



## Rancho Peñasquitos Planning Board Meeting Minutes

May 1, 2013

Attendees: Jon Becker, Joost Bende, Thom Clark, Bill Diehl, Bill Dumka, Steve Gore, Mike Kenney, Ruth Loucks, Cynthia Macshane, Darren Parker, Jeanine Politte, Keith Rhodes, Mike Shoecraft, Dennis Spurr, Ramesses Surban

Absent: Suzanne Brooks, John Keating

Community Members & Guests (Voluntary Sign-in): Phil Cyburt, Kimberly Weber, Lynn Graham, George Graham

---

1. The meeting was called to order at 7:38 pm at the Doubletree Golf Resort located at 14455 Peñasquitos Drive, San Diego, California 92129. A Quorum was present.
2. Agenda Modifications: none
3. MINUTES:  
**Motion:** To approve the April 3, 2013 Rancho Peñasquitos Planning Board Meeting minutes as corrected. M/S/C - Bende/Becker/Approved, 11 in favor – 0 against – 2 abstentions (Dumka, Loucks).
4. Guests:
  - a. Public safety agencies were not present.
  - b. Friedman distributed Councilmember Mark Kersey's media advisory pertaining to Wildfire Preparedness & Fire Prevention Awareness Campaign event on 5/3/13, 10am at Fire Station 40.
5. NON-AGENDA, PUBLIC COMMENTS:
  - a. Diehl invited everyone to the Rec Council's Flag Day ceremonies at Hilltop Park on Sunday, June 9<sup>th</sup> at 2:00pm.
  - b. Patricia (BMR) invited everyone to the BMR community Garage Sale on Saturday, May 4<sup>th</sup> from 1-11am and handed out a flyer. Gore added that maps to participating residences would be available around the community.
  - c. Politte announced that the PQ-NE Action Group would be holding its 7<sup>th</sup> Annual Neighborhood Picnic at Rolling Hills Park on Sunday, June 9<sup>th</sup> from 11am – 3pm.
  - d. Diehl announced that Relay for Life would be held May 17-19<sup>th</sup> at Hilltop Park.
  - e. Diehl asked if anyone had heard about Peachtree, noting that the Rec Council is looking at using the service that PUSD is using for distributing flyers electronically.
    - Bende believed it was a PUSD service.
    - Brief discussion on using the Town Council website to distribute calendar/information, limited distribution lists for us and Town Council in comparison to the number of residents.
    - Rhodes mentioned the success with 2010 redistricting notifications via the Town Council.
    - Becker added that maybe Nextdoor is a route; Politte added her concern as to who is moderating those sites.
    - Shoecraft noted that Nextdoor.org PQ is now broken down into elementary school boundaries but a viewer cannot access the other neighborhoods. He is the moderator for the Adobe Bluffs area adding that there were approximately 400 or so registrants for all of PQ.

