



## Rancho Peñasquitos Planning Board Meeting Minutes

June 27, 2012

Attendees: Jon Becker, Suzanne Brooks, Thom Clark, Bill Dumka, Steve Gore, Cynthia Macshane, Jeanine Politte, Keith Rhodes, Scot Sandstrom, Dennis Spurr

Absent: Joost Bende, Bill Diehl, John Keating, Ruth Loucks, Darren Parker, Charles Sellers, Mike Shoecraft, Ramesses Surban, David Wiesley

Community Members & Guests (Voluntary Sign-in): Jas Arnold, Scott Hasson, Marc Roland, Manny Delgado, Lucia Yandell, Qi Wang

- 
1. The meeting was called to order at 7:35 pm at the Doubletree Golf Resort located at 14455 Peñasquitos Drive, San Diego, California 92129. No Quorum, only 8 members present at start of meeting. (2 additional members arrived during the meeting to provide a quorum for all action items.)
  2. The letter appointing Cynthia Macshane to represent the Town Council on RPPB was accepted and Macshane was seated.
  3. Agenda Modifications: Kilroy T-9 Bridge & Reimbursement Agreement was removed from agenda per Robin Madaffer's request; an update was provided by the Chair.
  4. MINUTES: June 6, 2012 RPPB meeting minutes were not available for approval.
  5. Guests: no public safety agencies were present.
  6. NON-AGENDA, PUBLIC COMMENTS:
    - a. Scott Hasson (running for Board of Trustees, Community College District) introduced himself and his reasons for running for this position.
    - b. Politte reported on a code compliance issue brought to RPPB, a new fence was installed on a property (Freeport Rd., backing to Park & Ride on Carmel Mtn. Rd.) and the old fence debris is on the hill behind the home. Info was passed on to the Town Council for follow up.
    - c. Politte reported on the inquiry by a concerned neighbor about a potential Residential Care Facility to be operated at a private residence (11178 Del Diablo Way). The concern of an RCF was brought to our attention via the Town Council's website and redirected to RPPB. The property owner got a permit to remodel the property to 7 bedrooms and 2 baths from a 4 bedroom/2 bath home; unsure if remodel has been completed. The owner has also added a very large patio to the front of the property replacing most of the front yard which could be a violation. There presently is an Assisted Living RCF operating within 500 feet, which should restrict another facility within a quarter mile per City Regulations according to an email from Heverly. To-date, no care facility application has been filed with the City or State agencies were found. County/State agencies as well as the City Business License would need to be approved to operate. Brief discussion on next steps, who would make the decision that these 2 properties are too close, etc. Becker appointed a committee (Politte & Macshane) to follow up on the status of this project and future RCFs, requirements, etc. Politte invited other RPPB members, if interested in participating, to contact her.
    - d. Politte reported on a resident's concern about a property at 13149 Trail Dust (corner of Twin Trails) that is possibly using a non-permitted driveway access onto Twin Trails.

Resident believed the curb was previously painted red and is also concerned because they are setting out trash containers for pick-up on Twin Trails, not the street of address; there are no other homes on that side of Twin Trails with driveway access or having trash picked up. Resident has experienced traffic delays due to trash trucks stopping and egress/ingress to the driveway that has blocked the traffic lane. Politte checked the property on Zillow and the picture shows multiple trucks parked in the backyard and questions whether a business is operating from this address. Politte will ask City staff to check into approved status/permit for driveway and if there are any code violations.

- e. Jas Arnold thanked RPPB for approving the expense of community funds for the Trails For All People project; officially a CIP now. Plans are to get the project design completed in the next year and under construction. Initial budget was approx.\$300,000 set aside for environmental review. The Natural Resources Management Plan will be going before City Council and the trail is included in that plan. Jas added that the City conducted the environmental studies on the proposed. Park & Rec are working with his group to develop sources of funding to complete the project. Design is in the works with his group and Rick Engineering; hoping for completion in 2014.
- f. Patricia (BMR resident) asked about the Transit Center parking lot closures (Del Lago & Rancho Bernardo); Becker noted an update is on the agenda.

