☐ NRCS Type A
- ☐ NRCS Type B
- ☐ NRCS Type C
- ☐ NRCS Type D

Approximate Depth to Groundwater:

- ☐ Groundwater Depth < 5 feet
- ☐ 5 feet < Groundwater Depth < 10 feet
- ☐ 10 feet < Groundwater Depth < 20 feet
- ☐ Groundwater Depth > 20 feet

Existing Natural Hydrologic Features (select all that apply):

- ☐ Watercourses
- ☐ Seeps
- ☐ Springs
- ☐ Wetlands
- ☐ None

Description / Additional Information:

Description of Existing Site Topography and Drainage

How is storm water runoff conveyed from the site? At a minimum, this description should answer:

1. Whether existing drainage conveyance is natural or urban;
2. If runoff from offsite is conveyed through the site? If yes, quantification of all offsite drainage areas, design flows, and locations where offsite flows enter the project site and summarize how such flows are conveyed through the site;
3. Provide details regarding existing project site drainage conveyance network, including storm drains, concrete channels, swales, detention facilities, storm water treatment facilities, and natural and constructed channels;
4. Identify all discharge locations from the existing project along with a summary of the conveyance system size and capacity for each of the discharge locations. Provide summary of the pre-project drainage areas and design flows to each of the existing runoff discharge locations.

Descriptions/Additional Information

Form I-3B Page 4 of 11
Description of Proposed Site Development and Drainage Patterns
Project Description / Proposed Land Use and/or Activities:
List/describe proposed impervious features of the project (e.g., buildings, roadways, parking lots, courtyards, athletic courts, other impervious features):
List/describe proposed pervious features of the project (e.g., landscape areas):
Does the project include grading and changes to site topography? ☐ Yes ☐ No Description / Additional Information:

Does the project include changes to site drainage (e.g., installation of new storm water conveyance systems)?

☐ Yes

☐ No

If yes, provide details regarding the proposed project site drainage conveyance network, including storm drains, concrete channels, swales, detention facilities, storm water treatment facilities, natural and constructed channels, and the method for conveying offsite flows through or around the proposed project site. Identify all discharge locations from the proposed project site along with a summary of the conveyance system size and capacity for each of the discharge locations. Provide a summary of pre and post-project drainage areas and design flows to each of the runoff discharge locations. Reference the drainage study for detailed calculations.

Description / Additional Information:

Identify whether any of the following features, activities, and/or pollutant source areas will be present (select all that apply):

- ☐ Onsite storm drain inlets
- ☐ Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps
- ☐ Interior parking garages
- ☐ Need for future indoor & structural pest control
- ☐ Landscape/outdoor pesticide use
- ☐ Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water features
- ☐ Food service
- ☐ Refuse areas
- ☐ Industrial processes
- ☐ Outdoor storage of equipment or materials
- ☐ Vehicle and equipment cleaning
- ☐ Vehicle/equipment repair and maintenance
- ☐ Fuel dispensing areas
- ☐ Loading docks
- ☐ Fire sprinkler test water
- ☐ Miscellaneous drain or wash water
- ☐ Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots

Description/Additional Information:

Form I-3B Page 7 of 11
Identification and Narrative of Receiving Water
Narrative describing flow path from discharge location(s), through urban storm conveyance system, to receiving creeks, rivers, and lagoons and ultimate discharge location to Pacific Ocean (or bay, lagoon, lake or reservoir, as applicable)
Provide a summary of all beneficial uses of receiving waters downstream of the project discharge locations
Identify all ASBS (areas of special biological significance) receiving waters downstream of the project discharge locations
Provide distance from project outfall location to impaired or sensitive receiving waters
Summarize information regarding the proximity of the permanent, post-construction storm water BMPs to the City's Multi-Habitat Planning Area and environmentally sensitive lands

Identification of Receiving Water Pollutants of Concern

List any 303(d) impaired water bodies within the path of storm water from the project site to the Pacific Ocean (or bay, lagoon, lake or reservoir, as applicable), identify the pollutant(s)/stressor(s) causing impairment, and identify any TMDLs and/or Highest Priority Pollutants from the WQIP for the impaired water bodies:

303(d) Impaired Water Body (Refer to Appendix K)	Pollutant(s)/Stressor(s) (Refer to Appendix K)	TMDLs/WQIP Highest Priority Pollutant (Refer to Table 1-4 in Chapter 1)

Identification of Project Site Pollutants*

*Identification of project site pollutants is only required if flow-thru treatment BMPs are implemented onsite in lieu of retention or biofiltration BMPs (note the project must also participate in an alternative compliance program unless prior lawful approval to meet earlier PDP requirements is demonstrated)

Identify pollutants anticipated from the project site based on all proposed use(s) of the site (see Appendix B.6):

Pollutant	Not Applicable to the Project Site	Anticipated from the Project Site	Also a Receiving Water Pollutant of Concern
Sediment	☐	☐	☐
Nutrients	☐	☐	☐
Heavy Metals	☐	☐	☐
Organic Compounds	☐	☐	☐
Trash & Debris	☐	☐	☐
Oxygen Demanding Substances	☐	☐	☐
Oil & Grease	☐	☐	☐
Bacteria & Viruses	☐	☐	☐
Pesticides	☐	☐	☐

Hydromodification Management Requirements

Do hydromodification management requirements apply (see Section 1.6)?

☐ Yes, hydromodification management flow control structural BMPs required.

☐ No, the project will discharge runoff directly to existing underground storm drains discharging directly to water storage reservoirs, lakes, enclosed embayments, or the Pacific Ocean.

☐ No, the project will discharge runoff directly to conveyance channels whose bed and bank are concrete-lined all the way from the point of discharge to water storage reservoirs, lakes, enclosed embayments, or the Pacific Ocean.

☐ No, the project will discharge runoff directly to an area identified as appropriate for an exemption by the WMAA for the watershed in which the project resides.

Description / Additional Information (to be provided if a 'No' answer has been selected above):

Note: If "No" answer has been selected the SWQMP must include an exhibit that shows the storm water conveyance system from the project site to an exempt water body. The exhibit should include details about the conveyance system and the outfall to the exempt water body.

Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas*

***This Section only required if hydromodification management requirements apply**

Based on Section 6.2 and Appendix H does CCSYA exist on the project footprint or in the upstream area draining through the project footprint?

☐ Yes

☐ No

Discussion / Additional Information:

Flow Control for Post-Project Runoff*

***This Section only required if hydromodification management requirements apply**

List and describe point(s) of compliance (POCs) for flow control for hydromodification management (see Section 6.3.1). For each POC, provide a POC identification name or number correlating to the project's HMP Exhibit and a receiving channel identification name or number correlating to the project's HMP Exhibit.

Has a geomorphic assessment been performed for the receiving channel(s)?