- Politte shared her concern on how the Nextdoor registration info is used and by whom.
6. ANNOUNCEMENTS & INFORMATION ITEMS:
- a. San Diego City Development Services Dept. Report – Michael Prinz, no report beyond Business Agenda item to follow.
  - b. San Diego City Council Member Mark Kersey, District 5 Report – Lee Friedman
    - Friedman reported for Conrad Wear (District 6 liaison) is in contact with and having discussions with Caltrans on RPPB's list of transportation projects to gather their feedback; hoping for a response by this Friday to schedule a meeting and go over the list item by item.
    - The Torrey Meadows Bridge (T-9) Kilroy Agreement was approved by City Council.
    - Friedman noted that Councilmember Kersey's Infrastructure meeting in District 5, held at the Carmel Mtn. Ranch Library, was very successful adding that there would be 3 more forums over the next 6 months in other council districts.
    - City Council review of the Mayor's proposed budget is in process; key items:
      - Public Safety – \$1 million increase in budget to increase number of recruits to 34 this next year and add more community resource officers.
      - Budget slashed 13 positions in City Attorney's office.
      - No funds were allocated toward the \$80 million bond to pay debt services for infrastructure projects. That pushes all projects off to the next year. Infrastructure condition assessments were also removed in the budget.
      - \$27 million Prop B to Retirement Fund, a one-time fee.
      - Independent Budget Analyst's overview and analysis of the budget is on the website. [http://www.sandiego.gov/iba/pdf/reports/2013/13\\_19\\_130429.pdf](http://www.sandiego.gov/iba/pdf/reports/2013/13_19_130429.pdf)
      - Budget can be viewed online <http://www.sandiego.gov/fm/proposed/index.shtml>
    - Friedman said that Councilmember Kersey will be at the Fiesta de los Peñasquitos all day; will be making presentations and will have a booth.
    - Becker noting an overview of the budget, inquired on the status of repairs to streets and sidewalks, etc.
      - Friedman noted, there are monies available but not enough to be proactive which means repairs will not keep up with demand and there is a major need for repairs in District 5 alone. Friedman added that it is not best practice to blindly go out there and repair when infrastructure is not prioritized and end up using limited resources. Councilmember will be asking for the Assessment monies to be added back into the budget.
      - Diehl suggested using members of the communities to do some of the condition assessments; example would be park staff or community volunteers assessing park infrastructure.
      - Friedman replied, community input would need to be subjective in evaluating need; perception and actual needs may be viewed differently dependent on the subjectivity of the resident. Planning and coordinating need, repairs, major projects coming online should be evaluated together.
      - Clark noted that the Infrastructure meeting provided some great input, good questions were asked.
    - Surban noted that the left turn signals timing is off since the repaving of Carmel Mtn. Rd.; specifically at cross streets Sparren and Twin Trails. Friedman will check with Streets Division.

- Diehl said Streets Division usually comes out in a few weeks to replace the “loops”.
- Patricia (BMR) noted that the process of pothole filling is inefficient, needs to change so crews look for additional potholes in the area they are working instead of just doing those that are reported or scheduled, then moving to another area some distance away and doing one or two.
  - Friedman said that it will take time to make changes in the process and acknowledged that we have years of deferred maintenance, needs new policy and a systematic approach.
- Surban noted that as the Master Bike Plan is approved, the Streets Division/ Engineering will need to take the Plan into account when resurfacing and restriping. Friedman said he will check if the Master Plan is being taken into account when resurfacing/restriping bike lanes.
- c. San Diego City Council Member Lorrie Zapf, District 6 Report – Conrad Wear, no report
- d. San Diego County Supervisor Dave Roberts, District 3 Report – Tighe Jaffe, no report
- e. 77<sup>th</sup> Assembly District, Member Brian Maienschein’s Office Report – Michael Lieberman, no report
- f. 52<sup>nd</sup> District, U.S. Congressman Scott Peters’ Office Report – Hugo Carmona, not report

## 7. BUSINESS.

- a. **Community Plan Amendment Process** – Michael Prinz, City of San Diego Planning Dept. (Information Item)

Prinz reported that change to a Community Plan requires an amendment by process. The process starts with an Initiation. A decision is made by either the Planning Commission or City Council to direct staff to prepare an analysis based on the application. An extreme example that would be denied is where an applicant may want to build multi-family residential and use a canyon designated as open space for their driveway. A clear case where City staff recommended denial. If the project merits study, staff would look at does this project provide additional public benefit, services to accommodate new residents, etc. This can take 1-3 months for prep/analysis. The planning group would see/review changes to the Community Plan, development permitting process, can the community support the proposed change and final approval is by City Council. This part of the process can take 18 months to years before approved.

