From: Howard C. Cohen

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Plan

Date: Saturday, March 11, 2023 6:58:26 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Thank you for your response *BUT IT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION*: How may I, my extended family, neighbors, friends be assured that there will be a covenant that McElvoy *remains*? Howard C. Cohen

From: Sandel, Scott <SSandel@sandiego.gov>

Sent: Friday, March 10, 2023 4:01 PM

**To:** Howard C. Cohen <a href="mailto:hccohen@san.rr.com">hccohen@san.rr.com</a> **Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Plan

Good afternoon. I appreciate your taking the time and energy to give us feedback on the draft De Anza Natural amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan. We welcome your participation as the project moves through the process.

#### Scott Sandel, ASLA

Landscape Architect & Park Planner City of San Diego Planning Department

T 619.235.5204

#### ssandel@sandiego.gov

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

**From:** Howard C. Cohen < hccohen@san.rr.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 07, 2023 6:28 AM **To:** Sandel, Scott < <u>SSandel@sandiego.gov</u>> **Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Plan

Dear Mr. Sandel: I was reading the DeAN plan and, in particular, the portion

<sup>\*\*</sup>This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

re Recreation, which with added italics reads:

# **ACTIVE RECREATION**

## Recommendations

(No changes to Recommendations #29 and #32.)

30. League Play: Given its unique water setting, Mission Bay Park should not be targeted

as a location for organized soccer or other league play beyond the existing facilities in Robb

Field and Pacific Beach Playing Fields in the De Anza Natural northern area.

It seems to convey that the existing facilities at Robb Field and to the east of Mission Bay High School, e.g., McElvoy Field, will remain. But in reality, the way the paragraph is phrased is that no new organized soccer or other league (e.g., Little League baseball, softball) will be added, rather than a covenant that the existing fields will be preserved. While I am all in favor of increasing environmental qualities over commercial excesses, still, the McElvoy site for soccer, Little League, softball, and t-ball, is necessary for PB, MB, south Clairemont, and south LJ youth. The PB Rec Center has one softball diamond and one soccer field; Barnard Elementary has two soccer fields. McElvoy many more ball and soccer fields with better family viewing perspectives.

How may I, my extended family, neighbors, friends be assured that there will be a covenant that McElvoy *remains*?

Best, Howard C. Cohen

From: <u>Kaylarch</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] I support the De Anza Natural or the Audubon Society"s version as long as a camping area is

part of the solution.

**Date:** Thursday, April 27, 2023 5:37:04 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

## HI Scott,

Thanks for the note! I'm glad that the City has you on board.

I am a life-long Audubon Society member and my heart is really with their version, but I think De Anza Natural incorporates most of the concerns that Audubon Society so eloquently supports.

If the golf course shrinks, that's OK with me. The other sports areas are carried forward in De Anza Natural, so everyone is satisfied I hope.

Sincerely, Kay

From: Sandel, Scott [mailto:SSandel@sandiego.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, April 27, 2023 1:51 PM

To: Kaylarch

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] I support the De Anza Natural or the Audubon Society's version as long as a

camping area is part of the solution.

Hi Kay,

This is Scott Sandel, PM for De Anza. [I've been at the City for 5 years now as a Park Planner.]

I hope you are doing well. So glad to hear from you.

Thank you for your thoughtful comments.

#### Scott Sandel, ASLA

Landscape Architect & Park Planner City of San Diego Planning Department

T 619.235.5204 ssandel@sandiego.gov

**From:** Kaylarch <info@kaylarch.com> **Sent:** Wednesday, April 26, 2023 6:43 PM **To:** Sandel, Scott <SSandel@sandiego.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] I support the De Anza Natural or the Audubon Society's version as long as a

camping area is part of the solution.

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

## Dear Staffer:

The small amount of legal camping along the San Diego County coastline makes me convinced that a relocated Campland with a new or the same company as manager an essential part of the remaking of the De Anza conversion.

Thank you for inviting comments from the public.

Sincerely,
Kay Stewart, CA Landscape Architect #2967
619-234-2668
www.kaylarch.com
"Merging Nature With Structure"

From: <u>Jack Cardoza</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Dear Kelly

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 12:08:47 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Thanks for your thoughtful response Scott.

Unfortunately I wil have to miss the meeting . I hope my thoughts will resonate in your meeting room.

Kindly,

Jack Cardoza

On Apr 20, 2023, at 10:42 AM, Sandel, Scott < Sandel@sandiego.gov > wrote:

Good morning Mr. Cardoza,

Thank you for your email. I am mindful of your group and the facilities there at Rose Creek. I was particularly impressed by the comments we heard from Boat and Ski Club folks at the Mission Bay Park Committee meeting. I appreciate your input on the proposed De Anza Natural plan and plan amendment.

I hope to see you this afternoon at the Parks and Recreation board April meeting where we'll next participate in an informational presentation to the board.

#### Scott Sandel, ASLA

Landscape Architect & Park Planner City of San Diego Planning Department

T 619.235.5204

ssandel@sandiego.gov

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

From: Jack Cardoza < <u>jackcardoza@gmail.com</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:37 PM

**To:** Stanco, Kelley < <a href="mailto:KStanco@sandiego.gov">KStanco@sandiego.gov</a>>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Dear Kelly

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Hi Kelly,

Out water ski club: Convair water Ski Cub has used the Mission Bay boat club location to store our boats for severall decades. This facility is essential to our ski club's future.

This is a traditional group pf water skiing enthusiasts who ski regularly on Mission Bay and store out 2 boats there. It makes out activities possible.

I would request that you allow boat storage access to this storage facility to be incorporated into your future plans.

Thanks for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jack Cardoza

760-481-8710

From: <u>Hudson Sarah</u>

To: PLN PlanningCEOA; Sandel, Scott
Cc: Garcia-Craivanu Paul; Rega Regina

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comment on the De Anza Cove Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 4:06:28 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hello,

Please accept this comment on behalf of San Diego Unified School District:

"Use of the De Anza Cove should seek educational opportunities to partner with public schools in the vicinity."

SDUSD looks forward to future collaboration. Thank you.

#### Sarah Hudson

Demographer, San Diego Unified School District Instructional Facilities Planning Department 4100 Normal Street, Room 3150 San Diego, CA 92103-2682 Telephone (619) 725-7369 Fax (619) 293-8320

shudson@sandi.net

https://www.sandiegounified.org/departments/instructional\_facilities\_planning

From: <u>Kurt Carlson</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Cc: Ann Parode Dynes; Brenda LJB; Burchill, Roy; Reyes, Matty; Field, Andy; Craig Kessler; Shah, Carrie; Kevin

<u>Fitzgerald</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments Regarding the Mission Bay Draft PEIR

**Date:** Friday, March 10, 2023 1:33:54 PM

Attachments: SD Municipal Golf regarding PEIR V2 Final 110922.pdf

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

#### Scott,

I just wanted to make sure you received the attached letter from the San Diego Municipal Golf Committee and understand the position of San Diego's community golfers (see attached). This letter <u>still</u> represents that same position and we would like to be sure it is reviewed as part of the review process.

In 2016 -2018, the golf course was supposed to be untouchable (on both of the final alternatives submitted) as identified by the San Diego Park and Recreation Department, and a large majority did not support removal of the baseball and tennis courts. These Draft EIR concept plans are completely against what 3 years of community meetings and input were about, but these plans show that we all wasted our time.

#### Some of the issues observed so far:

In most of the colored alternative/bubble diagrams, the southwest corner of the golf course and parking lot next to the brand-new clubhouse/Pro-shop, appears completely eliminated. Where will the baseball fields and tennis courts go (into the golf course?) and where will the 'safe and convenient' parking for the thousands of users end up? On recreational parkland? The multi-use trails for non-golfers are shown winding around and through the existing golf course. How wide are these 'paths' supposed to be? How will the public be protected from errant golf shots? The trail placement within the golf course seems very hazardous to the community and could expose the city to expensive injury lawsuits. We don't see any trails within the current City's Municipal golf courses for this reason.

In addition, the golf course just had a very expensively designed clubhouse and satellite-controlled irrigation system installed throughout the course. It seems foolhardy to rip parts of the golf course up and redesign after millions of dollars have been spent to improve what's been planned for years by the city. The trails need to be away from the noisy roadways (along Mission Bay Drive) and the existing golf course, and be located along the Mission bay and marshland areas, where more room can be allowed.

As a concerned committee member for the City of San Diego's Municipal Golf Committee (MGC), our group wants to make sure everyone understands how Municipal golf is an important component to the recreational needs throughout the City of San Diego. It serves a very important diverse community and family of golfers, and provides environmental benefits that generally are forgotten but very real.

When considering projects like the De Anza Revitalization Plan, please remember that Mission Bay Golf Course is a wonderful golf property that is worth preserving for future

generations to come.

Please <u>review the attached letter</u> and our comments seriously!

Sincerely,

Kurt Carlson Chair San Diego Municipal Golf Committee From: Kathy Thorpe
To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Cove

**Date:** Friday, December 23, 2022 9:28:18 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hi Scott,

Just quick questions. On the 4 alternative plans for De Anza Cove which designation is for RV camping, the dark green "active rec" or the pink "low cost visitor"? When might the plan be decided?

Thanks, Kathy

Sent from Mail for Windows

 From:
 Joanna Hirst

 To:
 Sandel, Scott

 Cc:
 Joanna-BOD

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Cove Natural

Date: Monday, February 6, 2023 1:56:13 PM

Attachments: De Anza Natural220913.xlsx

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

# Greetings, Scott,

Now that we are in February of 2023 I am wondering what the status is for the De Anza Natural Plan. You and I have discussed in the past that the city had hired a number of consultants and that ultimately a proposal will come forward to stakeholders for comment, and later to the City Council for a vote. Have any changes occurred since the three alternatives to the De Anza Natural Plan, as summarized by the spreadsheet attached here illustrating the acreage allocated within the alternatives?

Many thanks, Joanna

Joanna Hirst, Board Member Pacific Beach Tennis Club Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com 858-205-2708 San Diego, CA From: Jack Porath
To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Master Plan

Date: Monday, March 20, 2023 3:16:18 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Please, do not destroy the ball fields. Where will kids go to recreate if gone? Causing problems. I know. I've lived here in the PB area since 1955.

From: John Martin
To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 5, 2023 5:58:35 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

#### Dear Scott:

I'm writing to thank you for taking on the task of making the Mission Bay Park Master Plan a reality after so many years.

My fellow club members and I support continuing the Pacific Beach Tennis Club. It has provided our community with vital athletic strengths and social interaction for 60 years.

As a resident beginning in the 1940s, I watched Pacific Beach grow at the top of Mission Bay. PBTC's arrival helped create an identity that keeps its tennis teaching pros and staff employed. It allows our children-to-seniors to remain racket sport activists across their lifetimes.

My recent induction by the San Diego Tennis Hall of Fame (as a professional journalist covering the sport for many years across the globe) has driven home to me once again the wonderfully healthy effect of tennis played regularly. It's safe to say your work has the potential to be life-affirming.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely, John J. Martin From: <u>Jacqueline Kim</u>

To: Sandel, Scott; PLN PlanningCEQA

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 10:32:25 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

#### Hi.

I am writing this email today to urge the city to adopt maximum wildlife restoration for Campland in Mission Bay to achieve the goals put forth by ReWild Mission Bay.

As you must be aware, climate change and its implications are upon us. It is not something that will happen only in our grandchildren's lifetime. The process has started and it is upon all of us - citizens, policy makers, politicians, and civic leaders - to adopt measures to adapt to the imminent sea level rise.

What is good for the planet is good for us. There is an old Chinese saying that goes "when the lip dies, so will the teeth." Marshlands and wetlands are our lips, protecting us. I wish city planners will do something that will be remembered as brave and visionary and impactful. This is the opportunity and I hope you would fight for what is right for the majority of us (humans, land, wildlife) and not just the small and short term benefit of a few. Let us have ReWild Mission Bay be a shining beacon of what the city can accomplish.

Yours sincerely, Jackie Kim

From: <u>Lauren Neiman</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 6, 2023 11:38:19 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Dear Scott,

Keeping P.B. Tennis where it is now will save the city millions of dollars. It keeps our tennis pros and staff employed, and allows our community of racket sport enthusiasts of all ages (including children and seniors) to keep playing at the Club we know and love in a location accessible to many!