☐No, the low flow threshold is $0.1Q_2$ (default low flow threshold)

☐Yes, the result is the low flow threshold is $0.1Q_2$

☐Yes, the result is the low flow threshold is $0.3Q_2$

☐Yes, the result is the low flow threshold is $0.5Q_2$

If a geomorphic assessment has been performed, provide title, date, and preparer:

Discussion / Additional Information: (optional)

Form I-3B Page 11 of 11
Other Site Requirements and Constraints
When applicable, list other site requirements or constraints that will influence storm water management design, such as zoning requirements including setbacks and open space, or local codes governing minimum street width, sidewalk construction, allowable pavement types, and drainage requirements.
Optional Additional Information or Continuation of Previous Sections As Needed
This space provided for additional information or continuation of information from previous sections as needed.

Source Control BMP Checklist for Standard Projects	Form I-4A

All development projects must implement source control BMPs. Refer to Chapter 4 and Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual for information to implement BMPs shown in this checklist.
Note: All selected BMPs must be shown on the construction plans.

Source Control Requirement	Applied[(1)]?		
4.2.1 Prevention of Illicit Discharges into the MS4	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
4.2.2 Storm Drain Stenciling or Signage	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
4.2.3 Protect Outdoor Materials Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
4.2.4 Protect Materials Stored in Outdoor Work Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
4.2.5 Protect Trash Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
4.2.6 BMPs based on Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants			
On-site storm drain inlets	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Interior parking garages	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Need for future indoor & structural pest control	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Landscape/Outdoor Pesticide Use	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water features	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Food service	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Refuse areas	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Industrial processes	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Outdoor storage of equipment or materials	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Vehicle/Equipment Repair and Maintenance	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Fuel Dispensing Areas	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Loading Docks	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Fire Sprinkler Test Water	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Miscellaneous Drain or Wash Water	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
SC-6A: Large Trash Generating Facilities	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
SC-6B: Animal Facilities	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
SC-6C: Plant Nurseries and Garden Centers	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
SC-6D: Automotive Facilities	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A

Discussion / justification for **all** "No" answers shown above:

Source Control BMP Checklist for PDPs	Form I-4B

Source Control BMPs

All development projects must implement source control BMPs where applicable and feasible. See Chapter 4 and Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual (Part 1 of the Storm Water Standards) for information to implement source control BMPs shown in this checklist.

Answer each category below pursuant to the following.

- "Yes" means the project will implement the source control BMP as described in Chapter 4 and/or Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual. Discussion / justification is not required.
- "No" means the BMP is applicable to the project but it is not feasible to implement. Discussion / justification must be provided.
- "N/A" means the BMP is not applicable at the project site because the project does not include the feature that is addressed by the BMP (e.g., the project has no outdoor materials storage areas). Discussion / justification may be provided.

Source Control Requirement	Applied?		
4.2.1 Prevention of Illicit Discharges into the MS4	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.2.1 not implemented:			
4.2.2 Storm Drain Stenciling or Signage	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.2.2 not implemented:			
4.2.3 Protect Outdoor Materials Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.2.3 not implemented:			
4.2.4 Protect Materials Stored in Outdoor Work Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.2.4 not implemented:			
4.2.5 Protect Trash Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.2.5 not implemented:			

Form I-4B Page 2 of 2			
Source Control Requirement	**Applied?**		
4.2.6 Additional BMPs Based on Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants (must answer for each source listed below)			
On-site storm drain inlets	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Interior parking garages	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Need for future indoor & structural pest control	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Landscape/Outdoor Pesticide Use	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water features	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Food service	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Refuse areas	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Industrial processes	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Outdoor storage of equipment or materials	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Vehicle/Equipment Repair and Maintenance	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Fuel Dispensing Areas	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Loading Docks	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Fire Sprinkler Test Water	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Miscellaneous Drain or Wash Water	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
SC-6A: Large Trash Generating Facilities	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
SC-6B: Animal Facilities	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
SC-6C: Plant Nurseries and Garden Centers	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
SC-6D: Automotive Facilities	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.2.6 not implemented. Clearly identify which sources of runoff pollutants are discussed. Justification must be provided for all "No" answers shown above.			

Site Design BMP Checklist for Standard Projects	Form I-5A

All development projects must implement site design BMPs. Refer to Chapter 4 and Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual for information to implement BMPs shown in this checklist.
Note: All selected BMPs must be shown on the construction plans.

Site Design Requirement	Applied[(1)]?		
4.3.1 Maintain Natural Drainage Pathways and Hydrologic Features	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
4.3.2 Conserve Natural Areas, Soils, and Vegetation	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
4.3.3 Minimize Impervious Area	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
4.3.4 Minimize Soil Compaction	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
4.3.5 Impervious Area Dispersion	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
4.3.6 Runoff Collection	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
4.3.7 Landscaping with Native or Drought Tolerant Species	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
4.3.8 Harvest and Use Precipitation	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A

Discussion / justification for **all** "No" answers shown above:

[(1)] Answer for each source control and site design category shall be pursuant to the following:

- "Yes" means the project will implement the BMP as described in Chapter 4 and/or Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual. Discussion / justification is not required.
- "No" means the BMP is applicable to the project but it is not feasible to implement. Discussion / justification must be provided.
- "N/A" means the BMP is not applicable at the project site because the project does not include the feature that is addressed by the BMP (e.g., the project has no outdoor materials storage areas). Discussion / justification may be provided.

Site Design BMP Checklist for PDPs	Form I-5B

Site Design BMPs

All development projects must implement site design BMPs where applicable and feasible. See Chapter 4 and Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for information to implement site design BMPs shown in this checklist.

Answer each category below pursuant to the following.

- "Yes" means the project will implement the site design BMP as described in Chapter 4 and/or Appendix E of the BMP Design Manual. Discussion / justification is not required.
- "No" means the BMP is applicable to the project but it is not feasible to implement. Discussion / justification must be provided.
- "N/A" means the BMP is not applicable at the project site because the project does not include the feature that is addressed by the BMP (e.g., the project site has no existing natural areas to conserve). Discussion / justification may be provided.

A site map with implemented site design BMPs must be included at the end of this checklist.