- Politte noted that when PPH came through the process, we reviewed the project before the community plan amendment was initiated. Would we still do it that way?
- Bende noted the process when PPH or Cresta Bella (previously known as Leisure Life) began. The applicant came forward with the proposed change whether it was density or change in use and RPPB was asked to approve the initiation to begin the process. If the initiation is not approved, then the project dies in the water.
- Prinz agreed and added that the reason that we discuss density vs. lot block patterns, setbacks, etc and if the project goes away we are stuck with the change but maybe a different project. So they look at whether this is an appropriate use for this location.
- Rhodes noted that RPPB approved removing the “Widening of Black Mtn. Rd.” project.
- Prinz said that an amendment and FBA/PFFP change can occur concurrently. An amendment can be funded by development or the City at the Mayor’s approval. Removal of the Widening of Black Mtn. Rd. would trigger CEQA analysis, traffic studies, environmental review, etc. to determine if it is appropriate to reclassify the

- roadway from 6 lanes to 4 lanes.
- Diehl noted that we could not remove Peñasquitos Village Park from the FBA/PFFP unless it was removed from the Community Plan.
  - Rhodes suggested that we tie our changes to another project/development that might come forward and then do the PFFP change concurrently.
  - Prinz noted that the cost to initiate is \$12,000 and the application costs could run \$200,000 and up.
  - Parker added that the fees are broken down on the City website.
  - Rhodes noted that based on the application, the departments would be able to tell the applicant what analysis would be needed and the costs to process.
  - Prinz said that removal of the Black Mtn. Rd. Widening could require analysis of air quality, greenhouse gases, noise, traffic studies etc. The costs would be significant. The City Council could approve with a statement of overriding consideration if the community were to accept the lower level of service in comparison to the level of service now.
  - Becker added that analysis would determine if an MND or EIR are required; Prinz agreed that analysis would determine requirements.
  - Dumka said that Black Mtn. Ranch LLC is looking into putting together a consulting team to handle to application for the removal of Black Mtn. Rd. Widening Amendment as a private application. They are hoping the planning group would ask the Council Office to initiate. This amendment should require and EIR, there may be significant and overriding findings, traffic calculation changes must be analyzed as well as secondary impacts to adjacent streets and where traffic will go. The analysis would need to be circulated so the public is aware of what is being considered.
  - Prinz said that if the City Councilmember decides to initiate then City Council can direct staff to begin the analysis and it would not need to come before RPPB first.
  - Bende noted that RPPB will be pushing to get this through as we previously approved the removal from the FBA/PFFP and transportation phasing plans for BMR, PHR and Rancho Peñasquitos. In terms of taking this forward, what requirements in the transportation phasing plan are released - some roads must be guaranteed, so what development would be allowed to move forward without this in the transportation phasing plan?
  - Dumka said that this project is in BMR LLC's last phase of development and this is not the only thing holding them up.
  - Rhodes added that it doesn't affect Torrey Highlands.
  - Bende suggested that if Rhodes Crossing roads were completed, then it might take some of the pressure off BMR LLC requirements.
  - Rhodes said that Rhodes Crossing is not ready to develop residential/ commercial. If BMR LLC is ready, they should move forward with and pay for the initiation. He added that the community has talked about removing this for many years.
  - Becker added that all ADTs (Average Daily Trips) will be analyzed throughout the community for impacts during the process.
  - Clark thanked Prinz for taking the time to clarify the process, adding that we would continue the dialogue as we decide our next steps.

**b. Sprint Modifications, Project No. 301250, 12760 Rancho Peñasquitos Blvd. –**

Alexander Novak, Innovation Group (Action Item)

Parker said this project is located on a multi-family residential structure. He added that Sprint currently has 8 antenna at this location and is asking to modify the wireless installation. This project would modify two tower elements on the building and reduce the number of antenna to 6 (3 antennas in each tower). The tower element design will be modified to an upright tower type element, losing the arms of the 'T' shape. The tower element's material will retain the look of stucco.