Thank you, Lauren Lazar From: <u>Leslie Sauer</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan: Keep PB Tennis Courts Where

They Are

**Date:** Tuesday, April 4, 2023 1:01:44 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Dear Mr. Sandel,

My family has had a membership at PB Tennis Club for more than 10 years. I play league matches and attend Hannah Holladay's clinic several times a week, and my kids have taken biweekly lessons and clinics with Tom Svajada for 8 years. PB Tennis Club is a huge part of my life, and I believe the tennis courts need to stay where they are. Tennis court access in San Diego is really challenging, and these courts are important.

Feel free to contact me if you need further input as to the importance of these courts.

Best Regards, Leslie Sauer 858-454-4799 From: <u>Lynn Edmunds</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Monday, April 10, 2023 11:36:34 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hi Scott,

Please help Pacific Beach keep the PB Tennis Club and youth fields in the same footprint and the same location! Why spend more money to take away these wonderful amenities from our community?

I am a 30+ year resident and home owner in Pacific Beach. I've raised kids who attended all PB schools and played on the youth fields. I am a current PBTC member and a part of the doubles tennis league at the club. I also enjoy meeting friends to play golf each week at the Mission Bay Golf Club!

Please consider our valuable recreation area before eliminating or reducing the size of this cherished fitness area.

I appreciate your consideration.

Thank you, Lynn

--

Lynn Edmunds principal



Design One Interiors lynn@designone-int.com 858-735-6637

From: <u>Mariah Baughn</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Tuesday, March 7, 2023 2:44:49 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

## Dear Mr. Sandel

To combat sea-level rise, we need Wildest-level restored acreage in Northeast Mission Bay. The Wildest plan as proposed by ReWild Mission Bay demonstrates that 315 acres of habitat restoration is feasible, and the northeast corner of Mission Bay is the best place in the bay to maximize the benefits of a restored wetland. The city needs to demonstrate how 315 acres of mudflats to upland habitat will be restored. This is our chance to protect San Diego against sea level rise and protect vulnerable species, and it can be done. Please follow the true science and not the politics.

Sincerely,

Mariah Baughn, MD Bay Park, San Diego From: <u>Marisa Zens</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Saturday, March 25, 2023 7:51:19 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

I want wetlands because they clean the water for all the recreational users of the Bay—we need that! I support prioritizing wetland restoration here; it's the best place in the City to meet our Climate Action Goals! Please prioritize wetlands!!!

Marisa Zens, Psy.D. she/her Clinical Psychologist 5252 Balboa Ave. Suite 502 San Diego, CA 92117 619-343-5939 (cell) Website

### SAN DIEGO COUNTY ACCESS AND CRISIS LINE: 1-888-724-7240

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information, including patient information protected by federal and state privacy laws. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution, or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: <u>Mary Ella Holtam</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:01:17 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

#### Hello.

I wanted to email in support of maximum restored wetlands and Rewild. I believe that the city's draft EIR must prioritize water quality in Mission Bay by adding a specific project objective to "improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure". The 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan demands it. The draft EIR is also missing details on foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can we, the city, or anyone be expected to determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? The city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035. The Wildest plan provides the city with one of the best ways to achieve this goal, but the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to evaluate its proposals against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals. The city's proposal fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. All San Diegans, including our Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities will benefit with access to a vibrant marsh.

Thank you for your consideration, Mary

From: Marya Ahmad
To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Tuesday, April 18, 2023 11:20:29 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hello Mr. Sandel,

Mission Bay needs maximum wetland restoration. Wetland's are vital for cleaning water, providing habitat to marine species (including commercial fishing), migrating shorebirds, sequestering carbon, filtering pollutants, and buffering against flooding. As sea levels rise, we need to make sure we have enough wetland and upland buffer zones in order to sustain the essential services wetlands provide. The DeAnza cove section of mission bay needs help! There is so much urban runoff and that corner of the bay gets the least tidal flushing. More wetlands are needed to handle what comes off our landscape. There is so much recreation in the other parts of the bay that restoring this area to maximum wetland restoration is the least we can do for future generations.

I am not completely against recreation. I know Campland provides an experience that not a lot of other cities have. It feels unique. I would like to see Campland relocated at Fiesta Island.

Please consider my comments as you plan for the future.

Thank you,

Marya

From: Jim Royer
To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Tuesday, March 7, 2023 9:32:43 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Dear Mr. Sandel,

I'm commenting on the city's planning regarding rewilding Mission Bay. We need the wildest plan which restores 315 acres of habitat. The northeast corner of Mission Bay is the best place in the bay to maximize the benefits of a restored wetland. The city needs to demonstrate how this acreage will be restored.

Thank you, Jim Royer (Bay Ho resident) From: <u>jnicolaidis</u>

To: Sandel, Scott; PLN PlanningCEQA

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan PEIR

**Date:** Wednesday, April 12, 2023 1:47:21 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

#### Hello, Mr. Sandel.

I sent this letter to the Planning group about a week ago, but now I have the appropriate title in the subject line so am resending it.

Thank you,

JN

#### Hello.

While it is clearly the case that the development of Mission BAY gave a strong economic boost to our city, it has rarely been acknowledged that we lost a treasure in the process. Much of the Bay was considered a "swamp" instead of an area of natural wealth in need of protection. Now we have extensive scientific studies showing that having more nature close at hand is highly beneficial to human physical and mental health and that these benefits will be economic ones for our area as well. Look at the global reputation and success of the deeply science based San Diego Zoo and Safari Park!

So I want to express my strong support of the strongest re-wild proposal for the restoration of the northeast corner of Mission Bay. The city needs to present a plan that utilizes hard science, including studies of future sea level rise and benefits of carbon sequestration to our environment. We need to restore the maximum wetland acreage at the "wildest" level and we need to do it now! Please don't accept a weakened proposal to accommodate short term interests. The entire San Diego community deserves a plan with clear and open objectives determined by the strongest scientific information available.

I would appreciate a detailed response. Thank you.
Judith Nicolaidis
jnicolaidis@cox.net

From: John Mann
To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Monday, April 10, 2023 1:36:40 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

The Pacific Beach Tennis Club is a community tennis club that has been serving its community for over 60 years. While I support the objectives of preserving the environment of the area around the Pacific Beach Tennis Club, there is no reason to tear down tennis courts at the Pacific Beach Tennis Club that are currently serving the community. If the proposal is to build a new tennis club with 10 courts, that new club must be built before any tennis courts are removed from the Pacific Beach Tennis Club. Please understand that the tennis courts at the Pacific Beach are currently serving the tennis community in the area which can't wait for a new tennis club to be built if any of the tennis courts are removed. The preservation of the environment is a long term objective which can be met to improve the community without creating a disaster by removing tennis courts from the Pacific Beach Tennis Club which are currently serving the community.

Thank you for your consideration of the Pacific Beach Tennis Club and the community it serves now and has been serving for over 60 years.

Sincerely, John Eric Mann From: <u>Jonathan Personal</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan"

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 4:39:24 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Mr. Sandel,

My apologies, I am resubmitting my previous comment with the appropriate email subject line. Have a nice day!

Mr. Sandel,

Good afternoon! I Just wanted to get a comment in expressing my support for the 'wildest' option at Mission Bay. I am a professional restoration ecologist and former member of the ReWild Mission Bay Wetland Working Group.

Providing the maximum wetland area will be the most resilient under future sea level rise scenarios.

Affordable coastal recreation is great, but if the campsites are going to be difficult to protect and subject to future flooding, they are a liability to the city. Wetlands are a valuable asset. For a number of well-documented reasons.

I hope the department will make the wise choice and move forward with the redevelopment option that provides the greatest acreage of future wetlands.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Appelbaum San Diego / Normal Heights resident & professional ecologist From: Joseph Smith

To: Sandel, Scott

Cc: Justin Weber

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 12, 2023 10:34:08 AM

Attachments: PYSL Policy Comment Letter - De Anza Amendment (4.12.23).pdf

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Scott,

Attached is a comment letter on the proposed De Anza amendment from Pacific Youth Soccer League (PYSL). For over 50 years, PYSL has provided organized youth soccer to the community and serves many families regardless of income level. In 2023, we expect to enroll nearly 1,600 kids in recreational and competitive youth soccer programs. As noted in our comments, we rely upon the Bob McEvoy fields to ensure our program's success and keep registration fees low.

On behalf of the entire PYSL Board of Directors, to which I also serve in a volunteer capacity, we appreciate your consideration of our comments and requests.

Please confirm receipt of this letter and add my contact to any interested parties list you may have.

Much appreciated, Joe

Joseph D. Smith, AICP | Principal California Coastal Works (619) 943-1337 | jsmith@californiacw.com www.californiacoastalworks.com From: <u>Judie Lincer</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Monday, March 27, 2023 6:30:54 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Dear Mr. Sandel,

Please take into account the critically important need to create more wetlands to help with sea level rise and simultaneously provide park space and a healthy environment for people who use the bay for recreation.

We have this great opportunity to do the right thing and set a great example.

Please help make this happen.

Sincerely,



Judie Lincer, M.S. Ed Naturalist Educator Cell 619 277-1490



San Diego Children and Nature (SDCaN) Board of Directors judielincer@sdchildrenandnature.org

San Diego River Park Foundation-Board of Directors

"Ecosystems are not only more complex than we think, they are more complex than we *can* think." Frank Egler



"One generation plants the seeds, the next generation gets the shade." Chinese proverb

www.sdchildrenandnature.org

From: <u>Kasey Schultz</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Tuesday, April 18, 2023 9:30:55 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

This proposed restoration of San Diego's natural wetlands habitat is near and dear to my heart, and I have a few comments I hope you will consider.

In the past few years the issue of terrible Mission Bay water quality and subsequent risk to park visitors has been highlighted by on-site testing led by San Diego Surfrider and others. We have hard data showing the contamination and bacteria in Mission Bay waters. The city's draft EIR must prioritize water quality in Mission Bay by adding a specific project objective to "improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure." The 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan demands it, and that was set out clearly almost 30 years ago.

Second, the city's proposal doesn't look at the cultural and recreational opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored natural ecosystem. This would better balance and expand the park's recreation. All San Diegans, including our indigenous Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities, will benefit with access to a restored vibrant tidal marsh.

Unexpectedly, this draft Environmental Impact Report is missing details on predictable impacts from sea level rise. How can anyone determine the best plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park over time?

And finally, the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035. The Wildest plan provides the city with the best way to achieve this goal, but the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to evaluate its proposals against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals. We need this evaluation.

We are so close to locking down a plan that will demonstrate to the rest of the country what San Diego truly holds dear, our precious natural resources. Let's move forward with the wildest plan that includes the maximum wetland restoration, and be a beacon of change showing that cities and nature can lift each other up.

Thank you for your time and consideration, Kasey Schultz

From: <u>Laura Schumacher</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Monday, March 13, 2023 2:29:43 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

I am writing to urge the City to optimize Mission Bay for future generations by supporting the Wildest Plan from the ReWild Coalition.

The communities which are going to thrive in the future are the ones that use science to prepare for climate change. Science tells us that the Wildest plan will be the best for coastal resilience, clean water and carbon sequestration.

We only have so much wetlands left in San Diego. Let's optimize wetlands as much as we can. We need to listen to the science.

Laura Schumacher Bay Park

Sent from Mail for Windows

From: <u>Ilse Cappel</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Tuesday, April 4, 2023 2:34:24 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hello,

Keeping Pacific Beach Tennis Club in place as is very important to me and others that I have come to know. I joined PBTC this year. After years of trying to find a court to play on, and waiting, or trying to reserve at the few various places that are available in the city, I was willing to make the 25 minute drive to PBTC to be able to get courts and also to introduce my husband to my love of tennis. I have often seen all courts occupied, and a few of the courts are now serving pickleball players. I can't imagine this resource shrinking, when there are so few other courts in the City. Please preserve the PBTC location and it's 8 courts!

Thank you, Ilse Cappel, resident 
 From:
 Joanna Hirst

 To:
 Sandel, Scott

 Cc:
 Joanna-BOD

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment too the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Monday, April 17, 2023 11:33:38 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

# De Anza Natural Amendment Comments

Name Joanna Hirst

Email <a href="mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com">pbtc.joanna@gmail.com</a>

**Zip Code** 92108

Comment

PACIFIC BEACH TENNIS CLUB (PB Tennis Club)COMMENTS

RE "DE ANZA NATURAL" PLAN ANDPEIR

April, 2023 Joanna Hirst. Board Member, PB TennisClub

The Pacific Beach Tennis Club consists of 8 lightedtennis courts which are also striped to include 8pickleball courts. We were founded 62 years ago, whena regional group of racket sport enthusiasts raisedprivate funds to create our facility's courts, lights, clubhouse, and storage area. We sit on public land andoperate under a Special Use Permit granted by SanDiego's Parks and Recreation Department.