#### 7. ANNOUNCEMENTS & INFORMATION ITEMS:

- a. San Diego City Development Services Dept. Report – Michael Prinz, not present
- b. San Diego City Council District 1 Report – Stephen Heverly
  - City Budget approved on June 13<sup>th</sup>; included additional city library hours, funding for additional lifeguards and \$8.3 million will be available for infrastructure projects. Street maintenance – there is a backlog being worked through to catch up. Water and sewer infrastructure is disbursed from a different fund.
  - Hire A Youth Program is being reinstated this summer for at-risk youth. Council members and the Mayor’s office have put together funding for the program. Statistic shows that every \$1 spent returns \$1.91 to the community. Workforce Partnership is overseeing; Politte asked how much Workforce Partnership was getting to administer this program. Heverly stated the program budget was \$200,000, but was unsure of their portion.
  - Views West ADA project (park upgrades) was approved at City Council. Heverly added that Diehl had brought forth concerns about the funding changes deviating from what RPPB approved previously. Heverly noted that FBA funds will be used, but not as a loan from Community Funds. Signage will be changed to ‘Neighborhood’ Park and an electrical box in the play area will be relocated to a safer location.
  - Allocated monies to V-calm signs on Calle de las Rosas and 2 on Camino del Sur in Black Mtn. Ranch. Camino del Sur locations have been reviewed; will check on schedule for placement. Trail crossings on side streets near Camino del Sur will be painted with crosswalks to encourage pedestrians to use them instead of crossing Camino del Sur mid-block.
  - Becker asked if the Recycled Water Pipeline trail concerns previously discussed had been resolved. Heverly will check on status and if flexible risers and fiber rolls are in place.
  - Heverly noted that this would be his last meeting as he is leaving Council District 1 office. Thanked RPPB and RPPB members thanked Heverly. Mel Millstein may be his replacement.

- c. Assembly Member Nathan Fletcher's Office Report – Sterling McHale, not present

■ Quorum is now present.

8. BUSINESS.

- a. **Santaluz General Utility Easement Vacation Project #263101** – Ray Wong (Action Item)

Becker stated that this is a ministerial cleanup of the general utilities easement through a Process 5 that needs a recommendation from RPPB.

Wong stated the utility easement is across 6 lots. On the original plan, there was a 4 lot home cluster with a motor court in the middle; contains sewer, water, and storm drain that service those lots. Taylor Woodrow changed the project which was approved by the City. Development was being completed in phases. Two of the these lots have homes on them already and the others are in process so this is a clean-up to remove the easement from these parcels.

- Politte asked if LUC approved this easement vacation as presented; Clark said yes.

**Motion:** To approve the Santaluz General Utility Easement Vacation Project No. 263101 as presented. M/S/C – Sandstrom/Clark/Approved, 9 in favor - 0 against – 1 abstension (Brooks)

Politte asked that a copy of the presentation materials be emailed to her for our records.

- b. **Caltrans Sabre Springs/Peñasquitos Transit Station Upgrades** – Manny Delgado (Information Item)

I-15 Express Lanes were completed in January 2012; last phase will be the Rapid Transit System to downtown with limited stops at key employment centers. Transit Stations will be upgraded. Del Lago (Westfield Shopping Center, Escondido) and Rancho Bernardo Station upgrades begin in July 2012 and include new bus bays, shelters & signage with bus arrival times. Parking availability will be impacted at each location during upgrade; temporary offsite parking will be provided and projects should take approx. 6 months to complete.

The Sabre Springs upgrade will include the addition of a multi-level parking structure and should begin this winter, lasting approx. 15-18 months; existing parking will be impacted. Enhanced bus staging areas, new shelters, next bus arrival signage, electric vehicle charging stations and a modular bicycle parking facility will be included.

Miramar College Transit Station will begin in July 2012 and includes a direct access ramp (DAR) on Hillery Drive adjacent to Miramar College (north end) and a multi-level parking structure.

Delgado added that the planned Escondido Transit Station will begin construction in 2014.