- Clark asked if the vertical element would be applied to the outside of the building; yes, just simplifying the shape of the towers.
- Becker asked if the EMFs would be more intense.
  - Novak noted that this is just different frequencies and Sprint's network vision, taking them into the next generation of Long Term Evolution (LTE) which handles a wider frequency band and allows them to remove some of the existing antennas. The electromagnetic frequency emission is not any different than what is existing.
- Surban asked if the number of cabinets would change; Novak said no, they will remain the same.
- Politte noted that the plans show a wider gate into the equipment enclosure; Novak agreed, adding that the double gate would be 10'-8" wide.
- Diehl asked if this modification increases the lease term the property owner agreed to or is this a new CUP term? Novak said this does not change the lease with the property owner.
- Becker inquired if this is a modification to an existing CUP to alter antennas? Novak said they are not asking to extend the permit term.
- Bende asked if they are amending the CUP for the term? Novak said he believes it is just a modification to the CUP, they haven't received approval from the City yet.
- Becker asked how long is the term for the existing CUP for the antenna in that location?
- Bende said the lease and CUP are two different things.
- Rhodes asked if they are extending the CUP? Novak said that Sprint has some CUPs that are expiring and Sprint has directed them to extend any CUP that is expiring in 2013 and 2014, otherwise leave them as is.
- Bende noted on the construction documents, Site Plan general notes (page A-2) #8 states, "this is a renewal of a permit." It doesn't say exactly what permit but if it's a renewal of the permit, that would mean the time starts over.
- Bende suggested that we find Michael Prinz and ask if it's a new CUP permit. Rhodes left the room to find Prinz.
- Becker noted that the Agenda item was listed as a modification, so if it's a renewal of the CUP, then it's a different review process going forward and we would need to notice it properly.
- Bende asked Prinz, stating that we have in front of us, a project that is a modification of a cell site, architectural modifications, and a question from the board is whether it would extend or amend the term or life of the CUP? Bende referenced the vague language used in Plan's general note #8. Prinz could not confirm for this project.

- Becker suggested RPPB include a condition in our motion of approval that we would not authorize any renewal of the existing CUP.
- Parker said that City Staff communicated that it was just a modification of an existing wireless facility.

**Motion:** To approve Sprint Project No. 301250 at 12760 Rancho Peñasquitos Blvd. as proposed for the modifications with no change to the underlying conditions of the existing CUP. M/S/C – Becker/Parker/Approved, 13 in favor – 0 against – 0 recusals/abstentions.

c. **Sprint Modifications Project No. 297079, 9440 Fairgrove Lane (YMCA) – Alexander Novak, Innovation Group (Action Item)**

Parker said this project relocates 6 existing antennas and 2 RRH that are mounted on the roof eaves. The modification includes replacing 3 antennas and 1 RRH on the eave located at the rear of the building, stealthed behind a FRP box that will be painted, textured to match existing structure. The 3 antennas located on the west side eaves of the building will be removed and 6 new antennas will be placed on the penthouse with 2 RRH, stealthed behind a FRP cupola, painted and textured to match structure.

Parker added that he'd recommend the rear FRP box be extended the full length of the eave so it doesn't break the line of the structure. There are some equipment changes in the enclosure on the north side of the building, but no change in size.

- Parker said that he would make a recommendation to extend the FRP box along the full length of the north eave and paint to match building.
- Becker asked if equipment is out and visible; Parker said it is located inside the fenced enclosure on the north side of the building.
- Surban asked if we are going to see more of these projects from Sprint? Novak added that his company originally had 214 sites on this project in San Diego area. They have completed all but 60 and may have additional sites in this area, been working on them since 9/2011.

**Motion:** To approve Project No. 297079 at 9440 Fairgrove Lane (YMCA) as proposed with the condition that the FRP box be extended along the whole eave on the backside of building and painted to match. M/S/C – Parker/Surban/Approved, 13 in favor – 0 against – 0 recusals/abstentions.

d. **FBA/PFFP Prioritization Committee Report – Keith Rhodes/RPPB (Action Item)**

Rhodes reported on the committee's activity to-date.