Our tennis courts serve five hundred memberscurrently, along with a growing number of pickle ballplayers. We host four tournaments for juniors and adults annually, bringing in registrations exceeding fourhundred players from throughout California, the Southwest US, and Mexico.

We host both men's and women's leagues, for a total exceeding one hundred fifty players; In league play, our teams compete in diverse locations throughout the San Diego region, from South Bay to the south, Coronado to the west, Mountain View to the north, and Lake Murray to the east. In addition, this summer we will be offering Juniors instructional program.

Two of our most westerly courts fall within the area that could be impacted by a proposed buffer zone along Rose Creek – Courts 7 and 8, which are also the location where we include pickle ball along with tennis. The PEIR wisely calls for a Generalized DevelopmentPlan which, if existing recreational uses need to be

disrupted, new venues can be co-located, specifically to identify the location of active recreation such that lighted tennis and pickle ball courts, ballfields, golf, restrooms, clubhouse, parking areas, and concession buildings are able to share facilities.

What is missing from the De Anza Natural Plan and the PEIR is any mention of how funding would be secured to design and construct any replacements for existing recreational facilities. In addition, these plans shouldidentify exactly where the synergistic grouping offacilities would be located

Mission Bay Park serves an immediately growing population. In 2019, the City Council approved theaddition of 3500 new dwellings near the Balboa trolleystation plus 5600 units along Tecolote Road. Thefamilies and individuals filling these 9100 new homeswill be seeking recreational outlets. The Parks and Recreation Committee and City Council should payclose attention to how this expanding need can possibly met with the De Anza and PEIR plans in front of you.

In addition, the owners of the land underlying the SanDiego Tennis and Racquet Club on Tecolote Road have applied for city approval to convert their property to residential use. Their land, 9.6 acres, contains 22 tenniscourts. So once again, there would be an increase inpopulation and a significant loss in recreational facilities to the area very close to Mission Bay Park.

In conclusion, the need for active recreation in closeproximity to De Anza Cove and Mission Bay Park isgrowing, and our elected and appointed officials andurban planners need to address how the demand forathletic opportunities can be met sufficiently as youimplement a Generalized Development Plan for MissionBay Park.

Joanna Hirst

<u>Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com</u>

858-205-2708

San Diego, CA

From: Lyn Booth

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Plan comments

Date: Monday, April 17, 2023 3:11:22 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

#### Dear Scott,

Since you are entrusted with designing San Diego's De Anza Natural plan, we trust you will provide a "balance of local and regional recreational needs", as stated in the goals of San Diego Parks and Recreation.

But the northern green area of "active recreation" in the amendment map is ambiguous. Will specific rec activities such as the tennis club, ball fields, golf course and boating facilities remain? It would be a crushing blow to local sports enthusiasts if the plan removes them!

#### Reasons to keep facilities in place are:

- This is a very small piece of the overall area in the plan and changing it would have a rather insignificant effect regarding climate change goals.
- Environmental pollution would result if the facilities are demolished by heavy equipment, and residents would repeatedly travel elsewhere by car to find other facilities. Families would find it difficult and time-consuming to drive their kids! This is unacceptable for the constituents of the area.
- It would be economically unwise to relocate the tennis courts, given the City's budget. In any project, the best plan says hardscape should remain and softscape moved accordingly. Constructing new courts is expensive: soil studies, excavation, underlayment, surfacing, fencing, lighting, etc. A North-South alignment for courts is best rather than East-West and relocating may make it difficult to retain the proper alignment.
- These hardscape facilities have stood the test of time and are enjoyed by young and old alike in PB, Mission Beach and Clairemont. Our children can have healthy pursuits such as tennis and Little League baseball. Everyone recognizes the need to give children recreation to spend time away from electronics!
- The facilities are heavily used already by current residents; how would new residents from new apartment developments find recreation? How will the burgeoning numbers of pickleball players find courts? We don't have enough as it is!
- There are virtually no identifiable areas left to replace these facilities.
- The PB Tennis Club has a vibrant community of players, and it hosts tournaments and league play with other areas of San Diego. It would leave a big hole to take away our courts!

Thanks for your consideration in balancing our local needs with marshland preservation.

Lyn and Don Booth

San Diego

From: <u>James Whalen</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Plan comments

Date: Sunday, April 16, 2023 10:38:06 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

HI Scott, I don't recall if we met before when I was on the Planning Commission, but if we have and I have forgotten, please excuse my aging memory. I wanted to let you know that we have been recently brought on board to assist the Mission Bay Boat and Ski Club, and we'll be working closely with the City to come up with a workable solution that keeps the Club operating. We'll be providing comments on the EIR to get the ball rolling. Thanks, JimW

## James E. Whalen

President
J.Whalen Associates, Inc.
2851 Camino del Rio South, Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92108
619-683-5544
www.iwhalen.net

From: Howard C. Cohen
To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Plan

Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 6:27:48 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Dear Mr. Sandel: I was reading the DeAN plan and, in particular, the portion re Recreation, which with added italics reads:

## ACTIVE RECREATION

## Recommendations

(No changes to Recommendations #29 and #32.)

30. League Play: Given its unique water setting, Mission Bay Park should not be targeted

as a location for organized soccer or *other league play beyond the existing facilities* in Robb

Field and Pacific Beach Playing Fields in the De Anza Natural northern area.

It seems to convey that the existing facilities at Robb Field and to the east of Mission Bay High School, e.g., McElvoy Field, will remain. But in reality, the way the paragraph is phrased is that no new organized soccer or other league (e.g., Little League baseball, softball) will be added, rather than a covenant that the existing fields will be preserved. While I am all in favor of increasing environmental qualities over commercial excesses, still, the McElvoy site for soccer, Little League, softball, and t-ball, is necessary for PB, MB, south Clairemont, and south LJ youth. The PB Rec Center has one softball diamond and one soccer field; Barnard Elementary has two soccer fields. McElvoy many more ball and soccer fields with better family viewing perspectives.

How may I, my extended family, neighbors, friends be assured that there will be a covenant that McElvoy *remains*?

Best, Howard C. Cohen

From: Kevin Hellman

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Recreation updates briefing

**Date:** Monday, July 10, 2023 5:40:46 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hi Scott.

We spoke about 6 weeks ago in regards to the Deanza update.

I attended last weeks meeting, and from the diagrams showed on screen and online, the ballfields at McEvoy still appear to include a large buffer off of Rose Creek, that will make fields 2-3 unplayable if that is enacted.

Is that still part of the plan? And if so, what is the actual size of that buffer? Any more than maybe 10 feet taken from field 3 eliminates adult play on that field.

Please update me, I'd greatly appreciate it.

Thank you.

Kevin Hellman League Director San Diego Softball (619) 549-2644

On 5/24/23 2:25 PM, Sandel, Scott wrote:

Hello Kevin,

I could call today before 3:30 or tomorrow sometime 1:30-3:30.

Scott Sandel

619.235.5204

ssandel@sandiego.gov

**From:** Kevin Hellman <a href="mailto:kevin@sandiegosoftball.com">kevin@sandiegosoftball.com</a>

**Sent:** Wednesday, May 24, 2023 1:23 PM **To:** Sandel, Scott <a href="mailto:ssandlego.gov">Ssandlego.gov</a>>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza Natural Recreation updates briefing

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hi Scott.

Do you have a quick minute for a call? I was on the zoom and at the meeting yesterday, and wanted to check with you on something.

Please let me know when you might have a second for a quick call...thanks.

Kevin Hellman League Director San Diego Softball (619) 549-2644 On 5/23/23 3:37 PM, Sandel, Scott wrote:

Thank you to everyone who participated. I apologize for the abrupt ending to the meeting.

We look forward to your attendance at one or more of the subcommittee meetings coming up, including tonight's.

The links shared in the chat:

General Development Plans, Council Policy 600-33: https://docs.sandiego.gov/councilpolicies/cpd 600-33.pdf

De Anza updated website:

https://www.sandiego.gov/planning/work/park-planning/de-anza

Thank you again!

## Scott Sandel, RLA

Landscape Architect & Park Planner City of San Diego Planning Department

T 619.235.5204

## ssandel@sandiego.gov

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Sandel, Scott

**Sent:** Wednesday, May 17, 2023 2:53 PM

**To:** Sandel, Scott; <u>james@jamesirwinjr.com</u>; Joanna-BOD; Tom Norton; <u>billybonelli@gmail.com</u>; Kevin Hellman; Justin Weber; Kurt Carlson; <u>umass1972@gmil.com</u>; Stanco, Kelley

Cc: Rao, Sameera; Wilde, Randy; Vonblum, Heidi; Chadwick, Christina;

Dynes, Ann; Kevin Hellman; glo

Subject: De Anza Natural Recreation updates briefing

When: Tuesday, May 23, 2023 3:00 PM-3:45 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time

(US & Canada).

**Where:** https://zoom.us/j/95308017551? pwd=d0hRYkRaSmNiTEFXOSs4QilzelBKQT09

Good afternoon. Thank you all for giving me your meeting date preferences. This date/time got the most votes. We may not need all the time allotted to go over some plan changes we have mad in response to comments received so far. More detailed discussions will occur over the next few weeks with Parks and Rec Board and the Mission Bay Park Committee joint subcommittee.

Thank you.

## Scott Sandel, ASLA

Landscape Architect & Park Planner City of San Diego Planning Department

T 619.235.5204 ssandel@sandiego.gov

Join Zoom Meeting <a href="https://zoom.us/j/95308017551?">https://zoom.us/j/95308017551?</a> <a href="pwd=d0hRYkRaSmNjTEFXOSs4QjlzelBKQT09">pwd=d0hRYkRaSmNjTEFXOSs4QjlzelBKQT09</a>

Meeting ID: 953 0801 7551

Passcode: a2DEy5

From: <u>heatherchrispin@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Heather Myers</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:49:18 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Heather Myers 4011 Datcho Dr San Diego, CA 92117-4619 From: james hodgdon@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of James Hodgdon

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:47:17 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, James Hodgdon 9185 Oviedo St San Diego, CA 92129-2134 From: <u>liliana.griego@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Liliana Griego</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:37:44 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Liliana Griego 815 Rollin St South Pasadena, CA 91030-3730 From: <u>lindad5@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Linda Douglas</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:42:23 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Linda Douglas 922 Knoll Vista Dr San Marcos, CA 92078-4803 From: <u>Iheidt@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Linda Heidt</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:26:18 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Linda Heidt 1802 Garnet Ave San Diego, CA 92109-3352 From: <u>lbkour@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Linda K</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 10:39:53 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Linda K 4110 Jewell St San Diego, CA 92109-5486 From: <u>lindam@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Linda Morrissey</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:25:02 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Linda Morrissey 22 Paddock Ln Dracut, MA 01826-4276 From: <u>lpardy@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Linda Pardy</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:53:25 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Linda Pardy 2707 K Ave National City, CA 91950-7563 From: <u>Istigert@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Linda Tigert</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Monday, July 17, 2023 11:29:42 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Linda Tigert 5325 Bahia Blanca W Unit N Laguna Woods, CA 92637-1895 From: <u>lchaddoc@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Lisa Chaddock</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:42:02 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities. The statement that "no project " would protect cultural sites in a place that we'd terraformed in the 1950s is completely unrealistic. We can do better now by creating a private space for Kumeyaay people to have access for cultural activities.