- Clark asked how many charging stations would be installed at Sabre Springs? Delgado will check and report back to RPPB; requested we send him an email.
- Politte inquired about additional noise since the Express Lanes were completed; when were the last noise studies done and compared to previous studies. Delgado will check.
- Residents can check into status of upgrades and/or sign up for email updates on Caltrans/MTS projects <http://KeepSanDiegoMoving.com> Inquiries about these projects can also be called in to the Public Information office at 858-668-3368.

- Becker asked Delgado if there is a point person he could talk to about how PID / Cooperative Agreements between the City and Caltrans function and get implemented; briefly mentioning the TH T-9 Bridge agreement that will be coming to us for approval. Delgado believes the Corridor Director would be a good resource.
  - Becker asked if Delgado knew the status of the SR-56/I-5 north connectors; Delgado said that there was an open house last week in Carmel Valley, unsure of status. Delgado will connect us with the PIO for that area.
  - Macshane inquired about the bus routes on I-15 and whether they would have routes into the communities; Delgado stated these buses would stay on I-15 and only stop at the transit stations, employment centers and downtown.
  - George (resident) inquired about whether Camino Ruiz would extend north across the Peñasquitos Canyon Preserve from Mira Mesa; Politte/Becker noted that was removed from the community plans a number of years ago.
  - Patricia (BMR) asked if the Express Lane Buses would stop in Fashion Valley which is a huge employment center and she was also concerned about hours of operation not being sufficient. Patricia stated that peak times (7am and 5pm) need to be increased to handle the quantity of passengers; Delgado stated demand would dictate increased hours. Lots at Bernardo & Del Lago are already at parking capacity and expressed concerns about adding buses without adding parking spaces to accommodate additional riders.
  - Patricia (BMR) also inquired about how Caltrans/MTS are planning to prevent Miramar College students from using the adjacent parking structure to avoid the annual campus parking fee? It was agreed that this issue would need to be resolved.
- c. **Kilroy T-9 Bridge Reimbursement Agreement Update** – Becker (Discussion Item)  
 Becker noted that Robin Madaffer canceled their appearance.  
 The PFFP/FBA Committee has been meeting with City staff to understand how an agreement between the City/Caltrans and Kilroy (Santa Fe Summit II & III Project) designing the T-9 Bridge would impact our communities.  
 The T-9 Bridge would connect Torrey Meadows to the north side of SR-56. The bridge would benefit the residents providing another way out of their cul-de-sac community. By designing the bridge, Kilroy's agreement with the City and Caltrans would provide them with additional benefit. Today, Kilroy is entitled to build 600,000 sq. ft. and occupy 300,000 sq. ft., but this agreement would give them the right to occupy the rest (300,000 sq. ft.) once Caltrans assures that the bridge will be built. The reimbursement agreement would alleviate Kilroy's responsibility to the Transportation Phasing Plan and the community. There is no schedule as to when the bridge would be built by Caltrans. It also alleviates Kilroy's participation in the completion of Camino del Sur. The concern is that there is no guarantee that the bridge will get built nor the completion of Camino del Sur; both are safety issues for the residents.  
 The committee and City discussed putting language into the FBA to provide for funds for the design of Camino del Sur, just as Kilroy is providing funds for the design of the bridge. The resources and funding are there but the mechanisms to implement are uncertain; completion of the full circulation element.  
 FBA updates are coming forward in September and Kilroy is hoping to meld these changes into those updates.
- Brooks asked if the neighbors to these projects have been noticed of this discussion?
    - Sandstrom said that the HOA has been informed.