At the direction of RPPB, the committee was successful in working with City staff to accomplish the following: 1) Widening of SR-56 - agreed to a fixed dollar amount for Torrey Highlands and Black Mtn. Ranch FBA/PFFP financing fees; 2) North Bound Connectors – agreed to a fixed dollar amount for Torrey Highlands and Black Mtn. Ranch FBA/PFFP financing fees; 3) Removal of the Pedestrian Bridge from the Torrey Highlands FBA/PFFP which was deemed unnecessary.

Committee continues to work on the following projects identified in the FBA/PFFPs that RPPB recommended removal from the FBA/PFFPs:

- 1) Removal of the BMR Community Pool which is deemed unnecessary;
- 2) Adding the following items to the BMR Community Park: a) fenced off-leash dog area, b) fencing to separate upper fields from canyon, c) lighting for the upper fields;

- 3) Removing the Library or finding an alternative project and/or alternative financing.
- 4) Removal of the Widening of Black Mtn. Rd from the Rancho Peñasquitos and BMR FBA/PFFPs.

Rhodes reported that the committee identified the following new items and asked for guidance.

- 1) Park and Ride, TH T-2.3 with cost listed at \$1.5 million – The committee recommends removal of this project in the Torrey Highlands FBA/PFFP because the original intent of the project was tied to the planned joint operation center location which was changed to residential and industrial use. The SR-56 route was not finalized at the time the Park and Ride was added and there is no longer land available south of SR-56 at Camino del Sur for this purpose.
  - 2) Wildlife Rescue Center, TH M-1, listed cost at \$880,000 – This project was discussed with Mike Kelly (PQ Canyon Preserve) to gather information/intent and the committee recommends removal of this project in the Torrey Highlands FBA/PFFP because there is no place within this community for wild animals to be housed so close to people.
  - 3) Carmel Mtn. Rd. Overcrossing (over SR-56) additional two lanes, TH T-5.1 – The committee recommends additional study to determine the need for widening the bridge to two lanes in each direction and whether the financing should be kept in and the need for a Community Plan Amendment if removed/modified.
- Bende noted that he'd be in agreement with all items except the Carmel Mtn. Overcrossing to allow for further discussion.
  - Rhodes shared possible motions to be reviewed tonight.
  - Politte inquired if the Wildlife Center was only in the Torrey Highlands PFFP?
    - Diehl said that the Center was added in the 1980s; there is no place for it or budget to maintain it.
  - Rhodes recused himself because these items could affect his responsibility to the FBA/PFFP tied to Rhodes Crossing and invited the other committee members to address the board.
  - Becker added that the removal of the first 2 projects makes sense, but the question is whether the amount is removed. The funds are targeted to those improvements, then where does the money go?
  - Rhodes said that if a project is removed, then a new project should not be added to be covered by those fees.
  - Diehl stated that we've added projects to the Rancho Peñasquitos FBA/PFFP after it was approved; ex. Skate Park.
  - Rhodes said there would be no connection/nexus between item removed and a new project.
  - Clark said that the a new project would be added on the merits alone, not just to redistribute funds to another project.
  - Bende read the committee's recommended motion: To remove the Park and Ride (Project T-2.3 in TH FBA/PFFP) from the TH FBA/PFFP. M/S/C – Bende/Becker/discussion.
    - Diehl asked if the motion would include the funding and recommended the motion be amended to include removal of the funding also. Diehl added that developer fees are readjusted, eventually.

- Amendment was agreed to by Bende and Becker.
- Clark called for the vote.

**Motion:** To remove the Park and Ride (Project T-2.3) and the funding for this project from the Torrey Highlands FBA/PFFP. M/S/C – Bende/Becker/Approved 12 in favor – 0 against – 1 recusal (Rhodes).

- Bende read the committee's recommended motion for the removal of the Wildlife Center with the inclusion of funding removal as follows.