Please consider the ReWild plan, which has been created with community input and feedback, and accounts for sea level rise, cultural access and community activities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Lisa Chaddock 4440 Alamo Way San Diego, CA 92115-5908 From: <u>Irosare@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Lisa Rosa</u>

To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:41:27 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Lisa Rosa 610 Mc Carran Dr Imperial, CA 92251-9011 From: <u>lisekastigar@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Lise Kastigar</u>

To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:39:50 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Lise Kastigar 22972 Mirabel Dr Laguna Niguel, CA 92677-2720 From: <u>i.stark25@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Jan Stark</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:51:31 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jan Stark 10192 Wembley Cir Westminster, CA 92683-5831 From: <u>miranda maria18@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Liz Miranda</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:40:03 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Liz Miranda PO Box 2255 Santa Ana, CA 92707-0255 From: <u>mscaruana@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Loretta Caruana Caruana</u>

To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:22:25 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Loretta Caruana Caruana 1223 Santa Barbara Dr Newport Beach, CA 92660-6371 From: <u>baxtertalk@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>LORI BAXTER</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:03:25 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, LORI BAXTER 8133 Pasadena Ave La Mesa, CA 91941-6424 From: <u>ltitlow@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Louise Titlow</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:30:37 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Louise Titlow 4717 Niagara Ave San Diego, CA 92107-2206 From: <u>luannecoker@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Luanne Coker</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:57:13 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Luanne Coker 1286 Seacoast Dr Imperial Beach, CA 91932-3168 From: ramos.lucero1991@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of <u>Lucero Ramos</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:38:06 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Lucero Ramos 20320 Klyne St Corona, CA 92881-4529 From: <u>luisqfuentes10@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Luis Fuentes</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:02:56 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Luis Fuentes 3415 6th Ave Unit 900 San Diego, CA 92103-5056 From: <u>lyndambauer@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Lynda Bauer</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:44:16 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Lynda Bauer

1 Vintage Dr Newport Beach, CA 92660-4293

From: <u>lynnerusso100@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Lynne Russo</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:27:13 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Lynne Russo 1078 Hayes Ave San Diego, CA 92103-2309 From: <u>katarra528@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Madeleine Fields</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Sunday, May 7, 2023 1:00:08 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Madeleine Fields 18 Laurent Aliso Viejo, CA 92656-7068 From: <u>jmcclain01@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>janet mcclain</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Monday, April 24, 2023 3:06:04 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, janet mcclain 1303 Windsor Rd Cardiff By The Sea, CA 92007-1346 From: <u>missunser@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Manda Unser</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:41:21 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Manda Unser 44489 Town Center Way Ste D220 Palm Desert, CA 92260-2723 From: marciaaholcomb@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Marcia Holcomb

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:12:04 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Marcia Holcomb 5500 Via Verano Yorba Linda, CA 92887-4930 From: <u>mason\_marcie@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Marcie Mason</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:50:28 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Marcie Mason 3086 E Fox Run Way San Diego, CA 92111-7718 From: <u>margemartin2@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>margaret martinovic</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:14:20 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, margaret martinovic 3826 Avenida Johanna La Mesa, CA 91941-7312 From: <u>margotlowe1@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Margot Lowe</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Friday, April 21, 2023 5:27:05 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Margot Lowe 4834 Northerly St Oceanside, CA 92056-2101 From: <u>m.elenacrabb@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Maria Elena Crabb</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:58:22 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Maria Elena Crabb 10669 Haven Brook Pl San Diego, CA 92130-4844 From: <u>mvglistens@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Maria Veghte</u>

To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 1:34:38 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Maria Veghte PO Box 60220 San Diego, CA 92166-8220 From: <a href="mtsongas@everyactioncustom.com">mtsongas@everyactioncustom.com</a> on behalf of <a href="mtsongas@everyactioncustom.com">Marian Tsongas</a>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:32:39 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Marian Tsongas 455 Shore Rd Chatham, MA 02633-2362 From: <a href="mtsongas@everyactioncustom.com">mtsongas@everyactioncustom.com</a> on behalf of <a href="mtsongas@everyactioncustom.com">Marian Tsongas</a>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:13:08 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Marian Tsongas 455 Shore Rd Chatham, MA 02633-2362 From: <u>mtsongas@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Marian Tsongas</u>

To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 10:56:50 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Marian Tsongas 455 Shore Rd Chatham, MA 02633-2362 From: <u>desgrojs@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Jay Desgrosellier</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:35:24 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jay Desgrosellier 7665 Palmilla Dr Apt 5212 San Diego, CA 92122-5032 From: <u>drmartyanderson@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Marit Anderson</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:04:20 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Marit Anderson 444 N El Camino Real Spc 84 Encinitas, CA 92024-1312 From: <u>marktbroido@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Mark Broido</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 3:03:55 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Mark Broido 8520 Cliffridge Ave La Jolla, CA 92037-2110 From: <u>martin\_p\_edwards@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Martin\_edwards</u>

To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:54:47 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Martin edwards 5007 Manor Ridge Ln San Diego, CA 92130-2895 From: <u>safe.sill\_08@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Mary Botones</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Sunday, May 21, 2023 6:58:23 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Mary Botones PO Box 5062 Fullerton, CA 92838-0062 From: <u>mactalk2me@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Mary Clumeck</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:56:36 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Mary Clumeck 1532 Wyndham Court Rd Santa Ana, CA 92705-3114 From: <u>mycollet23@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Mary Collett</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:51:12 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Mary Collett 85 O'Hear Ct Waterbury, VT 05676 From: <u>mickey3ms42net@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Mary M.Mason</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:27:10 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Mary M. Mason 5732 Grimsby Dr Huntington Beach, CA 92649-4842 From: <u>makapa@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Mary Parrish</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Monday, June 19, 2023 12:14:00 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Mary Parrish 1614 Thomas Ave San Diego, CA 92109-4423 From: <u>coolcollecter@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Mary Stanley</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 10:24:18 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

I enjoy the outdoors and all the wildlife and want this for our future generations to enjoy. The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Mary Stanley 5490 Ash St Jurupa Valley, CA 92509-4833 From: <u>mredish@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Maryellen Redish</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:23:25 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Maryellen Redish 480 W Yorba Rd Apt 2 Palm Springs, CA 92262-1971 From: <u>iclinder@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Jean Linder</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:56:29 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

Please be mindful that rising sea levels need to be considered in any future plans. The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jean Linder 2707 Havasupai Ave San Diego, CA 92117-1633 From: <u>magbaron@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Mason Griffith</u>

To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:04:00 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Mason Griffith 1111 Alturas Rd Fallbrook, CA 92028-3150 From: <u>mullenm23@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Mathew Mullen</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:37:20 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Mathew Mullen 1451 Michigan Ave Beaumont, CA 92223-1755 From: adoptfromashelter@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Meadow Two Feathers

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:40:26 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Meadow Two Feathers 1132 Ravencrest Rd Santa Ana, CA 92705-2965 From: <u>mlgibney@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Megan Gibney</u>

To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:26:18 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Megan Gibney 3544 Caminito Carmel Lndg San Diego, CA 92130-2503 From: <u>ukrose0607@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>melanie ross</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:56:09 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, melanie ross 3885 58th St San Diego, CA 92115-6508 From: <u>beharmelissa@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Melissa Behar</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:11:51 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Melissa Behar 4747 Mount Saint Helens Way San Diego, CA 92117-3029 From: MelissaHParham@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Melissa Parham

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 6:18:06 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Melissa Parham 3400 Avenue Of The Arts Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1927 From: mewilliams1974@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Melissa Williams

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:12:11 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Melissa Williams 45060 Debbie Dr La Quinta, CA 92253-7206 From: <u>benetmercedes@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Mercedes Benet</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:46:04 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Mercedes Benet 2440 La Costa Ave Carlsbad, CA 92009-7301 From: merpriestley@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Meredith Priestley

To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:01:27 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Meredith Priestley 673 Ida Ave Solana Beach, CA 92075-2416 From: <u>jeanyaremchuk@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Jean Yaremchuk</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:37:26 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's proposal fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jean Yaremchuk 4021 Falcon St Apt 412 San Diego, CA 92103-1892 From: michaeldmcghee007@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Michael D Michael

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:48:28 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Michael D Michel 28224 Mariners Way Menifee, CA 92584-8008 From: <u>glib-04eaglet@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Michael Groeger</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 12:31:56 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Michael Groeger 1267 Browning Ct Vista, CA 92083-4759 From: mlmavro@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Michael Mavrovouniotis

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:18:17 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

My wife and I are frequent visitors to many of San Diego's attractions - including the museums in Balboa Park, the Zoo, and the USS Midway and the other harbor attractions. But what we love the most in San Diego is its natural spaces, because we are avid birdwatchers. Please restore crucial wetlands and protect the Ridgway's Rail!

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Michael Mavrovouniotis 14 Sunriver Irvine, CA 92614-5402 From: micsea06@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Michele Gelboin

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:10:45 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Michele Gelboin 8525 Villa La Jolla Dr Apt D La Jolla, CA 92037-2319 From: mmallonee08@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Michele Mallonee

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 5:39:23 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Michele Mallonee 14551 Cherrywood Ln Tustin, CA 92780-6744 From: <u>mjlgrimes@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Michelle Grimes</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:17:14 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Michelle Grimes 5425 Adams Ave San Diego, CA 92115-2207 From: <u>msm12778@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Mike Merlesena</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:38:52 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Mike Merlesena 3779 Florida St Apt 3 San Diego, CA 92104-3216 From: <u>misty.johnson@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Missy Garvin</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:12:44 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Missy Garvin 2539 Ocean Cove Dr Cardiff By The Sea, CA 92007-2218 From: <u>mpejman2468@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Mitra Pejman</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:30:02 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Mitra Pejman 6154 Nancy Ridge Dr San Diego, CA 92121-3223 From: <u>mmortada1@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Mohsin Mortada</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:58:06 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Mohsin Mortada 300 W Beech St San Diego, CA 92101-8444 From: <u>jeannezemail@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Jeanne Thompson</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Tuesday, April 25, 2023 3:00:22 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

Hi: REMEMBER the birds; once they go; we are next! Thank you for all your efforts, Jeanne Thompson

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jeanne Thompson 5424 Camp St Cypress, CA 90630-3038 From: moktarsalama@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Moktar Salama

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:25:06 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Moktar Salama 10185 Peregrine Cir Fountain Valley, CA 92708-6850 From: <u>mmorrissey013@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Molly Morrissey</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:37:11 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Molly Morrissey 4940 Del Monte Ave San Diego, CA 92107-3226 From: <u>murielspooner@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Muriel Spooner</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 2:01:27 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals. This oversight needs to be corrected and hard science used to determine the sea level rise effect on the restored wetlands.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved. Maximum wetlands restoration will preserve more habitat for these species as sea level rises.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Access to natural environments has been shown to provide needed mental health benefits. A large wetland area with public access will provide affordable mental and physical health benefits to all residents and visitors to this area. Many people who don't or can't participate in organized sports will be able to utilize this type of recreation.

San Diego has an unique opportunity to restore much needed wetlands that will contribute to the Climate Action Plan in multiple ways and benefit all species - humans, birds, mammals, fish, insects, and plant life. Please don't squander this opportunity.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Muriel Spooner 5048 San Joaquin Dr San Diego, CA 92109-1512 From: murraykaufman430@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Murray Kaufman

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:35:17 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Murray Kaufman 22 Acacia Tree Ln Irvine, CA 92612-2201 From: <u>nadia550@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Nadine Scott</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:27:24 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Nadine Scott 550 Hoover St Oceanside, CA 92054-4557 From: <u>nrenner@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Nan Renner</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 6:43:19 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

I love San Diego and the beautiful habitats that define our home.

Mission Bay was once home for countless animals. Now, with >95% of native habitat destroyed, we have lost the beauty, the animals, and the ecosystem services that wetlands provide.