Site Design Requirement	Applied?		
4.3.1 Maintain Natural Drainage Pathways and Hydrologic Features	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.3.1 not implemented:			
1-1 Are existing natural drainage pathways and hydrologic features mapped on the site map?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
1-2 Are trees implemented? If yes, are they shown on the site map?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
1-3 Implemented trees meet the design criteria in 4.3.1 Fact Sheet (e.g. soil volume, maximum credit, etc.)?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
1-4 Is tree credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.2.1 and SD-1 Fact Sheet in Appendix E?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
4.3.2 Have natural areas, soils and vegetation been conserved?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.3.2 not implemented:			

Form I-5B Page 2 of 4			
Site Design Requirement	**Applied?**		
4.3.3 Minimize Impervious Area	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.3.3 not implemented:			
4.3.4 Minimize Soil Compaction	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.3.4 not implemented:			
4.3.5 Impervious Area Dispersion	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.3.5 not implemented:			
5-1 Is the pervious area receiving runon from impervious area identified on the site map?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
5-2 Does the pervious area satisfy the design criteria in 4.3.5 Fact Sheet in Appendix E (e.g. maximum slope, minimum length, etc.)	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
5-3 Is impervious area dispersion credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.1.1 and 4.3.5 Fact Sheet in Appendix E?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A

Form I-5B Page 3 of 4			
Site Design Requirement	**Applied?**		
4.3.6 Runoff Collection	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.3.6 not implemented:			
6a-1 Are green roofs implemented in accordance with design criteria in 4.3.6A Fact Sheet? If yes, are they shown on the site map?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
6a-2 Is the green roof credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.1.2 and 4.3.6A Fact Sheet in Appendix E?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
6b-1 Are permeable pavements implemented in accordance with design criteria in 4.3.6B Fact Sheet? If yes, are they shown on the site map?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
6b-2 Is the permeable pavement credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.1.3 and 4.3.6B Fact Sheet in Appendix E?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
4.3.7 Landscaping with Native or Drought Tolerant Species	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.3.7 not implemented:			
4.3.8 Harvest and Use Precipitation	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
Discussion / justification if 4.3.8 not implemented:			
8-1 Are rain barrels implemented in accordance with design criteria in 4.3.8 Fact Sheet? If yes, are they shown on the site map?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
8-2 Is the rain barrel credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.2.2 and 4.3.8 Fact Sheet in Appendix E?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A

Form I-5B Page 4 of 4
Insert Site Map with all site design BMPs identified:

Summary of PDP Structural BMPs	Form I-6

PDP Structural BMPs

All PDPs must implement structural BMPs for storm water pollutant control (see Chapter 5 of the BMP Design Manual, Part 1 of Storm Water Standards). Selection of PDP structural BMPs for storm water pollutant control must be based on the selection process described in Chapter 5. PDPs subject to hydromodification management requirements must also implement structural BMPs for flow control for hydromodification management (see Chapter 6 of the BMP Design Manual). Both storm water pollutant control and flow control for hydromodification management can be achieved within the same structural BMP(s).

PDP structural BMPs must be verified by the City at the completion of construction. This includes requiring the project owner or project owner's representative to certify construction of the structural BMPs (complete Form DS-563). PDP structural BMPs must be maintained into perpetuity (see Chapter 7 of the BMP Design Manual).

Use this form to provide narrative description of the general strategy for structural BMP implementation at the project site in the box below. Then complete the PDP structural BMP summary information sheet (page 3 of this form) for each structural BMP within the project (copy the BMP summary information page as many times as needed to provide summary information for each individual structural BMP).

Describe the general strategy for structural BMP implementation at the site. This information must describe how the steps for selecting and designing storm water pollutant control BMPs presented in Section 5.1 of the BMP Design Manual were followed, and the results (type of BMPs selected). For projects requiring hydromodification flow control BMPs, indicate whether pollutant control and flow control BMPs are integrated or separate.

(Continue on page 2 as necessary.)

Form I-6 Page 2 of

(Continued from page 1)

Form I-6 Page of (Copy as many as needed)	
Structural BMP Summary Information	
Structural BMP ID No.	
Construction Plan Sheet No.	
Type of Structural BMP: ☐ Retention by harvest and use (e.g. HU-1, cistern) ☐ Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1) ☐ Retention by bioretention (INF-2) ☐ Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3) ☐ Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention (PR-1) ☐ Biofiltration (BF-1) ☐ Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful approval to meet earlier PDP requirements (provide BMP type/description in discussion section below) ☐ Flow-thru treatment control included as pre-treatment/forebay for an onsite retention or biofiltration BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate which onsite retention or biofiltration BMP it serves in discussion section below) ☐ Flow-thru treatment control with alternative compliance (provide BMP type/description in discussion section below) ☐ Detention pond or vault for hydromodification management ☐ Other (describe in discussion section below)	
Purpose: ☐ Pollutant control only ☐ Hydromodification control only ☐ Combined pollutant control and hydromodification control ☐ Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural BMP ☐ Other (describe in discussion section below)	
Who will certify construction of this BMP? Provide name and contact information for the party responsible to sign BMP verification form DS-563	
Who will be the final owner of this BMP?	
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	
What is the funding mechanism for maintenance?	

Form I-6 Page of (Copy as many as needed)
Structural BMP ID No.
Construction Plan Sheet No.
Discussion (as needed; must include worksheets showing BMP sizing calculations in the SWQMPs):

Harvest and Use Feasibility Checklist	Worksheet B.3-1 : Form I-7

1. Is there a demand for harvested water (check all that apply) at the project site that is reliably present during the wet season?

☐ Toilet and urinal flushing
☐ Landscape irrigation
☐ Other:_____

2. If there is a demand; estimate the anticipated average wet season demand over a period of 36 hours. Guidance for planning level demand calculations for toilet/urinal flushing and landscape irrigation is provided in Section B.3.2.
[Provide a summary of calculations here]

3. Calculate the DCV using worksheet B-2.1.
DCV = _____ (cubic feet)
[Provide a summary of calculations here]

3a. Is the 36-hour demand greater than or equal to the DCV?	3b. Is the 36-hour demand greater than 0.25DCV but less than the full DCV?	3c. Is the 36-hour demand less than 0.25DCV?
☐ Yes / ☐ No ⇨ ⇩	☐ Yes / ☐ No ⇨ ⇩	☐ Yes ⇩
Harvest and use appears to be feasible. Conduct more detailed evaluation and sizing calculations to confirm that DCV can be used at an adequate rate to meet drawdown criteria.	Harvest and use may be feasible. Conduct more detailed evaluation and sizing calculations to determine feasibility. Harvest and use may only be able to be used for a portion of the site, or (optionally) the storage may need to be upsized to meet long term capture targets while draining in longer than 36 hours.	Harvest and use is considered to be infeasible.

Is harvest and use feasible based on further evaluation?
☐ Yes, refer to Appendix E to select and size harvest and use BMPs.
☐ No, select alternate BMPs.