**Motion:** To remove the Wildlife Rescue Center (Project M-1 in Torrey Highlands FBA/PFFP) and the funding from the TH FBA/PFFP. M/S/C – Bende/Becker/Approved, 12 in favor – 0 against – 1 recusal (Rhodes).

e. **PPH Wellness Campus Time Extension, SDP 447403/PDP 47402/NUP 681661 – Thom Clark/RPPB (Action Item)**

Clark said this is PPH asking for an extension of time on their existing permits. His understanding is that this extension of time would not modify any approved entitlement, the MND that was adopted 2/10/2010 would not need revision and this extension will require a water quality technical report be completed if it goes forward due to City regulation changes.

- Bende inquired where the applicant was and that they should be present to explain what they are asking for and why. The applicant was not present.
- Politte said that the applicant neglected to show up in the past (during the PPH Wellness Center approval process).
- Becker said that Rich Miller should have been at the meeting and the item should be continued.
- Neighbor to the property asked how long are they asking for the extension to last?
- Politte added that they are asking to extend 3 permits: Site Development, Plan Development, and Neighborhood Use Permits.
- Becker asked if Prinz was familiar with time extensions; not familiar with extensions.
- It was asked whether PPH had applied within the 36 month period tied to their existing permits or had time elapsed beyond that timeframe?
  - Clark noted that Rich Miller was the applicant for the extension and submitted the application 10 days before their permits expired.
- Bende recommended that the item be continued to next month because the applicant was not present and invite them to the June 5<sup>th</sup> meeting to present their request.
- Clark noted that PPH would be contacted to schedule a presentation and no further action was taken because the applicant was not present.
- Brief discussion of the Cambridge School presently leasing the property.

f. **RPPB Member Appointments – Thom Clark/RPPB (Action Item)**

- PQ Rec Council – Clark reported that Steve Gore was being reappointed by the Bill Diehl, Rec Council Chair, as their representative to RPPB for the term 2013-2014; Clark accepted.
- Town Council – Clark reported, that Darshana Patel, Town Council President, was reappointing Cynthia MacShane to represent the Town Council on the RPPB board for the term 2013-2014; Clark accepted.



- Commercial 1 – Clark reappointed Keith Rhodes to serve the two year term 2013-2015.
- Black Mtn. Ranch 2 seat is vacant and Mike Kenney had submitted an application for this elected seat.

**Motion:** To appoint Mike Kenney to fill the vacant BMR 2 seat for the remainder of the 2012-2014 term. M/S/C – Clark/Becker/Approved, 14 in favor – 0 against – 0 recusals/abstentions.

- Politte reported on the remaining vacant seats to be filled as follows: PQ District 6, PQ Renter-At-Large, and Torrey Highlands 2. Appointees for all three seats would complete the term 2012-2014. Applications should be submitted to Politte or Clark and the applicants for District 6 (Twin Trails district) and TH must reside in their respective community. The Renter-at-Large can be from any PQ District.

## 8. REPORTS.

### a. Chair Report – Thom Clark

- Khouli Appeal will be heard at Planning Commission on May 23<sup>rd</sup>. Politte will schedule a meeting to go over the draft powerpoint to refine it.
- Clark reported that Councilmember Zapf attended the CPC meeting and said the Large Vehicle Parking Ordinance would be going to Council in 2-3 months for a vote. She said she thought it would be approved.
  - Surban inquired about the parking along Rancho Peñasquitos Blvd. would be affected by the new ordinance? Diehl replied that vehicles would need to be moved every 72 hours a large distance.
  - Additional discussion on enforcement, towing repeat offenders and the need for a fine. Clark said the ordinance is more focused on the beach communities.
- Marijuana dispensary regulations are in the works at Mayor Filner's directive. Brief discussion on retail stores, clinics, applications, taxes, etc.
- Clark will be talking with Councilmember Kersey's office about what Infrastructure issues they see in our planning area.
  - Rhodes noted that much of PQ was built in 1960s & 1970s.
  - Becker added that prioritization/assessment is needed and the funding for the assessment.
  - Diehl added that the issues lie within all departments, many have no way to track City assets, no centralized program. He added that Park & Rec has over 800 work orders that need to be addressed throughout the City.