Please adopt the plan that maximizes wetland restoration. This will bring the greatest benefit to the people and wildlife of San Diego, and will greatly improve our community's resilience to climate change.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Nan Renner 4767 Coronado Ave San Diego, CA 92107-3542 From: <u>nwatson342@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Nancy Watson</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 8:23:33 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Nancy Watson 38208 Calle Cipres Murrieta, CA 92562-9348 From: <u>naomisobo@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Naomi Sobo</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:04:37 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Naomi Sobo 3880 Nobel Dr San Diego, CA 92122-5700 From: nmorrissey6@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Neil Morrissey

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:48:14 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Neil Morrissey 22 Paddock Ln Dracut, MA 01826-4276 From: <u>nstanton58@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Neil Stanton</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:39:27 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Neil Stanton 212 5th Ave Chula Vista, CA 91910-2425 From: <u>allenprather1117@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Jennifer AllenPrather</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 3:25:09 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jennifer AllenPrather 1226 Darby St Spring Valley, CA 91977-3713 From: <a href="mailto:ngonzalez82@everyactioncustom.com">ngonzalez82@everyactioncustom.com</a> on behalf of <a href="mailto:Nerin Gonzalez">Nerin Gonzalez</a>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:49:11 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Nerin Gonzalez 2575 Market St San Diego, CA 92102-3041 From: <u>nicole.cervi@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Nicole Cervi-McKeever</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:40:54 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Nicole Cervi-McKeever 21193 Gladiolos Way Lake Forest, CA 92630-7618 From: <u>nicole.mckenzie@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Nicole McKenzie</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Sunday, June 11, 2023 12:23:21 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Nicole McKenzie 1760 Grain Mill Rd San Marcos, CA 92078-1061 From: <a href="mailto:nmkhillgarth@everyactioncustom.com">nmkhillgarth@everyactioncustom.com</a> on behalf of <a href="mailto:Nigella Hillgarth">Nigella Hillgarth</a>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:16:50 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Nigella Hillgarth 6110 Camino De La Costa La Jolla, CA 92037-6520 From: <u>nuribpierce@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Nuri Pierce</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:05:21 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Nuri Pierce 10746 Melva Rd La Mesa, CA 91941-5752 From: <u>g\_cardona@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Nydia Cardona</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:09:46 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Nydia Cardona 34216 Sundew Ct Lake Elsinore, CA 92532-2973 From: <u>Jenna7777777@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Jennifer Ankele</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:19:46 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jennifer Ankele 4190 Highland Pl Riverside, CA 92506-1194 From: jenndokey@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Jennifer Dokey

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Sunday, April 23, 2023 8:37:58 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jennifer Dokey 33181 Westchester Dr Thousand Palms, CA 92276-3934 From: <u>heidilynn@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Heidi Lynn</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 8:05:51 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Heidi Lynn 4105 Cortez Way Spring Valley, CA 91977-1319 From: <u>jenhagglof@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Jennifer Hagglof</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:21:39 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jennifer Hagglof 6901 Freedom Blvd Aptos, CA 95003-9620 From: <u>email.jennp@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Jennifer Sevadjian</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:43:07 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

I personally look forward to spending more time in Mission Bay as it is brought back to a more natural state. A large wetland in Mission will truly be a gem for the City of San Diego. Please do all that you can to maximize the extent of the restoration, natural places are few and far between in our crowded city, I envision the restoration of Mission Bay habitat will not only benefit wildlife but will also provide the breathe of fresh air that so many of us are searching for.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jennifer Sevadjian 3789 Narragansett Ave San Diego, CA 92107-2614 From: jennifer york@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Jennifer York

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:39:25 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jennifer York 836 Hygeia Ave Encinitas, CA 92024-2116 From: jensenvbf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Jensen Fiskin

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Sunday, April 23, 2023 7:38:02 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

We are in a human-induced sixth mass extinction. We must vigorously and urgently take action to stop this, for ourselves and for the millions of species we are putting at risk of extinction. Therefore, when we have a chance to protect a highly functioning ecosystem, we must do it. The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jensen Fiskin 44555 San Pascual Ave Palm Desert, CA 92260-3649 From: <u>jessica.a.barlow@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Jessica Barlow</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Sunday, April 23, 2023 2:35:26 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jessica Barlow 4395 Felton St San Diego, CA 92104-1420 From: <u>iillegus@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Jill Gustafson</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:38:44 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. All San Diegans, including our Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jill Gustafson 5680 Dorothy Way San Diego, CA 92115-2307 From: <u>joannetenney@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Joanne Tenney</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:00:48 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Joanne Tenney 453 W El Norte Pkwy Apt 301 Escondido, CA 92026-1930 From: <u>zelnick.joanne@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Joanne Zelnick</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 2:51:55 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Joanne Zelnick 14915 Meadows Way Eastvale, CA 92880-3936 From: <u>tof@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Johannah Frank</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:00:39 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Johannah Frank 2685 Sunset Hls Escondido, CA 92025-7849 From: <u>jtbochenek@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>John Bochenek</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:39:08 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's proposal fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, John Bochenek 4021 Falcon St San Diego, CA 92103-1883 From: <u>helen611@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Helen Lynn</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:23:09 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Helen Lynn 577 S Thornhill Rd Apt 4 Palm Springs, CA 92264-7884 From: johnbogut@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of John D.Bogut

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:03:25 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, John D. Bogut 13121 Monroe St Garden Grove, CA 92844-1124 From: <u>itimothyknox61@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>John Knox</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 6:18:39 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, John Knox 434 Avenida Gabriel Chula Vista, CA 91914-4463 From: <u>itimothyknox61@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>John Knox</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:24:22 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, John Knox 434 Avenida Gabriel Chula Vista, CA 91914-4463 From: <u>johnkramer45@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>John Kramer</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 9:07:27 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, John Kramer 9667 Candy Ln La Mesa, CA 91941-4223 From: john@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of John Lomac

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:33:03 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, John Lomac 832 W Montecito Way San Diego, CA 92103-1814 From: <u>jmitchell1821@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>John Mitchell</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Saturday, April 22, 2023 1:09:09 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, John Mitchell 1821 Willowhaven Rd Encinitas, CA 92024-5644 From: <u>imorrissey47@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>John Morrissey</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:44:57 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, John Morrissey 174 Quebec St Lowell, MA 01852-4540 From: <u>johnpasqua57@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>John Pasqua</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 1:52:07 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, John Pasqua 843 S Escondido Blvd Escondido, CA 92025-5005 From: <u>jay.day1@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Jonathan Day</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:30:57 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jonathan Day 3221 Alta Laguna Blvd Laguna Beach, CA 92651-2059 From: <u>joseph1999@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Joseph Schlageck</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:22:32 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Joseph Schlageck 2960 Champion Way Apt 1112 Tustin, CA 92782-1230 From: <u>hahall51@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Holly Hall</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 10:20:47 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Holly Hall 31621 Corte Rosario Temecula, CA 92592-6484 From: <u>charities@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Joshua Jones</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:52:35 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Joshua Jones 5871 Stresemann St San Diego, CA 92122-3140 From: <u>wizardsjoy@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Joyce Edwards</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 9:30:01 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Joyce Edwards 736 Wilbur Ave San Diego, CA 92109-2019 From: <u>aliandjoy@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>joyce glennon</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:29:55 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, joyce glennon 2283 Worden St San Diego, CA 92107-1611 From: fj gama01@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of <u>Juanita Gama</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:59:41 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Juanita Gama 39240 Hidden Water Pl Palm Desert, CA 92260-1433 From: <u>jbayer820@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Judith Bayer</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Tuesday, April 25, 2023 11:10:24 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Judith Bayer 11550 Alkaid Dr San Diego, CA 92126-1370 From: <u>judymgmg@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Judith Wright</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Saturday, April 22, 2023 8:44:58 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Judith Wright 1013 Henrietta Cir Placentia, CA 92870-4221 From: <u>jashively01@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Judy Shively</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:35:57 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Judy Shively 1475 Imperial Ave San Diego, CA 92101-7520 From: <u>juliarbroad@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Julia Broad</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:39:10 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Julia Broad 9671 Rosebay St Anaheim, CA 92804-3435 From: <u>julia.g.curry@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Julia Curry</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:17:36 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Julia Curry 1351 Chalcedony St San Diego, CA 92109-2125 From: <u>juliebrickell@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Julie Brickell</u>

To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:31:42 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Julie Brickell 210 W Union Ave Apt 13 Fullerton, CA 92832-1204 From: <u>hughd@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Hugh Armstrong</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:11:13 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Hugh Armstrong 26322 Pacato Dr Mission Viejo, CA 92691-4122 From: <u>jafrank63@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Julie Frank</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:27:28 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Julie Frank 648 Clarence Ln Escondido, CA 92029-5822 From: <u>jafrank63@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Julie Frank</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:26:13 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Julie Frank 648 Clarence Ln Escondido, CA 92029-5822 From: <u>juliepearce1@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Julie pearce</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:48:26 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Julie pearce 21711 Eveningside Ln Lake Forest, CA 92630-2404 From: <u>june.yamada1@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>June Yamada</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:31:19 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, June Yamada 13861 Manor Dr Apt B Westminster, CA 92683-4018 From: justinnicholillustration@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Justin Nichol

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:36:54 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Justin Nichol 5075 Pearblossom Dr Riverside, CA 92507-6052 From: <u>ksfpb@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>k campbell</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:09:28 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, k campbell 704 Van Nuys St San Diego, CA 92109-1052 From: <u>kgiamona@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>k g</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:40:53 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,

k g

1300 9th St San Diego, CA 92118-2624

From: <u>karjanehafer@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Karen Hafer</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:36:38 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Karen Hafer 220 N Zapata Hwy Laredo, TX 78043-4427 From: <u>karen.haze@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Karen Haze</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:37:04 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Karen Haze 3426 Del Rey St San Diego, CA 92109-5707 From: <u>karen@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Karen Martien</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:43:05 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. This is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan that can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Karen Martien 4328 Rous St San Diego, CA 92122-2631 From: <u>hanningi@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Ilse Hanning</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:04:00 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Ilse Hanning 8458 Mount Vernon St Lemon Grove, CA 91945-3325 From: <u>karinaornelas28@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Karina Ornelas</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Tuesday, April 18, 2023 10:33:42 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. All San Diegans, including our Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Karina Ornelas 1120 W San Ysidro Blvd Apt 12 San Ysidro, CA 92173-1165 From: <u>blkdolfin@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Karla Henderson</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:33:52 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Karla Henderson 482 W San Ysidro Blvd # 1206 San Ysidro, CA 92173-2444 From: <u>karla.padilla16@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Karla Padilla</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:35:56 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

Restoring Wetlands is important not just for the environment. It important for the city itself. Wetlands provide a buffer against climate change related impacts; it gives birds animals habitat and greenspace is important for mental health of people. We have already lost important wetlands. We need to restore as much as possible to create a better world for all.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Karla Padilla 4491 Vereda Mar De Ponderosa San Diego, CA 92130-2667 From: <u>kayteecurry@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Katherine Curry</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:21:42 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Katherine Curry 1351 Chalcedony St San Diego, CA 92109-2125 From: <u>kfernandez2576@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>kathleen fernandez</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:21:09 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, kathleen fernandez 5615 Via De Campo Yorba Linda, CA 92887-4917 From: <u>kathleen.herring@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kathleen Herring</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 5:46:26 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kathleen Herring 51930 Avenida Martinez La Quinta, CA 92253-3164 From: <u>kldunn101@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kathleen Leslie Dunn</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:51:44 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kathleen Leslie Dunn 5907 Charing St San Diego, CA 92117-4122 From: <u>kathleenmarvel@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kathleen Marvel</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:44:30 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kathleen Marvel 1980 Silverleaf Cir Unit 321 Carlsbad, CA 92009-8427 From: grabensteink@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Kathlyn Grabenstein

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:47:45 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kathlyn Grabenstein 3121 Killarney Ln Costa Mesa, CA 92626-2610 From: <u>klb54@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kathy Beitscher</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:49:01 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kathy Beitscher 4910 Crestland Dr La Mesa, CA 91941-5732 From: <u>iturov@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Ilya Turov</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:53:41 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Ilya Turov 26416 Field St Moreno Valley, CA 92555-2550 From: <u>blackmarr@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kathy Blackmarr</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:23:47 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kathy Blackmarr 5291 Victoria Pl Westminster, CA 92683-4847 From: <u>kathywhob@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kathy Brigger</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 4:41:39 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kathy Brigger 22060 Valley View Dr Nuevo, CA 92567-8920 From: <u>kriyalight@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kathy Lee</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:09:49 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kathy Lee 973 Grove Pl Costa Mesa, CA 92627-4001 From: <u>Kathystrijek@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kathy Strijek</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Saturday, April 22, 2023 9:43:22 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kathy Strijek 4044 Mission Peak Palm Springs, CA 92262-1237 From: <u>kcollins@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kay Collins</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:50:53 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kay Collins 6073 Nauru St Cypress, CA 90630-5644 From: <u>kedz2garden@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Ked Garden</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:10:48 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Ked Garden 2328 Main St Lemon Grove, CA 91945-3221 From: <u>972f0920@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Keiko Barrett</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:45:07 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Keiko Barrett 1150 J St San Diego, CA 92101-7240 From: <u>k1camp@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Keith Campbell</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:26:46 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Keith Campbell 10302 Lake Ridge Ct Spring Valley, CA 91977-5423 From: <u>kchristy208@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Keith Christy</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:32:58 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Keith Christy 4340 Lindsay St Jurupa Valley, CA 92509-2569 From: <u>kelccole@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kelcy Coleman</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:14:58 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. All San Diegans, including our Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kelcy Coleman 4372 W Point Loma Blvd San Diego, CA 92107-1188 From: <u>coba@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Jacoba Dolloff</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:06:49 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Jacoba Dolloff 4545 Taft Ave La Mesa, CA 91941-7158 From: <u>kamiller@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kellie Miller</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:21:56 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kellie Miller 2952 B S Fairview St Santa Ana, CA 92704-6503 From: <u>kellykramer@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kelly Kramer</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:45:07 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kelly Kramer 11431 Kathy Ln Garden Grove, CA 92840-1716 From: <u>peeweefan78@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kelly Weaver</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Monday, May 1, 2023 11:49:03 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kelly Weaver 4315 Star Path Way Unit 3 Oceanside, CA 92056-5748 From: <u>kenssailtime@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Ken Sanford</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, June 7, 2023 6:34:21 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Ken Sanford 2180 Amanda Ln Escondido, CA 92029-4307 From: <u>kcalthiser@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kenneth Althiser</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:06:55 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kenneth Althiser 38920 Newberry St Cherry Valley, CA 92223-3658 From: <u>kaltana@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kim Altana</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:49:31 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kim Altana 19100 Harvard Ave Apt 24 Irvine, CA 92612-2660 From: <u>kimberger529@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kim Berger</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:30:46 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kim Berger 5022 Avenida De La Plata Oceanside, CA 92057-8018 From: <u>kmcpisces@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kim Cruz</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:12:02 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kim Cruz 510 Orange Grove Ave Vista, CA 92084-6220 From: withenglishcharm@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of <u>Kim Waterson</u>