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Geotechnical Conditions[1]		Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A[2]
Part 1 - Full Infiltration Feasibility Screening Criteria		
DMA(s) Being Analyzed:		Project Phase:
Criteria 1: Infiltration Rate Screening		
1A	Is the mapped hydrologic soil group according to the NRCS Web Soil Survey or UC Davis Soil Web Mapper Type A or B and corroborated by available site soil data[3]? ◯ Yes; the DMA may feasibly support full infiltration. Answer "Yes" to Criteria 1 Result or continue to Step 1B if the applicant elects to perform infiltration testing. ◯ No; the mapped soil types are A or B but is not corroborated by available site soil data (continue to Step 1B). ◯ No; the mapped soil types are C, D, or "urban/unclassified" and is corroborated by available site soil data. Answer "No" to Criteria 1 Result. ◯ No; the mapped soil types are C, D, or "urban/unclassified" but is not corroborated by available site soil data (continue to Step 1B).	
1B	Is the reliable infiltration rate calculated using planning phase methods from Table D.3-1? ◯ Yes; Continue to Step 1C. ◯ No; Skip to Step 1D.	
1C	Is the reliable infiltration rate calculated using planning phase methods from Table D.3-1 greater than 0.5 inches per hour? ◯ Yes; the DMA may feasibly support full infiltration. Answer "Yes" to Criteria 1 Result. ◯ No; full infiltration is not required. Answer "No" to Criteria 1 Result.	
1D	**Infiltration Testing Method.** Is the selected infiltration testing method suitable during the design phase (see Appendix D.3)? Note: Alternative testing standards may be allowed with appropriate rationales and documentation. ◯ Yes; continue to Step 1E. ◯ No; select an appropriate infiltration testing method.	

[1] Note that it is not required to investigate each and every criterion in the worksheet, a single "no" answer in Part 1, Part 2, Part 3, or Part 4 determines a full, partial, or no infiltration condition.

[2] This form must be completed each time there is a change to the site layout that would affect the infiltration feasibility condition. Previously completed forms shall be retained to document the evolution of the site storm water design.

[3] Available data includes site-specific sampling or observation of soil types or texture classes, such as obtained from borings or test pits necessary to support other design elements.

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Geotechnical Conditions	Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A[2]
1E	**Number of Percolation/Infiltration Tests.** Does the infiltration testing method performed satisfy the minimum number of tests specified in Table D.3-2? ◯ Yes; continue to Step 1F. ◯ No; conduct appropriate number of tests.
IF	**Factor of Safety.** Is the suitable Factor of Safety selected for full infiltration design? See guidance in D.5; Tables D.5-1 and D.5-2; and Worksheet D.5-1 (Form I-9). ◯ Yes; continue to Step 1G. ◯ No; select appropriate factor of safety.
1G	**Full Infiltration Feasibility.** Is the average measured infiltration rate divided by the Factor of Safety greater than 0.5 inches per hour? ◯ Yes; answer "Yes" to Criteria 1 Result. ◯ No; answer "No" to Criteria 1 Result.
Criteria 1 Result	Is the estimated reliable infiltration rate greater than 0.5 inches per hour within the DMA where runoff can reasonably be routed to a BMP? ◯ Yes; the DMA may feasibly support full infiltration. Continue to Criteria 2. ◯ No; full infiltration is not required. Skip to Part 1 Result.
Summarize infiltration testing methods, testing locations, replicates, and results and summarize estimates of reliable infiltration rates according to procedures outlined in D.5. Documentation should be included in project geotechnical report.	

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Geotechnical Conditions		Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A[2]	
Criteria 2: Geologic/Geotechnical Screening			
2A	If all questions in Step 2A are answered "Yes," continue to Step 2B. For any "No" answer in Step 2A answer "No" to Criteria 2, and submit an "Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter" that meets the requirements in Appendix C.1.1. The geologic/geotechnical analyses listed in Appendix C.2.1 do not apply to the DMA because one of the following setbacks cannot be avoided and therefore result in the DMA being in a no infiltration condition. The setbacks must be the closest horizontal radial distance from the surface edge (at the overflow elevation) of the BMP.		
2A-1	Can the proposed full infiltration BMP(s) avoid areas with existing fill materials greater than 5 feet thick below the infiltrating surface?	○ Yes	◉ No
2A-2	Can the proposed full infiltration BMP(s) avoid placement within 10 feet of existing underground utilities, structures, or retaining walls?	○ Yes	◉ No
2A-3	Can the proposed full infiltration BMP(s) avoid placement within 50 feet of a natural slope (>25%) or within a distance of 1.5H from fill slopes where H is the height of the fill slope?	○ Yes	◉ No
2B	When full infiltration is determined to be feasible, a geotechnical investigation report must be prepared that considers the relevant factors identified in Appendix C.2.1. If all questions in Step 2B are answered "Yes," then answer "Yes" to Criteria 2 Result. If there are "No" answers continue to Step 2C.		
2B-1	**Hydroconsolidation.** Analyze hydroconsolidation potential per approved ASTM standard due to a proposed full infiltration BMP. Can full infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing hydroconsolidation risks?	◉ Yes	○ No
2B-2	**Expansive Soils.** Identify expansive soils (soils with an expansion index greater than 20) and the extent of such soils due to proposed full infiltration BMPs. Can full infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing expansive soil risks?	◉ Yes	○ No

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Geotechnical Conditions		Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A[2]	
2B-3	**Liquefaction**. If applicable, identify mapped liquefaction areas. Evaluate liquefaction hazards in accordance with Section 6.4.2 of the City of San Diego's Guidelines for Geotechnical Reports (2011 or most recent edition). Liquefaction hazard assessment shall take into account any increase in groundwater elevation or groundwater mounding that could occur as a result of proposed infiltration or percolation facilities. Can full infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing liquefaction risks?	◯ Yes	◯ No
2B-4	**Slope Stability**. If applicable, perform a slope stability analysis in accordance with the ASCE and Southern California Earthquake Center (2002) Recommended Procedures for Implementation of DMG Special Publication 117, Guidelines for Analyzing and Mitigating Landslide Hazards in California to determine minimum slope setbacks for full infiltration BMPs. See the City of San Diego's Guidelines for Geotechnical Reports (2011) to determine which type of slope stability analysis is required. Can full infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing slope stability risks?	◯ Yes	◯ No
2B-5	**Other Geotechnical Hazards.** Identify site-specific geotechnical hazards not already mentioned (refer to Appendix C.2.1). Can full infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing risk of geologic or geotechnical hazards not already mentioned?	◯ Yes	◯ No
2B-6	**Setbacks.** Establish setbacks from underground utilities, structures, and/or retaining walls. Reference applicable ASTM or other recognized standard in the geotechnical report. Can full infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA using established setbacks from underground utilities, structures, and/or retaining walls?	◯ Yes	◯ No

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Geotechnical Conditions		Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A[2]	
2C	**Mitigation Measures.** Propose mitigation measures for each geologic/geotechnical hazard identified in Step 2B. Provide a discussion of geologic/geotechnical hazards that would prevent full infiltration BMPs that cannot be reasonably mitigated in the geotechnical report. See Appendix C.2.1.8 for a list of typically reasonable and typically unreasonable mitigation measures. Can mitigation measures be proposed to allow for full infiltration BMPs? If the question in Step 2 is answered "Yes," then answer "Yes" to Criteria 2 Result. If the question in Step 2C is answered "No," then answer "No" to Criteria 2 Result.	○ Yes	◉ No
Criteria 2 Result	Can infiltration greater than 0.5 inches per hour be allowed without increasing risk of geologic or geotechnical hazards that cannot be reasonably mitigated to an acceptable level?	◉ Yes	○ No
Summarize findings and basis; provide references to related reports or exhibits.			