### b. Vice-Chair Report – Jon Becker.

- Becker said the CPC has a CIP subcommittee that is working with City staff to discuss the process for prioritization of projects, funding sources, diffs/PFFPs/other, some of the charges are redundant, etc. A meeting is scheduled on 5/20/13 with Kelly Broughton and Tom Tomlinson on prioritizing. Becker is planning to attend and will send the info to Rhodes.
- Diehl noted that before CIP projects are approved by City Council, we need to be sure the funding amounts are correct to what was approved by local groups/boards or push back on the increase in costs. If the project gets approved for a higher cost than what was approved, the funds will be taken; ex. Skate Park – Rec Council approved spending \$100,000, the City's budgeted amount was higher (\$345,000) and the funds were taken without any approval of the local groups.

- Discussion on whether City Council has the right to approve taking community funds that was not approved by the local board to be spent on a specific project and our recourse.
- Diehl said that the Torrey Meadows Park cost is \$4.277 million and whether it costs that much or not, they spend it anyway.
- c. Secretary Report – Jeanine Politte
  - Politte reviewed an email from TransNet, the new SR 78 eastbound auxiliary lanes (west of I-15) are now open. Clark noted the additional lanes reduced his drive time by almost half tonight.
- d. Standing Committee Reports:
  - Land Use (Ramesses Surban)
    - Surban reported that Dumka updated the LUC that Camelot plans are being revised and they hope to submit to the City next month.
    - The Ong Residence (Almazon St) presented at LUC. The plans included previously requested enhancements to the façade (hip roofs, recessed windows, bump outs, porch rails). They were asked to come back when the MND is finalized and the final cycle reviews are complete before RPPB would vote on a recommendation.
    - Heritage Bluffs (BMR) is still being revised.
    - Surban noted that another Almazon St. residence is in the pipeline; brief discussion of undeveloped lots remaining in Rancho Peñasquitos.
  - Telecomm (Darren Parker)
    - Clark reported that it was brought to his attention that Parker was not reappointed to chair the Telecomm Committee and because Parker had already left, Clark carried the appointment to the June 5<sup>th</sup> meeting.
- e. Ad Hoc Committee Reports:
  - FBA/PFFP Prioritization (Keith Rhodes) – no additional report
  - Doubletree Resort (Jeanine Politte)
    - Becker noted that Ms. Cohen emailed tonight that Doubletree reps would be present because of Michael Prinz's presentation.
    - Politte commented that it was disrespectful of the Doubletree representatives from Laurus Corp. pulling Michael Prinz out of the meeting numerous times for private purposes.
    - Brief discussion on density increases at this intersection (Carmel Mtn. Rd. at Peñasquitos Drive), the impacts and how it may get mitigated.
    - Becker added that at the Infrastructure meeting last week, there were discussions on the Stoneridge Golf Course and Councilmember Kersey now is aware that Doubletree may be coming forward.
    - Politte noted the topping of all the street trees on the Doubletree property; discussion on if it was done properly.
  - Santa Fe Summit II & III (Darren Parker) – no report
  - RPPB Electronic Media Site (Steve Gore)
    - Gore reported that the next meeting of the committee would be 5/21/13 at his office in Sabre Springs; next step: policy planning and getting the website ready to go live.

