

**Mobility Board
Meeting Minutes
Wednesday, October 2, 2019**

4:30-6:30 pm

Members Present: Gary Smith, Serge Issakov, Noli Zosa, Daniel Reeves, Andy Hanshaw, Maya Rosas, Stephan Vance, Alexander Bakst, Sophie Wolfram, Rosa Vidal Olascoaga

Absent: Humberto Gurmilan (D8), Nicole Burgess (D5)

Vacancies: District 9

1. Call to Order – 4:34
2. Introductions
3. Approval of Minutes - GS, NZ second, all in favor (Rosa, Andy, Serge abstain)
4. Public Comment
5. Staff Report
 - a. Boards & Commissions Leadership Summit at SDSU last Friday, 9/27
 - b. El Cajon Boulevard Bus-Only Lane Pilot presentations in September
 - c. AT&I Committee received Grand Jury report from IBA about micromobility
 - d. Downtown Mobility Plan Phase 1b and 2a going out to bid to make Beech & 6th two-way.
6. Police Reports
 - a. MR requests fatality and severe injury logs
 - b. RVO requests zip codes on each report
7. City Budget Development Timeline Review
 - a. Key Dates for FY 2021 Budget Development document has been published by the IBA
 - b. Discussion of deadline to have CIP projects considered – Dec. 12 is key
 - c. Board will spend time on next agenda developing priorities list
8. Board Bylaw addition on Vision Zero duties
 - a. Board will identify funding needs and opportunities, and annually determine what engineering, enforcement, and education initiatives the City should consider to achieve its Vision Zero goal.
 - b. GS motion, SI seconds, all in favor.
9. Draft Mobility Action Plan
 - a. SV: Need to address parking more substantially, as well as congestion pricing; lots of actions but no timelines
 - b. GS: Does this set of goals and actions have timelines consistent with other plans? Need statement of who owns the document.
 - c. SI: There are goals and actions listed, but the goals need to be more clear and measurable, and it's unclear which actions go with which goals and who's responsible

for doing those actions so goals will be met. Notes that education is needed to encourage cyclists to ride. Would like to see land use and density addressed.

- d. DR: Appreciates comments on parking pricing to incentivize transit use.
- e. MR: Phase 1, Phase 2? A: There is intent to do deep-dive look into policy aspects, which is Phase 2.
- f. SW: MAP needs to include mode share targets in the CAP and define how Phase I and Phase II together will define roadmap to hitting CAP targets.
- g. NZ: Expresses concerns around social engineering by forcing behavior change, not in reference to protected bikeways; prefers use of technology to make travel more convenient.
- h. RVO: Gentrification and displacement aren't being addressed in identifying communities of concern. Need to be clearer about the urgency of the climate crisis. Concerned about how implementation will work.
- i. AH: Mobility monitoring is needed. Are we getting the monitoring data from smart streetlights?
- j. Going to ATI Nov. 20
- k. Motion to form Subcommittee unanimously approved: SW, RO, AH, DR, SI, MR
 - i. Group will draft MAP recommendations.
- l. Motion to include the mode share targets in the Climate Action Plan, the VMT reduction targets in the CAP, and the quantified targets in all city plans referenced in the MAP: SW, GS second, all in favor.

10. Updates from Represented Constituencies

- a. Sophie Wolfram
 - i. RTP Subcommittee
- b. Serge Issakov
 - i. La Jolla has stalls painted for scooters
- c. Stephan Vance
 - i. Oct. 15-17 in LA, California Bike Summit about intersections
- d. Andy Hanshaw
 - i. Getting feedback from ppl who miss e-bikes.
 - ii. CicloSDias coming up Oct. 27 in Normal Heights and City Heights, & along El Cajon Boulevard
- e. Rosa Olascoaga Vidal
 - i. Southeast San Diego needs protected bike lanes, and they need to be prioritized.

11. Adjourned at 6:13 pm.