Part 1 Result – Full Infiltration Geotechnical Screening [4]	Result
If answers to both Criteria 1 and Criteria 2 are "Yes", a full infiltration design is potentially feasible based on Geotechnical conditions only. If either answer to Criteria 1 or Criteria 2 is "No", a full infiltration design is not required.	◉ Full infiltration Condition ◉ Complete Part 2

[4] To be completed using gathered site information and best professional judgement considering the definition of MEP in the MS4 Permit. Additional testing and/or studies may be required by City Engineer to substantiate findings.

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Geotechnical Conditions	Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A[2]
Part 2 – Partial vs. No Infiltration Feasibility Screening Criteria	
DMA(s) Being Analyzed:	Project Phase:
Criteria 3 : Infiltration Rate Screening	
3A	**NRCS Type C, D, or "urban/unclassified":** Is the mapped hydrologic soil group according to the NRCS Web Soil Survey or UC Davis Soil Web Mapper is Type C, D, or "urban/unclassified" and corroborated by available site soil data? ○ Yes; the site is mapped as C soils and a reliable infiltration rate of 0.15 in/hr. is used to size partial infiltration BMPS. Answer "Yes" to Criteria 3 Result. ○ Yes; the site is mapped as D soils or "urban/unclassified" and a reliable infiltration rate of 0.05 in/hr. is used to size partial infiltration BMPS. Answer "Yes" to Criteria 3 Result. ○ No; infiltration testing is conducted (refer to Table D.3-1), continue to Step 3B.
3B	**Infiltration Testing Result:** Is the reliable infiltration rate (i.e. average measured infiltration rate/2) greater than 0.05 in/hr. and less than or equal to 0.5 in/hr? ○ Yes; the site may support partial infiltration. Answer "Yes" to Criteria 3 Result. ○ No; the reliable infiltration rate (i.e. average measured rate/2) is less than 0.05 in/hr., partial infiltration is not required. Answer "No" to Criteria 3 Result.
Criteria 3 Result	Is the estimated reliable infiltration rate (i.e., average measured infiltration rate/2) greater than or equal to 0.05 inches/hour and less than or equal to 0.5 inches/hour at any location within each DMA where runoff can reasonably be routed to a BMP? ○ Yes; Continue to Criteria 4. ○ No: Skip to Part 2 Result.
Summarize infiltration testing and/or mapping results (i.e. soil maps and series description used for infiltration rate).	

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Geotechnical Conditions		Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A[2]	
Criteria 4: Geologic/Geotechnical Screening			
4A	If all questions in Step 4A are answered "Yes," continue to Step 2B. For any "No" answer in Step 4A answer "No" to Criteria 4 Result, and submit an "Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter" that meets the requirements in Appendix C.1.1. The geologic/geotechnical analyses listed in Appendix C.2.1 do not apply to the DMA because one of the following setbacks cannot be avoided and therefore result in the DMA being in a no infiltration condition. The setbacks must be the closest horizontal radial distance from the surface edge (at the overflow elevation) of the BMP.		
4A-1	Can the proposed partial infiltration BMP(s) avoid areas with existing fill materials greater than 5 feet thick?	○ Yes	○ No
4A-2	Can the proposed partial infiltration BMP(s) avoid placement within 10 feet of existing underground utilities, structures, or retaining walls?	○ Yes	○ No
4A-3	Can the proposed partial infiltration BMP(s) avoid placement within 50 feet of a natural slope (>25%) or within a distance of 1.5H from fill slopes where H is the height of the fill slope?	○ Yes	○ No
4B	When full infiltration is determined to be feasible, a geotechnical investigation report must be prepared that considers the relevant factors identified in Appendix C.2.1. If all questions in Step 4B are answered "Yes," then answer "Yes" to Criteria 4 Result. If there are any "No" answers continue to Step 4C.		
4B-1	**Hydroconsolidation.** Analyze hydroconsolidation potential per approved ASTM standard due to a proposed full infiltration BMP. Can partial infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing hydroconsolidation risks?	○ Yes	○ No
4B-2	**Expansive Soils.** Identify expansive soils (soils with an expansion index greater than 20) and the extent of such soils due to proposed full infiltration BMPs. Can partial infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing expansive soil risks?	○ Yes	○ No
4B-3	**Liquefaction**. If applicable, identify mapped liquefaction areas. Evaluate liquefaction hazards in accordance with Section 6.4.2 of the City of San Diego's Guidelines for Geotechnical Reports (2011). Liquefaction hazard assessment shall take into account any increase in groundwater elevation or groundwater mounding that could occur as a result of proposed infiltration or percolation facilities. Can partial infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing liquefaction risks?	○ Yes	○ No

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Geotechnical Conditions		Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A[2]	
4B-4	**Slope Stability**. If applicable, perform a slope stability analysis in accordance with the ASCE and Southern California Earthquake Center (2002) Recommended Procedures for Implementation of DMG Special Publication 117, Guidelines for Analyzing and Mitigating Landslide Hazards in California to determine minimum slope setbacks for full infiltration BMPs. See the City of San Diego's Guidelines for Geotechnical Reports (2011) to determine which type of slope stability analysis is required. Can partial infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing slope stability risks?	◯ Yes	◉ No
4B-5	**Other Geotechnical Hazards.** Identify site-specific geotechnical hazards not already mentioned (refer to Appendix C.2.1). Can partial infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing risk of geologic or geotechnical hazards not already mentioned?	◯ Yes	◉ No
4B-6	**Setbacks.** Establish setbacks from underground utilities, structures, and/or retaining walls. Reference applicable ASTM or other recognized standard in the geotechnical report. Can partial infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA using recommended setbacks from underground utilities, structures, and/or retaining walls?	◯ Yes	◉ No
4C	**Mitigation Measures.** Propose mitigation measures for each geologic/geotechnical hazard identified in Step 4B. Provide a discussion on geologic/geotechnical hazards that would prevent partial infiltration BMPs that cannot be reasonably mitigated in the geotechnical report. See Appendix C.2.1.8 for a list of typically reasonable and typically unreasonable mitigation measures. Can mitigation measures be proposed to allow for partial infiltration BMPs? If the question in Step 4C is answered "Yes," then answer "Yes" to Criteria 4 Result. If the question in Step 4C is answered "No," then answer "No" to Criteria 4 Result.	◯ Yes	◉ No
Criteria 4 Result	Can infiltration of greater than or equal to 0.05 inches/hour and less than or equal to 0.5 inches/hour be allowed without increasing the risk of geologic or geotechnical hazards that cannot be reasonably mitigated to an acceptable level?	◉ Yes	◯ No

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Geotechnical Conditions	Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A[2]
Summarize findings and basis; provide references to related reports or exhibits.	