To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:36:15 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kim Waterson 351 S Devon Rd Orange, CA 92868-3706 From: <u>kirstiep78@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kirstie Pfeifer</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Friday, April 21, 2023 11:21:39 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kirstie Pfeifer 9973 Fieldthorn St San Diego, CA 92127-4415 From: <u>drjbjc@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>James Cregg</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Tuesday, May 23, 2023 4:57:06 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, James Cregg 9823 Ivy St Spring Valley, CA 91977-7030 From: <u>tigercat3@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kurt Gross</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:39:03 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kurt Gross PO Box 16898 San Diego, CA 92176-6898 From: <u>kyledreher@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>kyle dreher</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 12:18:38 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, kyle dreher 9846 Rimpark Way San Diego, CA 92124-1627 From: <u>kyokokremp2@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Kyoko Kremp</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:33:06 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Kyoko Kremp 24912 Sunset Pl E Laguna Hills, CA 92653-4902 From: <u>laceylevitt@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Lacey Levitt</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:58:07 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Lacey Levitt From: <u>laszlo.kurucz@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Laszlo Kurucz</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:04:08 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Laszlo Kurucz 26571 Normandale Dr Lake Forest, CA 92630-6731 From: <u>ledufel@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Laura Dufel</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 12:07:51 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Laura Dufel 1929 Cassia Rd Apt 300 Carlsbad, CA 92011-4166 From: <u>lj.jeremiah@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Laura Jeremiah</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Sunday, April 23, 2023 7:55:20 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

Hello, I am a resident in San Diego county. This is important!

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Laura Jeremiah

1323 Evergreen Dr Cardiff By The Sea, CA 92007-1034

From: <u>laurakramer01@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Laura Kramer</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:43:29 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Laura Kramer 73413 Buckboard Trl Palm Desert, CA 92260-6617 From: <u>laurel\_scott@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Laurel\_Scott</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:57:13 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Laurel Scott 6359 Rancho Mission Rd Unit 3 San Diego, CA 92108-2011 From: <a href="mailto:ltate@everyactioncustom.com">ltate@everyactioncustom.com</a> on behalf of <a href="mailto:Leslie Tate">Leslie Tate</a>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:52:11 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Leslie Tate 3517 Fairlomas Rd National City, CA 91950-8215 From: <a href="mailto:hfbrashear@everyactioncustom.com">hfbrashear@everyactioncustom.com</a> on behalf of <a href="mailto:Heather Brashear">Heather Brashear</a>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:17:28 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely, Heather Brashear 1 Seasand Laguna Niguel, CA 92677-5929 From: James Whalen
To: Sandel, Scott
Cc: Roselei Redrick

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural--Mission Bay Boat & Ski Club

**Date:** Monday, April 17, 2023 10:26:42 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

HI Scott, I don't recall if we met before when I was on the Planning Commission, but if we have and I have forgotten, please excuse my aging memory. I wanted to let you know that we have been recently brought on board to assist the Mission Bay Boat & Ski Club in their effort to stay in business. We'll be commenting on the EIR and look forward to a productive outcome for both the City and the Club. Thanks, Jim Whalen

## James E. Whalen

President
J.Whalen Associates, Inc.
2851 Camino del Rio South, Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92108
619-683-5544
www.iwhalen.net

## James E. Whalen

President
J.Whalen Associates, Inc.
2851 Camino del Rio South, Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92108
619-683-5544
www.jwhalen.net

From: <u>Jonathan Personal</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza / ReWild

Date: Thursday, April 20, 2023 4:35:34 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Mr. Sandel,

Just wanted to get a comment in expressing my support for the 'wildest' option at Mission Bay. I am a professional restoration ecologist and former member of the ReWild Mission Bay Wetland Working Group.

Providing the maximum wetland area will be the most resilient under future sea level rise scenarios.

Affordable coastal recreation is great, but if the campsites are going to be difficult to protect and subject to future flooding, they are a liability to the city. Wetlands are a valuable asset. For a number of well-documented reasons.

I hope the department will make the wise choice and move forward with the redevelopment option that provides the greatest acreage of future wetlands.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Appelbaum San Diego / Normal Heights resident & professional ecologist

Sent from my iPhone

From: Joseph Smith
To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza timing

**Date:** Tuesday, November 14, 2023 11:19:37 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hi Scott, is there a roadmap ahead for De Anza public hearings?

Thx! Joseph

Joseph D. Smith, AICP | Principal California Coastal Works (619) 943-1337 | jsmith@californiacw.com www.californiacoastalworks.com 
 From:
 Holly Kick

 To:
 Sandel\_Scott

 Subject:
 [EXTERNAL] DeAnza

**Date:** Friday, March 24, 2023 12:06:33 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hi Scott,

It was great seeing you at Love Your Wetlands Day!

Is there a chance you can add a legend map to augment this existing conditions graphic for DeAnza so we can compare existing and proposed uses and acreages and weigh all the factors in terms of acres for each alternative.


Anyhow, keep up the good work and Happy Spring!,

Holly SK

#### HILLIALIACO



Please augment above map with on with a legend comparable to that below:



 From:
 Joanna Hirst

 To:
 Sandel, Scott

 Cc:
 Joanna-BOD

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Rest Room Connection with McEvoy Fields

**Date:** Friday, March 31, 2023 8:39:36 PM

Attachments: prorqchart (10).pdf

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hi Scott, many thanks for helping me wade through the PEIR to answer many of my questions. I mentioned to you that our tennis club is looking into ways of hooking up to the rest room renovation which is supposed to commence in Fall, 2023 at the McEvoy Fields. The individuals with whom we have be in contact in this regard are:

Steve Palle, Deputy Director, Community Parks I. 619-235-1130. Salome' Martinez, Program Manager. Recreation Program Equity. 619-613-7448. Michelle Abella-Shon. Program Manager. Asset Management. 619-964-7670. Rosalia Caastruitas. Parks and Rec Area Manager.

We have also discussed this matter with the adult volunteers who lead the softball, little league, and soccer leagues at McEvoy Fields.

In addition, we have been in contact with Mission Bay Golf Course to explore locating upgraded portable toilets along our mutual boundary. We have been experiencing numerous unpleasant occasions where male golfers will relieve themselves at the fence line with court one and also with our practice court. Our contact for this discussion is Scott Bentley, Deputy Director for Golf Operations. 858-552-1634.

Best Wishes, Joanna

Joanna Hirst

<u>Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com</u>

858-205-2708

San Diego, CA

Begin forwarded message:

From: James Irwin < <u>james@jamesirwinjr.com</u>>

**Subject: RE: Rest Room Connection with McEvoy Fields** 

Date: March 31, 2023 at 4:16:34 PM PDT

**To:** Joanna Hirst < <a href="mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com">pbtc.joanna@gmail.com</a>, Bert Benevento < <a href="mailto:pbtc.bert@gmail.com">pbtc.bert@gmail.com</a>>

- Steve Palle
- Michelle Abella-Shon

#### Anna Sonnenberg

- Rosalia Castruitas
- Salome Martinez
- Scott Bentley

From: Joanna Hirst < <a href="mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com">pbtc.joanna@gmail.com</a>>

**Sent:** Friday, March 31, 2023 2:14 PM

**To:** James Irwin < <u>james@jamesirwinjr.com</u>>; Hannah Holladay

<a href="mailto:</a>, Bert Benevento <a href="mailto:pbtc.bert@gmail.com">pbtc.bert@gmail.com</a>

**Cc:** Joanna-BOD < <a href="mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com">pbtc.joanna@gmail.com</a>>

**Subject:** Rest Room Connection with McEvoy Fields

I just spoke with the Park Planner Scott Sandel and mentioned to him that we are hoping to connect to the renovated rest rooms at McEvoy Fields. He asked me to send him an email stating that we are pursuing this and to mention any names of others in the city with whom we have discussed this issue. He thinks it is a good idea, I believe. Can one of you send me the pertinent name(s).

Joanna Hirst

<u>Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com</u>

858-205-2708

San Diego, CA

 From:
 Joanna Hirst

 To:
 Sandel, Scott

 Cc:
 Joanna-BOD

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Rest Room Connection with McEvoy Fields

**Date:** Saturday, April 1, 2023 10:27:33 AM

Attachments: prorgchart (10).pdf

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hi Scott, many thanks for helping me wade through the PEIR to answer many of my questions. I mentioned to you that our tennis club is looking into ways of hooking up to the rest room renovation which is supposed to commence in Fall, 2023 at the McEvoy Fields. The individuals with whom we have be in contact in this regard are:

Steve Palle, Deputy Director, Community Parks I. 619-235-1130. Salome' Martinez, Program Manager. Recreation Program Equity. 619-613-7448. Michelle Abella-Shon. Program Manager. Asset Management. 619-964-7670. Rosalia Castruitas. Parks and Rec Area Manager.

We have also discussed this matter with the adult volunteers who lead the softball, little league, and soccer leagues at McEvoy Fields.

In addition, we have been in contact with Mission Bay Golf Course to explore locating upgraded portable toilets along our mutual boundary. We have been experiencing numerous unpleasant occasions where *male golfers will relieve themselves at the fence line* with court one and also with our practice court. Our contact for this discussion is Scott Bentley, Deputy Director for Golf Operations. 858-552-1634.

Best Wishes, Joanna

Joanna Hirst

<u>Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com</u>

858-205-2708

San Diego, CA

Begin forwarded message:

From: James Irwin < <u>james@jamesirwinjr.com</u>>

**Subject: RE: Rest Room Connection with McEvoy Fields** 

**Date:** March 31, 2023 at 4:16:34 PM PDT

**To:** Joanna Hirst < <a href="mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com">pbtc.joanna@gmail.com</a>, Bert Benevento < <a href="mailto:pbtc.bert@gmail.com">pbtc.bert@gmail.com</a>>

- Steve Palle
- Michelle Abella-Shon

#### Anna Sonnenberg

- Rosalia Castruitas
- Salome Martinez
- Scott Bentley

From: Joanna Hirst < <a href="mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com">pbtc.joanna@gmail.com</a>>

**Sent:** Friday, March 31, 2023 2:14 PM

**To:** James Irwin < <u>james@jamesirwinjr.com</u>>; Hannah Holladay

<a href="mailto:</a>, Bert Benevento <a href="mailto:pbtc.bert@gmail.com">pbtc.bert@gmail.com</a>

**Cc:** Joanna-BOD < <a href="mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com">pbtc.joanna@gmail.com</a>>

**Subject:** Rest Room Connection with McEvoy Fields

I just spoke with the Park Planner Scott Sandel and mentioned to him that we are hoping to connect to the renovated rest rooms at McEvoy Fields. He asked me to send him an email stating that we are pursuing this and to mention any names of others in the city with whom we have discussed this issue. He thinks it is a good idea, I believe. Can one of you send me the pertinent name(s).

Joanna Hirst

<u>Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com</u>

858-205-2708

San Diego, CA

From: <u>Kaylarch</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] I support the De Anza Natural or the Audubon Society"s version as long as a camping area is part of

the solution.

**Date:** Wednesday, April 26, 2023 6:43:31 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

### Dear Staffer:

The small amount of legal camping along the San Diego County coastline makes me convinced that a relocated Campland with a new or the same company as manager an essential part of the remaking of the De Anza conversion.

Thank you for inviting comments from the public.