## f. Liaison and Organization Reports:

- Black Mountain Open Space Park (Bill Diehl) – no meeting, no report
- DSD Facilities Financing (Bill Diehl)
  - Diehl suggested the committee be renamed from DSD Facilities Financing to Community Funds and move under the Ad-Hoc Committee reports as this is not a liaison or organization report; the group agreed.
- MCAS Miramar Community Leaders Forum (Dennis Spurr)
  - Spurr reported on a presentation by Cdr. Linderman on the Aviation Survival Training Center (ASTC) at MCAS Miramar. The Naval Survival Training Institute (NSTI). The mission of NSTI is to provide safe, effective and relevant aviation survival and human performance training as the execution arm of the Chief of Naval Operations mandated Naval Aviation Survival Training Program. The focus of this training is to enhance the operational readiness of the joint warfighter, to include designated aviators and aircrew (joint and allied), student aviators and aircrew, contract pilots, selected passengers, project specialists, VIPs and USMC non-aircrew. Naval aviation survival training emphasizes mishap and accident prevention, enhancing and sustaining performance, and mishap survival. Items covered in the presentation included Altitude Chamber (low pressure chamber to 35,000 ft.) resulting in hypoxia, G-LOC (passing out) and ejection seat training. Quote: Spurr said that aviation survival training is like elephant insurance – you may never need the insurance, but when that elephant comes crashing down through your roof, you will be glad you had it.
  - The Air Show will still happen with more focus on the Marines and the Blue Angels will not be present (result of sequestration). Spurr added that the fighter pilots will be doing more flight simulator training and be reassigned to other flight groups.
- PQ Fire Safe Council (Dennis Spurr/Mike Shoecraft)
  - Shoecraft reported, the Fire Safe Council will be at the Fiesta de los Peñasquitos, this Sunday, 11AM to 5 PM. The major purpose will be to get word out about the ongoing Brush Management Inspections North of SR-56. We will also be demonstrating the Berkeley Home WildFire Assessment tool.
  - On May 21st, 6:30 PM at the RP Library, the Peñasquitos Emergency Response Council (PERC) will be providing the first of many upcoming monthly classes. This class will be on Home Wildfire Protection, presented by Dennis Spurr.
- PQ Town Council (Cynthia Macshane)
  - MacShane reported the Fiesta is Sunday, May 5<sup>th</sup>. Elections for Town Council seats will be held in the Town Council's booth located across from the main stage. There is also a 3K Fun Run on Saturday, May 4<sup>th</sup>.
  - Diehl recommended that attendees check out the animal shaped landscaping along Paseo Montalban.
- PQ Recreation Council (Steve Gore)
  - Gore reported that the new Torrey Highlands park has a new name – Torrey Meadows Neighborhood Park and the overall design plans including the restrooms have been approved.
  - Gore reported that the Council approved their annual tax return.
  - Diehl noted, Rec Council had to approve 4 new policies that are required by the State including a Whistleblower policy, Conflict of Interest Policy, and 2 others.

- o Politte noted that those policies have been required by all CA nonprofits. In 2004, the CA legislature approved those requirements to make nonprofits more accountable and transparent.
- Los Pen Canyon Psv CAC (John Keating) – no report
- Park Village LMAD (Jon Becker)
  - Becker reported the Dad's Project is complete after 6 months of planning; 20 volunteers laid 432 lineal feet of split rail fencing.
- Peñasquitos East LMAD (Bill Diehl)
  - Landscaping is being trimmed along Black Mtn. Rd and Carmel Mtn. Rd.
  - Diehl noted that there is a badly buckled sidewalk (45° angle) along Carmel Mtn. Rd. between Via Rimini and Stoney Creek Rd. on the south of the street.
  - Plans are being finalized for the drought tolerant plantings along Rancho Peñasquitos Blvd.
- Torrey Highlands LMAD (Darren Parker) – no report
- Transportation Agencies (John Keating)
  - Diehl reported, the V-calms on Calle de las Rosas have not been installed yet.
  - Discussion on High Speed Rail news including: possible federal funding issues, selected contractor for the first segment, and legislative shift in segment priorities.
  - Becker reported in Keating's absence. The RPPB suggested traffic and bike projects are currently under review at traffic operations divisions at Caltrans.

Clark reported that we are still asking for a volunteer to take on the Secretary's role or an offer to share the duties. For now, all committee chairs and elected member/organization reps should submit a report to Politte that includes only the items reported on and the discussion as well as any motions/results to be incorporated into the minutes.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:29 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeanine Politte  
RPPB, Secretary

Approved 6/5/13; 10 in favor – 0 against – 1 abstention (Keating).