Part 2 – Partial Infiltration Geotechnical Screening Result[5]	Result
If answers to both Criteria 3 and Criteria 4 are "Yes", a partial infiltration design is potentially feasible based on geotechnical conditions only. If answers to either Criteria 3 or Criteria 4 is "No", then infiltration of any volume is considered to be infeasible within the site.	◯ Partial Infiltration Condition ◉ No Infiltration Condition

[5] To be completed using gathered site information and best professional judgement considering the definition of MEP in the MS4 Permit. Additional testing and/or studies may be required by City Engineer to substantiate findings.

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Groundwater and Water Balance Conditions		Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B[2]
Part 1 - Full Infiltration Feasibility Screening Criteria		
DMA(s) Being Analyzed:		Project Phase:
Criteria 1: Groundwater Screening		
1A	**Groundwater Depth.** Is the depth to seasonally high groundwater tables (normal high depth during the wet season) beneath the base of any full infiltration BMP greater than 10 feet? ◯ Yes; continue to Step 1B. ◯ No; The depth to groundwater is less than or equal to 10 feet, but site layout changes or reasonable mitigation measures can be proposed to support full infiltration BMPs. Continue to step 1B. ◯ No; The depth to groundwater is less than or equal to 10 feet and site layout changes or reasonable mitigation measures cannot be proposed to support full infiltration BMPs. Answer "No" for Criteria 1 Result.	
1B	**Contaminated Soil/Groundwater.** Are proposed full infiltration BMPs at least 250 feet away from contaminated soil or groundwater sites? This can be confirmed using GeoTracker (geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov) to identify open contaminated sites. The setbacks must be the closest horizontal radial distance from the surface edge (at the overflow elevation) of the BMP. ◯ Yes; continue to Step 1C. ◯ No; However, site layout changes or reasonable mitigation measures can be proposed to support full infiltration BMPs. Continue to Step 1C. ◯ No; Site layout changes or reasonable mitigation measures cannot be proposed to support full infiltration BMPs. Answer "No" to Criteria 1 Result.	

[1] Note that it is not required to investigate each and every criterion in the worksheet, a single "no" answer in Part 1, Part 2, part 3, or Part 4 determines a full, partial, or no infiltration condition.

[2] This form must be completed each time there is a change to the site layout that would affect the infiltration feasibility condition. Previously completed forms shall be retained to document the evolution of the site storm water design.

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Groundwater and Water Balance Conditions	Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B[2]
1C	**Inadequate Soil Treatment Capacity.** Are full infiltration BMPs proposed in DMA soils that have adequate soil treatment capacity? The DMA has adequate soil treatment capacity if **ALL** of the following criteria (detailed in C.2.2.1) for all soil layers beneath the infiltrating surface are met: • USDA texture class is sandy loam or loam or silt loam or silt or sandy clay loam or clay loam or silty clay loam or sandy clay or silty clay or clay; and • Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) greater than 5 milliequivalents/100g; and • Soil organic matter is greater than 1%; and • Groundwater table is equal to or greater than 10 feet beneath the base of the full infiltration BMP. ○ Yes; continue to Step 1D. ○ No; However, site layout changes or reasonable mitigation measures can be proposed to support full infiltration BMPs. Continue to Step 1D. ○ No; Site layout changes or reasonable mitigation measures cannot be proposed to support full infiltration BMPs. Answer "No" to Criteria 1 Result.
1D	**Other Groundwater Contamination Hazards.** Are there site-specific groundwater contamination hazards not already mentioned (refer to Appendix C.2.2) that can be reasonably mitigated to support full infiltration BMPs? ○ Yes; there are other contamination hazards identified that can be mitigated. Answer "Yes" to Criteria 1 Result. ○ No; there are other contamination hazards identified that cannot be mitigated. Answer "No" to Criteria 1 Result. ○ N/A; no contamination hazards are identified. Answer "Yes" to Criteria 1 Result.
Criteria 1 Result	Can infiltration greater than 0.5 inches per hour be allowed without increasing risk of groundwater contamination that cannot be reasonably mitigated to an acceptable level? See Appendix C.2.2.8 for a list of typically reasonable and typically unreasonable mitigation measures. ○ Yes; Continue to Part 1, Criteria 2. ○ No; Continue to Part 1 Result.

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Groundwater and Water Balance Conditions	Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B[2]

Summarize groundwater quality and any mitigation measures proposed. Documentation should focus on groundwater table, mapped soil types and contaminated site locations.

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Groundwater and Water Balance Conditions	Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B[2]
Criteria 2: Water Balance Screening	
2A	**Ephemeral Stream Setback.** Does the proposed full infiltration BMP meet both the following? • The full infiltration BMP is located at least 250 feet away from an ephemeral stream; **AND** • The bottom surface of the full infiltration BMP is at a depth 20 feet or greater from seasonally high groundwater tables. ◯ Yes; Answer "Yes" to Criteria 2 Result. ◯ No; Continue to Step 2B.
2B	**Mitigation Measures.** Can site layout changes be proposed to support full infiltration BMPs? ◯ Yes; the site can be reconfigured to mitigate potential water balance issues. Answer "Yes" to Criteria 2 Result. ◯ No; the site cannot be reconfigured to mitigate potential water balance issues. Continue to Step 2C and provide discussion.
2C	**Additional studies.** Do additional studies support full infiltration BMPs? In the event that water balance effects are used to reject full infiltration (anticipated to be rare), additional analysis shall be completed and documented by a qualified professional indicating the site-specific information evaluated and the technical basis for this finding. ◯ Yes; Answer "Yes" to Criteria 2 Result. ◯ No; Answer "No" to Criteria 2 Result.
Criteria 2 Result	Can infiltration greater than 0.5 inches per hour be allowed without causing potential water balance issues such as change of seasonality of ephemeral streams? ◯ Yes; Continue to Part 1 Result. ◯ No; Continue to Part 1 Result.

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Groundwater and Water Balance Conditions	Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B[2]

Summarize potential water balance effects. Documentation should focus on mapping and soil data regarding proximity to ephemeral streams and groundwater depth.

Part 1 – Full Infiltration Groundwater and Water Balance Screening Result[3]	Result
If answers to Criteria 1 and 2 are "Yes", a full infiltration design is potentially feasible. The feasibility screening category is Full Infiltration based on groundwater conditions.	
If answer to Criteria 1 or Criteria 2 is "No", infiltration may be possible to some extent but would not generally be feasible or desirable to achieve a "full infiltration" design based on groundwater conditions. Proceed to Part 2.	○ Full Infiltration ○ Complete Part 2

[3] To be completed using gathered site information and best professional judgement considering the definition of MEP in the MS4 Permit. Additional testing and/or studies may be required by City Engineer to substantiate findings.