Sincerely,
Kay Stewart, CA Landscape Architect #2967
619-234-2668
www.kaylarch.com
"Merging Nature With Structure"

From: <u>Linda Ballinger Brandland</u>

To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Keep PB tennis club
Date: Monday, April 3, 2023 2:30:09 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

Please keep the tennis courts where they are now. They are heavily used (at times it is difficult to even reserve a court) and enjoyed by people of all ages. I used to belong to another club and the monthly fees were beyond my reach. I then joined PBTC and have been so happy there. It allows those of us that cannot afford to play at a higher end club a beautiful opportunity to play as much as we can. It is helping to keep seniors active (I play with 80 year olds and one lady who will soon be 93). Exercise is good for the mind and body and that should be the goal of San Diego Parks and Rec. I am a nature lover however disagree with returning so much of the bay back to marshland. Mission Bay is so highly valued as a gathering place for all types of activities, from church groups to boaters. Many events are held there that bring people and profit to our city. Do not turn it back into marshland and create a smaller water surface area leading to many more accidents on the bay due to overcrowding. Thank you for your support of PBTC and the wonders that make San Diego unique.

Linda Brandland

From: <u>Jeff Greenwald</u>

To: Sandel, Scott; CouncilMember Joe LaCava; Jeff Greenwald

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Letter of Support for Pacific Beach Tennis Club

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:15:17 PM

Attachments: Comments RE Pacific Beach Tennis Club - De Anza Natural Plan.pdf

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

#### Gentlemen,

I have uploaded the attached letter to the direct link to the Planning Commission for tomorrow's meeting regarding the De Anza Natural Plan and its effect on the Pacific Beach Tennis Club. I understand that letters sent directly to you would not be forwarded to the Planning Commission, but I wanted to tie you both into my submission.

## Thank you,

Jeff A. Greenwald, MAI, SRA, AI-GRS, ASA, IFAS, FRICS
National Practice Leader and Chairman, Integra Hotels - Specialty Practice Group
Executive Director
Integra Realty Resources-San Diego
2775 Via de la Valle, Ste 206
Del Mar, CA 92014

Direct: (858) 259-4900 Mobile: (619) 972-7554 Fax: (858) 259-4910

E-mail: greenwald.jeff@gmail.com

From: ML Baldocchi
To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Mission Bay

**Date:** Monday, April 10, 2023 10:15:42 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

## Hello Scott

I have lives in Mission Bay area for over 20 years We all know DeAnza is a mess and long over due to clean up It's difficult to understand why the city continues to spend tax payer money on non ending plan evaluation when the community agrees The final plan must balance, Educational, Recreational, and Environmental needs and cannot sacrifice one for the others. That said, the Mission Bay Gateway balances these needs As an informed citizen, **Balanced Plan** provides the most all around option so let's get this going already

Thank you Lisa Baldocchi From: James Whalen
To: Sandel, Scott
Cc: Roselei Redrick

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Revisions to De Anza Natural Plan--San Diego Mission Bay Boat & Ski Club

**Date:** Monday, June 12, 2023 12:04:02 PM

Attachments: image001.png

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hi Scott—following up on the City's revisions from May 22<sup>nd</sup> when I was on vacation. This language is a good start, but ouir request is for the following added revisions:

Use the blue-highlighted text below as modified with the added language: "such as the Bob McAvoy Youth Fields, Tennis Club, San Diego Mission Bay Boat & Ski Club, and Mission Bay Golf Course and Practice Center. De Anza Natural envisions ... minimizes disruption to active recreation access by not closing these facilities before relocation is completed. Buffer zones and other land uses...more immediate mitigation."

Is the foregoing language acceptable? Please confirm. If you have an issue, we will need to discuss. Thanks, Jim Whalen

- The SSA <u>De Anza Natural</u> should facilitate the implementation of hydrologic improvements aimed at safeguarding the viability of marsh areas in its vicinity.
- The SSA <u>De Anza Natural</u> shall be developed to enhance the public use of this area of the Park. Any redevelopment proposal shall incorporate a 100-foot <u>average</u> buffer/public use zone along the entire Rose Creek frontage of the site, as measured from the top of the rip-rap, and adjacent to the proposed wetland at the mouth of Rose Creek <u>located outside of the SSA</u>. Public access/recreation improvements, such as walkways, overlooks, picnic tables, benches, etc. may only be sited in the upland 50 feet of said buffer/public use zone. In conformance with the Design Guidelines, a 150-foot minimum public use zone shall be maintained along the beach areas of the shore as measured from the mean high water line. Along other bulkhead or rip-reap areas of the shore, if any, a 100 <del>50</del>-foot minimum public use zone shall be maintained as measured from the top of the bulkhead or rip-rap. As an integral part of <u>De Anza Natural the SSA</u>, a waterfront trail <u>Class I bike route and pedestrian trail/boardwalk</u> and viewing areas shall be provided within the public use zone along the entire shoreline of the site, in addition to other passive recreational features.
- Active Recreation: Facilities identified as suitable for this area include ball fields, lighted tennis courts, a clubhouse facility (shared among recreation users), restrooms/concessions buildings, a ranger station facility, golf facilities, and other sports/recreation facilities that may be proposed as part of a General Development Plan (GDP). De Anza Natural is currently home to community-serving public recreation facilities such as the Bob McEvoy Youth Fields, Tennis Club, and Mission Bay Golf Course and Practice Center. De Anza Natural envisions maintaining or enhancing these facilities as part of a future GDP process that implements community-identified recreation needs. The City will work with the communityserving public recreation facility operators to plan for the future of the facilities and will design and phase development in a manner that minimizes disruption to active recreation access. Buffer zones and other land uses proposed for the site of existing recreation facilities should be implemented after these facilities have been modified, moved, or replaced for continued use, unless imminent climate hazards necessitate more immediate mitigation. As part of the GDP process, a portion of West Mission Bay Drive may be realigned and a portion may be reduced to maintenance/emergency vehicles, bicycles, and pedestrians only, as depicted on Figures 14a and 14b. Included within the active recreation land use area are water quality improvements and associated multi-modal circulation and parking for the above-referenced uses. As part of an improved pedestrian/bike path along Grand

From: <u>MayAug Systems</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Friday, March 24, 2023 3:15:56 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

To: Scott Sandel, City of San Diego's Planning Department

Hi Scott,

We are campers who keep coming back to Mission Bay RV Resort and Campland because this area of San Diego is a special place.

During our 30 years of RVing across the U.S. and Canada, Campland & Mission Bay RV Resort have always stood out as most unusual and welcoming RV resorts, on the edge of Mission Bay, with miles of beaches and biking trails, in an urban area, with great nearby restaurants and shopping. Can't think of other campgrounds that rival them.

San Diego weather is certainly attractive, but many RVers specifically love this corner of Mission Bay. They come back year after year, from long distances, at high costs, and contribute greatly to the San Diego economy. Our one-way drive from Texas is 1,500 miles, at 7 mpg, takes 5 days. Our Canadian friends come 3,500 miles. A neighbor has been coming here regularly from Syracuse NY. Other neighbors are from Maryland. We could go on and on. And that's not to mention the many from California and San Diego.

The point is - - many campers travel long distances, year after year, bypassing fine RV resorts along the way. Opting instead for extra time and expense to come to Campland.

Mission Bay Resort and Campland have always been a revenue producer for the City of San Diego. RVing has grown exponentially in the last few years, and a good business plan would be to maintain or

increase the number of campsites on Mission Bay. San Diego needs more places for RVers and their families to camp for days and weeks. Infrastructure is in place and this area is proven to be a winner for the City and highly desirable for RVers.

As for Campland's future, converting it to ZERO REVENUE marshland makes no sense and will cost A LOT MORE than you think. It is already a wildlife sanctuary with over 1,000 mature trees (not counting all the shrubs and flowers) for birds and animals. Have you personally seen it and talked to the families that keep coming back? Otherwise, you can't hope to understand why it's so valuable to San Diego.

Think again about the real costs of adding a little marshland to an already existing large area of marsh, thereby closing a 40-year-old thriving Campland and losing its millions in annual revenue. It's a San Diego gem. City Council need do nothing but KEEP IT!!

And let Mission Bay RV Resort continue the project to transform a blighted area on DeAnza Cove into an attraction other cities would love to have.

It would be a poor business decision to destroy a proven, on-going, business like Campland, that has filled City coffers for 50 years. Too bad we little people can't get into your heads and turn this around. Closing Campland is SO WRONG!!

Thank you, Cindy Homer From: <u>Joseph Smith</u>
To: <u>Sandel, Scott</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 13, 2023 10:03:33 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Good morning, can you confirm receipt of the email below with attached letter?

Thanks, Joe

From: Joseph Smith < jsmith@californiacw.com>
Date: Wednesday, April 12, 2023 at 10:33 AM

To: "SSandel@sandiego.gov" <SSandel@sandiego.gov>

Cc: Justin Weber < jweber 29@hotmail.com>

**Subject:** De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

Scott,

Attached is a comment letter on the proposed De Anza amendment from Pacific Youth Soccer League (PYSL). For over 50 years, PYSL has provided organized youth soccer to the community and serves many families regardless of income level. In 2023, we expect to enroll nearly 1,600 kids in recreational and competitive youth soccer programs. As noted in our comments, we rely upon the Bob McEvoy fields to ensure our program's success and keep registration fees low.

On behalf of the entire PYSL Board of Directors, to which I also serve in a volunteer capacity, we appreciate your consideration of our comments and requests.

Please confirm receipt of this letter and add my contact to any interested parties list you may have.

Much appreciated, Joe

Joseph D. Smith, AICP | Principal California Coastal Works (619) 943-1337 | jsmith@californiacw.com www.californiacoastalworks.com

From: Joseph Smith
To: Sandel, Scott
Cc: Avila, Jonathan

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**Date:** Thursday, April 13, 2023 4:33:16 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Thank you for the confirmation Scott and info on Parks & Rec. Much appreciated.

Joe

From: "Sandel, Scott" <SSandel@sandiego.gov>

**Date:** Thursday, April 13, 2023 at 4:20 PM **To:** Joseph Smith <jsmith@californiacw.com> **Cc:** "Avila, Jonathan" <JOAvila@sandiego.gov>

Subject: RE: De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

Hello,

Thank you for your email. We will review your letter in detail.

Note: As you may know, we will give an informational presentation of De Anza Natural at the April 20th, 2023 meeting of the Parks and Recreation Board. Their website is located here: https://www.sandiego.gov/parkandrecboard

Look for their agenda to be posted at least 48 hours before the meeting.

Scott Sandel

619.235.5204 ssandel@sandiego.gov

From: Joseph Smith <jsmith@californiacw.com>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 13, 2023 10:03 AM **To:** Sandel, Scott <SSandel@sandiego.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Good morning, can you confirm receipt of the email below with attached letter?

Thanks, Joe

From: Joseph Smith < jsmith@californiacw.com > Date: Wednesday, April 12, 2023 at 10:33 AM

To: "SSandel@sandiego.gov" <SSandel@sandiego.gov>

Cc: Justin Weber < <u>iweber29@hotmail.com</u>>

**Subject:** De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

Scott,

Attached is a comment letter on the proposed De Anza amendment from Pacific Youth Soccer League (PYSL). For over 50 years, PYSL has provided organized youth soccer to the community and serves many families regardless of income level. In 2023, we expect to enroll nearly 1,600 kids in recreational and competitive youth soccer programs. As noted in our comments, we rely upon the Bob McEvoy fields to ensure our program's success and keep registration fees low.

On behalf of the entire PYSL Board of Directors, to which I also serve in a volunteer capacity, we appreciate your consideration of our comments and requests.

Please confirm receipt of this letter and add my contact to any interested parties list you may have.

Much appreciated, Joe

Joseph D. Smith, AICP | Principal California Coastal Works (619) 943-1337 | jsmith@californiacw.com www.californiacoastalworks.com

From: <u>Mark Sullivan</u>

To: Sandel, Scott; Joanna Hirst

Cc: <u>Avila, Jonathan</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza Natural

Date: Monday, February 27, 2023 3:00:30 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Thanks Scott,

Are there any new developments relative to impacts on the Ballfields and Tennis Courts that have changed from the August 22 draft exhibits?

Or, is it still pretty much the same with the Active Athletic area going to GDP at a later date?

## Mark Sullivan, Principal Engineer

MJS Construction Mgmt. & Eng. Inc. 1271 Missouri Street San Diego, CA 92109

mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com (858) 201-0027 (mobile)

From: "Sandel, Scott" <SSandel@sandiego.gov> Date: Monday, February 27, 2023 at 2:40 PM

**To:** Mark Sullivan <mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com>, Joanna Hirst

<pbd><pbtc.joanna@gmail.com>

Cc: "Avila, Jonathan" < JOAvila@sandiego.gov>

Subject: RE: De Anza Natural

Yes indeed; hi there Mark. We are planning to release the draft De Anza Natural plan amendment to the Mission Bay Park master plan; tentative release date is March 8<sup>th</sup>.

