

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FILED
Clerk of the Superior Court

MAR - 7 2017

By: J. CERDA

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

INTELLIGENDER LLC., a Texas limited liability company; REBECCA GRIFFIN, an individual; TERESA GARLAND, an individual; KURT GRIFFIN, an individual; DONALD GARLAND, an individual; AMAZON.COM LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; TOYS 'R' US-DELAWARE, INC., a Delaware Corporation; CVS PHARMACY INC., a Rhode Island corporation; RITE AID CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; TARGET CORPORATION, a Minnesota corporation; WALGREENS CO., an Illinois corporation; SWISS-AMERICAN PRODUCTS INC., a Texas corporation, and DOE 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 37-2012-00085040-CU-BT-CTL

INTERLOCUTORY JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION

Judge: Hon. Joel Wohlfeil
Courtroom: 73
Complaint Filed: November 9, 2012
Trial Date: May 23, 2016

INTERLOCUTORY JUDGMENT

This action came on regularly for a bench trial as to Defendants IntelliGender, LLC, Rebecca Griffin, Teresa Garland, Kurt Griffin and Donald Garland on May 23, 2016, in Department 73 of the Superior Court, the Honorable Judge Wohlfeil presiding. Kathryn L. Turner, Kristine A. Lorenz, and Michael R. Hudson appeared as attorneys for The People of the State of California, and John T. Palter appeared as attorney for IntelliGender LLC, Rebecca

1 Griffin, Teresa Garland, Kurt Griffin, and Donald Garland.

2 The court heard and considered the testimony, the documentary evidence, and the
3 argument of counsel. The matter having been submitted for decision and a statement of intended
4 decision issued (SOID), see Exhibit 1, this Court issues the following Interlocutory Judgment, as
5 to Defendants IntelliGender, LLC, Rebecca Griffin, Teresa Garland, Kurt Griffin and Donald
6 Garland:

7 1. The Court confirms its SOID as its Statement of Decision (SOD), except as modified:


8 a. The Court's Order for Permanent Injunction, see Exhibit 2, supersedes the
9 preliminary injunction ordered in the SOID pages 109, line 24 through page 110,
10 line 4.

11 2. Plaintiff, The People of the State of California, recovers judgment on the merits against
12 defendants, IntelliGender LLC, et al., in the amount of \$250,000; and

13 3. Plaintiff recovers against defendants costs in the amount of \$ to be determined
14 pursuant to COB memorandum.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16
17 Dated: 3-7-17

18 
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Hon. Joel R. Wohlfeil
Judge of the Superior Court