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Groundwater and Water Balance Conditions	Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B[2]
Part 2 – Partial vs. No Infiltration Feasibility Screening Criteria	
DMA(s) Being Analyzed:	Project Phase:
Criteria 3: Groundwater Screening	

Contaminated Soil/Groundwater. Are partial infiltration BMPs proposed at least 100 feet away from contaminated soil or groundwater sites? This can be confirmed using GeoTracker (geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov) to identify open contaminated sites. This criterion is intentionally a smaller radius than full infiltration, as the potential quantity of infiltration from partial infiltration BMPs is smaller.

◯ Yes; Answer "Yes" to Criteria 3 Result.

◯ No; However, site layout changes can be proposed to avoid contaminated soils or soils that lack adequate treatment capacity. Select "Yes" to Criteria 3 Result. It is a requirement for the SWQMP preparer to identify potential mitigation measures.

◯ No; Contaminated soils or soils that lack adequate treatment capacity cannot be avoided and partial infiltration BMPs are not feasible. Select "No" to Criteria 3 Result.

Criteria 3 Result: Can infiltration of greater than or equal to 0.05 inches/hour and less than or equal to 0.5 inches/hour be allowed without increasing risk of groundwater contamination that cannot be reasonably mitigated to an acceptable level?

◯ Yes; Continue to Part 2, Criteria 4.

◯ No; Skip to Part 2 Result.

Summarize findings and basis. Documentation should focus on mapped soil types and contaminated site locations.

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Groundwater and Water Balance Conditions	Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B[2]

Criteria 4: Water Balance Screening

Additional studies. In the event that water balance effects are used to reject partial infiltration (anticipated to be rare), a qualified professional must provide an analysis of the incremental effects of partial infiltration BMPs on the water balance compared to incidental infiltration under a no infiltration scenario (e.g. precipitation, irrigation, etc.).

Criteria 4 Result: Can infiltration of greater than or equal to 0.05 inches/hour and less than or equal to 0.5 inches/hour be allowed without causing potential water balance issues such as change of seasonality of ephemeral streams?

◯ Yes: Continue to Part 2 Result.

◯ No: Continue to Part 2 Result.

Summarize potential water balance effects. Documentation should focus on mapping and soil data regarding proximity to ephemeral streams and groundwater depth.

Part 2 – Partial Infiltration Groundwater and Water Balance Screening Result[4]	Result
If answers to Criteria 3 and Criteria 4 are "Yes", a partial infiltration design is potentially feasible. The feasibility screening category is Partial Infiltration based on groundwater and water balance conditions. If answer to Criteria 3 or Criteria 4 is "No", then infiltration of any volume is considered to be infeasible within the site. The feasibility screening category is No Infiltration based on groundwater or water balance condition.	◯ Partial Infiltration Condition ◯ No Infiltration Condition

[4] To be completed using gathered site information and best professional judgement considering the definition of MEP in the MS4 Permit. Additional testing and/or studies may be required by City Engineer to substantiate findings.

Factor of Safety and Design Infiltration Rate Worksheet			Worksheet D.5-1: Form I-9		
Factor Category		Factor Description	Assigned Weight (w)	Factor Value (v)	Product (p) p = w x v
A	Suitability Assessment	Soil assessment methods	0.25		
		Predominant soil texture	0.25		
		Site soil variability	0.25		
		Depth to groundwater / impervious layer	0.25		
		Suitability Assessment Safety Factor, $S_A = \Sigma p$			
B	Design	Level of pretreatment/ expected sediment loads	0.5		
		Redundancy/resiliency	0.25		
		Compaction during construction	0.25		
		Design Safety Factor, $S_B = \Sigma p$			
Combined Safety Factor, $S_{total} = S_A \times S_B$ [Minimum of 2 and Maximum of 9]					
Observed Infiltration Rate, inch/hr., $K_{observed}$ (corrected for test-specific bias) Note: This worksheet is only applicable when the observed infiltration rate is greater than or equal to 1 inch/hr.					
Design Infiltration Rate, in/hr., $K_{design} = K_{observed} / S_{total}$ Note: If the estimated design infiltration rate is less than or equal to 0.5 inch/hr. then the applicant may choose to implement partial infiltration BMPs.					
Supporting Data					
Briefly describe infiltration test and provide reference to test forms:					

Note: Worksheet D.5-1: Form I-9 is only applicable to design BMPs in "full infiltration condition". This form is not applicable for categorization of infiltration feasibility (Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8) and/or for designing BMPs in "partial infiltration condition" or "no infiltration condition".

Factor of Safety and Design Infiltration Rate Worksheet			Worksheet D.5-1: Form I-9		
Factor Category		Factor Description	Assigned Weight (w)	Factor Value (v)	Product (p) p = w x v
A	Suitability Assessment	Soil assessment methods	0.25		
		Predominant soil texture	0.25		
		Site soil variability	0.25		
		Depth to groundwater / impervious layer	0.25		
		Suitability Assessment Safety Factor, $S_A = \Sigma p$			
B	Design	Level of pretreatment/ expected sediment loads	0.5		
		Redundancy/resiliency	0.25		
		Compaction during construction	0.25		
		Design Safety Factor, $S_B = \Sigma p$			
Combined Safety Factor, $S_{total} = S_A \times S_B$ [Minimum of 2 and Maximum of 9]					
Observed Infiltration Rate, inch/hr., $K_{observed}$ (corrected for test-specific bias) Note: This worksheet is only applicable when the observed infiltration rate is greater than or equal to 1 inch/hr.					
Design Infiltration Rate, in/hr., $K_{design} = K_{observed} / S_{total}$ Note: If the estimated design infiltration rate is less than or equal to 0.5 inch/hr. then the applicant may choose to implement partial infiltration BMPs.					
Supporting Data					
Briefly describe infiltration test and provide reference to test forms:					

Note: Worksheet D.5-1: Form I-9 is only applicable to design BMPs in "full infiltration condition". This form is not applicable for categorization of infiltration feasibility (Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8) and/or for designing BMPs in "partial infiltration condition" or "no infiltration condition".

Compact (High Rate) Biofiltration BMP Checklist			Form I-10
Structural BMP ID No.		**Tributary DMA(s)**	
Manufacturer and Model No.			

Compact (high rate) biofiltration BMPs have a media filtration rate greater than 5 in/hr and a media surface area smaller than 3% of contributing area multiplied by the adjusted runoff factor. Compact biofiltration BMPs are typically proprietary BMPs that may qualify as biofiltration.

Required documentation to support answers to questions in the steps below is listed in the "Required Documentation" column. This completed form and all required documentation must be included in the PDP SWQMP. A separate copy of Form I-10 must be completed for each compact biofiltration BMP.

Supplemental site design features or retention BMPs are required in addition to the compact biofiltration BMP to meet the volume retention performance standard per Table B.5-1 in Appendix B.5. The applicable worksheets listed in the "Required Documentation" column in Step 1 are used to demonstrate how the proposed project will meet this standard.