Scott Sandel

619.235.5204

ssandel@sandiego.gov

**From:** Mark Sullivan <mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com>

**Sent:** Monday, February 27, 2023 10:51 AM

To: Sandel, Scott <SSandel@sandiego.gov>; Joanna Hirst <pbtc.joanna@gmail.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Scott,

Joanna and were on the phone together this morning talking about De Anza, the tennis courts and the ball fields.

She mentioned that the City was going to release a new plan shortly.

Would you be able to give us an update on the timing?

### Mark Sullivan, Principal Engineer

MJS Construction Mgmt. & Eng. Inc. 1271 Missouri Street San Diego, CA 92109

mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com (858) 201-0027 (mobile) From: Mark Sullivan
To: Sandel, Scott
Cc: Avila, Jonathan

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza Natural

Date: Tuesday, February 28, 2023 7:34:02 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Scott,

Thank you for the update.

Since the current plan and GDP process impact the future viability of the ball fields we will be in opposition to it.

Please let me know if we mis-read the situation.

#### Mark Sullivan, Principal Engineer

MJS Construction Mgmt. & Eng. Inc. 1271 Missouri Street San Diego, CA 92109

mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com (858) 201-0027 (mobile)

From: "Sandel, Scott" <SSandel@sandiego.gov> Date: Monday, February 27, 2023 at 3:27 PM

**To:** Mark Sullivan <mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com>, Joanna Hirst

<pbtc.joanna@gmail.com>

Cc: "Avila, Jonathan" < JOAvila@sandiego.gov>

Subject: RE: De Anza Natural

Good question. The De Anza Natural plan hasn't drastically changed since the August draft.

Scott Sandel

619.235.5204 ssandel@sandiego.gov

**From:** Mark Sullivan <mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com>

Sent: Monday, February 27, 2023 3:00 PM

To: Sandel, Scott <SSandel@sandiego.gov>; Joanna Hirst <pbtc.joanna@gmail.com>

**Cc:** Avila, Jonathan <JOAvila@sandiego.gov> **Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza Natural

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Thanks Scott,

Are there any new developments relative to impacts on the Ballfields and Tennis Courts that have changed from the August 22 draft exhibits?

Or, is it still pretty much the same with the Active Athletic area going to GDP at a later date?

## Mark Sullivan, Principal Engineer

MJS Construction Mgmt. & Eng. Inc. 1271 Missouri Street San Diego, CA 92109

mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com

(858) 201-0027 (mobile)

From: "Sandel, Scott" < SSandel@sandiego.gov>
Date: Monday, February 27, 2023 at 2:40 PM

**To:** Mark Sullivan < mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com >, Joanna Hirst

<pbtc.joanna@gmail.com>

Cc: "Avila, Jonathan" < JOAvila@sandiego.gov>

**Subject:** RE: De Anza Natural

Yes indeed; hi there Mark. We are planning to release the draft De Anza Natural plan amendment to the Mission Bay Park master plan; tentative release date is March 8<sup>th</sup>.

Scott Sandel

619.235.5204

ssandel@sandiego.gov

From: Mark Sullivan < mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com >

**Sent:** Monday, February 27, 2023 10:51 AM

To: Sandel, Scott <<u>SSandel@sandiego.gov</u>>; Joanna Hirst <<u>pbtc.joanna@gmail.com</u>>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural

<sup>\*\*</sup>This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or

Scott,

Joanna and were on the phone together this morning talking about De Anza, the tennis courts and the ball fields.

She mentioned that the City was going to release a new plan shortly.

Would you be able to give us an update on the timing?

## Mark Sullivan, Principal Engineer

MJS Construction Mgmt. & Eng. Inc. 1271 Missouri Street San Diego, CA 92109

mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com (858) 201-0027 (mobile) From: Joseph Smith

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza timing

**Date:** Tuesday, November 14, 2023 11:59:07 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Got it, thank you. I had submitted a policy comment letter (in addition to CEQA comment letter) on behalf of Pacific Youth Soccer League (PYSL) and I'm wondering if I should be resubmitting a policy comment letter for the upcoming hearing or would our earlier letter be included in the planning commission packet?

Thanks again, Joseph

From: Sandel, Scott <SSandel@sandiego.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 11:43:59 AM
To: Joseph Smith <jsmith@californiacw.com>

Subject: RE: De Anza timing

I just forwarded you info.

Scott Sandel, CA RLA
Parks Planner
City of San Diego
City Planning Department
Parks + Public Spaces Division

T (619) 235-5204 sandiego.gov/planning

#### CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

**From:** Joseph Smith < jsmith@californiacw.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, November 14, 2023 11:19 AM **To:** Sandel, Scott < SSandel@sandiego.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] De Anza timing

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hi Scott, is there a roadmap ahead for De Anza public hearings?

Thx! Joseph

Joseph D. Smith, AICP | Principal California Coastal Works (619) 943-1337 | jsmith@californiacw.com www.californiacoastalworks.com From: Kurt Carlson
To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Save Mission Bay Golf Course

Date: Sunday, November 27, 2022 4:35:44 PM

Attachments: SD Municipal Golf regarding PEIR V2 Final 110922.pdf

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

#### Dear Mr Sandel,

As a concerned committee member for the City of San Diego's Municipal Golf Committee (MGC), our group wants to make sure everyone understands how Municipal golf is an important component to the recreational needs throughout the City of San Diego. It serves a diverse community and family of golfers and provides environmental benefits that generally are forgotten but very real.

When considering projects like the De Anza Revitalization Plan, please remember that Mission Bay Golf Course is a wonderful golf property that is worth preserving for future generations to come.

See attached position letter of the City of San Diego Municipal Golf Committee. Please let me know if you have any questions or if we can discuss this further.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely

Kurt Carlson Chair From: Lily Tang
To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Save PB tennis courts
Date: Sunday, April 2, 2023 7:38:31 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

#### Dear Mr Sandro

Please do everything in your power to keep and if possible, expand the courts at PB tennis club where my family including our 2 kids enjoy learning this sport as many other local kids and families. This is an important and affordable tennis facility here in San Diego and it's already difficult to reserve a court on weekends, we need to expand the courts at this site for the benefit and health of the community, not cutting down or shutting it. This much used and loved outdoor facility for the past 60 years is environmentally friendly and important for the health of our community!!

Importantly, keeping P.B. Tennis where it is now will also save the city millions of dollars. It keeps our tennis pros and staff employed, and allows our community of racket sport enthusiasts of all ages (including children and seniors) to keep playing at the Club we know and love!

Thank you so much for your continued support and understanding of the importance of having an affordable public tennis courts and also pickle ball courts to serve our local families and rapidly growing interest in racket sports.

Sincerely, Lily Tang abd family

Sent from my iPhone

From: Kelly Jernigan

To: Sandel, Scott; CouncilMember Joe LaCava
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Save Pacific Beach Tennis Club
Date: Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:12:35 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hello Mr. Sandel & Mr. LaCava,

My name is Kelly Jernigan and I serve as President of University City Racquet Club (UCRC) which is a local, public tennis facility like Pacific Beach Tennis Club.

The Pacific Beach Tennis Club is considered a significant and valued tennis facility within the greater San Diego County tennis community. It was founded in 1961 and thrives to this day as a local tennis facility that caters to the public. Over the past several decades, our tennis community has lost over 100 courts in the San Diego area mostly due to the increasing cost of real estate. Tennis has been growing the past several years at close to 30% and the waiting lists and demand for tennis courts is at an all time high.

This weekend the Pacific Beach Open Tennis Tournament plays host to 246 participants in the first USTA sanctioned adult tournament of spring in San Diego County. One thing we know for certain from past history is; once the tennis courts are taken away they will never be replaced. The entire community of Pacific Beach and surrounding areas would no longer have access to public tennis courts. Not to mention the large number of USTA league teams and guests who take advantage of the courts from around the county and country while traveling to San Diego. PB Tennis Club has been a vital part of the tennis ecosystem for over 60 years and eliminating the club would have a profound negative impact on the San Diego tennis community as a whole.

Please consider the impact the destruction of this tennis club or any part thereof would mean to the tennis community and Pacific Beach.

The SDDTA would greatly appreciate your efforts and support in this matter.

Best,

Kelly Jernigan

From: <u>John Broderick</u>

To: Sandel, Scott; CouncilMember Joe LaCava
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Save Pacific Beach Tennis Club
Date: Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:53:53 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hello Mr. Sandel & Mr. LaCava,

My name is John Broderick and I serve as President of the San Diego District Tennis Association (SDDTA) which operates as the local affiliate for the United States Tennis Association (USTA).

The Pacific Beach Tennis Club is considered a significant and valued tennis facility within the greater San Diego County tennis community. Over the past several decades, our tennis community has lost over 100 courts in the San Diego area mostly due to the increasing cost of real estate. Tennis has been growing the past several years at close to 30% and the waiting lists and demand for tennis courts is at an all time high.

This weekend the Pacific Beach Open Tennis Tournament plays host to 246 participants in the first USTA sanctioned adult tournament of spring in San Diego County. One thing we know for certain from past history is; once the tennis courts are taken away they will never be replaced. The entire community of Pacific Beach and surrounding areas would no longer have access to public tennis courts. Not to mention the large number of USTA league teams and guests who take advantage of the courts from around the county and country while traveling to San Diego. PB Tennis Club has been a vital part of the tennis ecosystem for over 60 years and eliminating the club would have a profound negative impact on the San Diego tennis community as a whole.

Please consider the impact the destruction of this tennis club or any part thereof would mean to the tennis community and Pacific Beach.

The SDDTA would greatly appreciate your efforts and support in this matter.

Warmest regards,

## John Broderick

President



# SDDTA 5 oth Anniversary

www.sandiegotennis.com 858-663-3747 From: Marcus Dulin
To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] See What Landscape Designers Are Doing with Vectorworks

**Date:** Tuesday, October 10, 2023 7:37:08 AM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

#### Hi, Scott!

We recently sat down with landscape design-build firm Curbs Studio after learning about their remarkable workflow. In a recent case study, the firm's lead designer discusses how powerful Vectorworks Landmark is for communicating with her clients — everything from planting schedules to VR walkthrough experiences.

Want to chat more about some of the things Curbs Studio did and see what would be beneficial for you to help save time and win more work? I'd love to hear more about how you approach projects like this. When is a good time to talk this week?

Thank you,

#### **MARCUS DULIN**

SENIOR ACCOUNT ASSOCIATE - LANDSCAPE **TEL:** 443-542-0326 VECTORWORKS, INC.



Vectorworks, Inc. | 8621 Robert Fulton Drive, Suite 200 | Columbia, MD 21046 USA

<u>Update your email preferences</u> to choose the types of emails you receive from Vectorworks.

From: Kathy Lacey
To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Support for Pacific Beach Tennis Club

**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:15:49 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

I am writing to express support for Pacific Beach Tennis Club. While I am a not a member of the PBTC, (I am a member of 3 other public San Diego clubs—Balboa, Peninsula and La Jolla Tennis Clubs), I have used their facilities often during league play between clubs and for tournaments. It is not a large club, and thus the courts are always busy and in use. Tennis is a very active and growing sport in San Diego, and we need to preserve all current facilities. Many San Diegans lives would be impacted if the courts were encroached upon, from the young juniors learning tennis and developing into high school and college players, to the generations of active adult players. Tennis is one of the healthiest sports you can participate in, and for your entire life. And San Diego may be one of the best cities in the country for the sport. I strongly urge you to keep Pacific Beach Tennis Club intact.

Thank you for your consideration. Kathy Lacey Point Loma, CA From: <u>L. D.</u>

To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wildest Plan vs. Gateway Plan, 2100

**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 1:26:01 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

Hi City of San Diego,

I am trying very hard to understand the different plans in the De Anza area before tomorrow's meeting at Paradise Point-April 4th.

Could you please comment tomorrow on how many acres of wetlands wood be left (in 2100) under the Gateway plan vs. the Wildest Plan?

My understanding is that the state of California has said to plan for 5.5 SLR by 2100. The Wetlands group said there will be 75 acres of wetlands left by 2100 under their plan, under the that SLR scenario. The PB residents can't draw any conclusions about these plans unless we know how many acres of wetlands will be left under the Gateway Plan.:-)

Thank you,

Leslie Dufour

From: Kathy P
To: Sandel, Scott

Subject: [EXTERNAL] campland

**Date:** Tuesday, March 7, 2023 4:58:09 PM

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments. \*\*

\_\_\_\_\_

What ever you do at De Deanza, please save the beautiful trees!!