Part 1 of the Storm Water Standards may be referenced when completing this form; specific references are included in the steps and questions below as applicable. The criteria referenced in each step below are taken from Appendix F of Part 1 of the Storm Water Standards.

Step 1: Infiltration and Retention Feasibility (Appendix F Criteria 1 and 3)

Step	Question	Answer	Required Documentation
1	What is the infiltration condition of the DMA? Refer to Section 5.4.2 and Appendix C of the BMP Design Manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance. See Appendix B and Table B.5-1 for guidance on meeting the retention standard.	☐ No Infiltration **Go to Step 2.**	• Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter OR Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A AND Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B • Worksheets B.5-6 AND/OR B.5-7. If Worksheet B.5-6 is used, and Line 12 is "No", then also include Worksheet B.5-2. See Table B.5-1 for additional guidance.
		☐ Partial Infiltration **Go to Step 2.**	• Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A AND Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B • Worksheet B.5-2 • Worksheet B.5-7 AND/OR calculations for credit from other site design BMPs (rain barrels, trees, etc.). See Table B.5-1 for additional guidance.
		☐ Full Infiltration **Stop.** Compact biofiltration not allowed.	N/A

Compact (High Rate) Biofiltration BMP Checklist			Form I-10
Structural BMP ID No.		**Tributary DMA(s)**	

Step 2: Treatment Performance (Appendix F Criterion 4)

Step	Question	Answer	Required Documentation
2	Does the compact biofiltration BMP have Washington Department of Ecology Technology Acceptance Protocol-Ecology (TAPE) certification for basic, phosphorus, and enhanced (metals) treatment AND include filtration in the treatment mechanism? BMPs in which water passes through an engineered soil media layer for treatment include filtration as a treatment mechanism.	☐ Yes **Go to Step 3.**	• TAPE General Use Level Designation report showing the BMP is certified for basic, phosphorus, and enhanced (metals) treatment. Reports can be downloaded from Washington Department of Ecology TAPE website. • Material that demonstrates the BMP includes filtration as a treatment mechanism
		☐ No **Stop.** Contact City to request BMP evaluation.	• Package of BMP information, including performance documentation, that demonstrates the proposed BMP is equally effective to a BMP with TAPE certification. The package must provide all information described in item 3 in Appendix F.1. Based on review of the submitted package, the City will then inform the applicant in writing whether the BMP is approved for use. This evaluation involves specialized review and may take a month or more to complete.

Step 3: BMP Sizing and Hydraulic Loading (Appendix F Criteria 2 and 6)

Step	Question	Answer	Required Documentation
3	Is the compact biofiltration BMP sized to meet the performance standard from the MS4 Permit? Refer to Appendix B.5 and Appendix F.2 of the BMP Design Manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance.	☐ Yes **Go to Step 4.**	• Worksheet I-10.A (sizing calculations) • Information from manufacturer to show BMP proposed use is consistent with manufacturer guidelines and conditions of TAPE certification (e.g., a BMP certified at a loading rate of 1 gpm/sq. ft. cannot be designed using a loading rate of 1.5 gpm/sq. ft.)
		☐ No **Stop.** Compact biofiltration not allowed.	N/A

Compact (High Rate) Biofiltration BMP Checklist			Form I-10
Structural BMP ID No.		**Tributary DMA(s)**	

Step 4: Biological Activity (Appendix F Criterion 5)

Step	Question	Answer	Required Documentation
4	Have plants in the compact biofiltration BMP been selected to meet all of the following criteria? 1. Tolerant of project climate, design ponding depths, and the treatment media composition. 2. Minimize irrigation requirements. 3. Plant location and growth will not impede expected long-term media filtration rates and will enhance long-term infiltration rates to the extent possible. Refer to Appendices E.26 and F of the BMP Design Manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance.	☐ Yes **Go to Step 5.**	• Documentation justifying plant selection (typically provided by compact biofiltration BMP manufacturer)
		☐ No **Stop.** Compact biofiltration not allowed.	N/A

Step 5: BMP Maintenance (Appendix F Criterion 7)

Step	Question	Answer	Required Documentation
5	Is the compact biofiltration BMP maintenance plan consistent with manufacturer guidelines and conditions of the BMP's TAPE certification or other approved equivalent guidance on BMP use (maintenance activities, frequencies, etc.)?	☐ Yes **Complete.** Attach completed Form I-10 and supporting documents to PDP SWQMP.	• Private projects: maintenance agreement (Form DS-3247) that includes a maintenance plan demonstrating that the BMP will be maintained in accordance with manufacturer guidelines and the conditions of the device's TAPE certification AND Plan sheets or BMP exhibit showing BMP location • CIPs and private projects for which the City has agreed to maintain the BMP: maintenance plan demonstrating that the BMP will be maintained in accordance with manufacturer guidelines and the conditions of the device's TAPE certification
		☐ No **Stop.** Compact biofiltration not allowed.	N/A

Flow-Based Sizing for Compact Biofiltration *Complete one separate worksheet for each compact biofiltration BMP*			**Worksheet I-10.A**	
Structural BMP ID No.		**Tributary DMA(s)**		
1	Area draining to the BMP			square ft.
2	Adjusted runoff factor for drainage area (Refer to Appendix B.1 and B.2). Attach supporting calculations.			(unitless)
3	Design rainfall intensity		0.20	in/hr
4	Design flow rate for biofiltration [1.5 x (Line 1 / 43,560) x Line 2 x Line 3][1]			cfs
5	Proprietary biofiltration flow rate treatment capacity (provided by manufacturer; attach supporting documentation)			cfs
6	Outlet outflow rate, if the biofiltration unit is outlet controlled (e.g., there is orifice cap that controls the outflow flow rate). Enter N/A if not outlet controlled.			cfs
7	Treatment flow rate: enter the flow rate from Line 5 or Line 6, whichever is smaller. If Line 6 is N/A, enter the value on Line 5.			cfs
8	Is Line 7 ≥ Line 4? If Yes, then sizing requirements are met. If No, then 1. Revise the design and corresponding inputs to this worksheet until Line 7 ≥ Line 4 OR 2. Complete continuous simulation modeling to show 80% of average annual runoff is treated as described in Appendix F.2, following continuous simulation guidance in Appendix G, and attach results OR 3. Propose a different treatment method instead of compact biofiltration.		☐ **Yes** **Sizing requirements met.** ☐ **No,** but can show meet alternative standard via modeling (see item 2 in the box to the left) **Sizing requirements met**. ☐ **No** **Stop.** Compact biofiltration not allowed.	

1. If using a storage unit upstream of compact biofiltration, use the flow rate from Line 14 of Worksheet B.5-5 instead. Attach a copy of Worksheet B.5-5 with the following lines completed: 1-4, 9-14. The other lines on Worksheet B.5-5 are generally not applicable for compact biofiltration.