- Becker added that Kilroy was approached about funding the design of both the bridge and Camino del Sur but were reluctant to do so.
  - Becker noted that the design will have its own FBA item showing that the money has been allocated.
  - Discussion if the sound walls were in.
  - Gore added that most people would use old route to gain access to SR-56 adding that all Kilroy is doing is providing us with a picture of a bridge that might not get built.
  - Becker noted the PID that was distributed has no timeline. There are commitments that the FBA will fund Caltrans \$100,000 as well as another \$20,000 for initial deposits but the actual implementation has not been outlined.
  - Discussion on how/why Caltrans would manage this project; lots of unanswered questions. Who puts out the bid, construction control, etc. is to be determined.
  - Rhodes stated that Caltrans would approve the design to their specifications and contract out the construction.
  - Becker asked Delgado how Caltrans builds a bridge. This is a City funded project for Caltrans to administer; will probably be subcontracted out.
  - Rhodes noted that the Draft PFFP update the City is presenting right now shows a footnote about the bridge design funding by Kilroy. The question RPPB should consider: does Kilroy get building permits when the bridge design is complete and get occupancy when the bridge project goes out to bid.
  - Sandstrom stated that the proposal by Kilroy is not sufficient to support. They want to build the whole project at one time so they are offering to design the bridge in return for occupancy of the second 300,000 sq. ft. when the project goes out to bid. Sandstrom stated, he would prefer that details are spelled out before RPPB approves. There is no assurance in the PID.
  - Rhodes added that Keating preferred the traffic circulation be finished for both Torrey Highlands and Rancho Peñasquitos; expressed in a meeting or conversation/email.
  - Sandstrom stated that if there was an iron clad agreement and prefers that it says 'under contract' instead of 'out to bid'; giving us assurance that the bridge will be built. There should be multiple agreements, one that binds Kilroy to the occupancy agreement, one that binds Kilroy and the City, and one that binds the City and Caltrans about funding and building the bridge. The bridge is not a regional project and will not be a Caltrans priority, the City needs to push getting the bridge built.
  - Becker added that this item will be added to the PFFP discussion/update approval in September.
- d. **Rancho Peñasquitos Community Plan Amendment** – Becker (Discussion Item)  
RPPB has voted to recommend removing the Widening of Black Mtn. Rd. CIP (from SR-56, south to about the middle of the creek bridge) as it is not needed and would require condemnation of properties to widen it to 6 lanes. There are a number of single family homes, a school and steep terrain on Black Mtn. Rd. Becker stated that he has talked with Michael Prinz and it was suggested that RPPB initiate the amendment to the Community Plan at a cost of approx. \$12,000.00. Removal would also eliminate other development projects from funding the widening. The City does the work, we sign as the applicant and then it goes out to environmental review analysis. This would keep the conditions as they are and in the near term Camino del Sur would get completed and take some of the congestion off of Black Mtn. Rd.

- Sandstrom said that he would want to see the impacts before he would support.
- Dumka added that RPPB already approved removal of the widening from the Community Plan.
- Rhodes noted the history that the community wanted to keep just one connection between the Rancho Peñasquitos and Mira Mesa. The idea was to send Park Village neighborhood traffic north to SR-56 on Camino del Sur when finished.
- Becker added that the traffic plan accounts for 18,000 trips on Camino del Sur per day when built. Black Mtn. Rd has 25,000 trips today and would lose a large number of trips from Park Village area (800 residences) to SR-56 as Camino del Sur comes online.
- Sandstrom stated that Kelly Broughton asked LU&H for a fee to be added to building permits to fund 3 Community Plan updates per year. They have gone to the BIA to ask for their support of an additional couple hundred dollars in fees per permit to go to Planning to fund communities like Rancho Peñasquitos that are built out or don't have plan updates caused by developer projects. The City's commitment would be 3 updates per year. We may not have to spend the \$12,000.00 to amend our community plan if this proposal comes out of LU&H after summer break.
- Heverly stated that Planning is looking at creative ways to fund updates; suggested that Planning would be interested in our support of the proposal to add a permit fee for this.
- Sandstrom stated that the BIA is in favor of the additional permit fee to update 3 Community Plans each year, but they wanted a milestone added that if after 3 years, the City hadn't updated 3 plans per year, the fee would disappear.
- Brooks inquired about PPH going in and the traffic mitigations RPPB recommended.
  - Becker noted that Bende would have a better idea of when PPH might be moving forward with their project which has been on hold.
  - Politte added that Bende had previously reported, PPH has not been able to find tenants for the project. If not built, we have other issues to deal with ie illegal dumping, nuisance issues.
- The Community Plan update would be a full review of the document and include all changes that have been approved since last update.
- Dumka stated that when RPPB approved the removal of the Widening project, he approached Pardee about funding the amendment; BMR LLC and Pardee are open to funding.
- Sandstrom asked Dumka if BMR's FBA allocation would go back into the general FBA to be reallocated and then the BMR LLC obligation would shrink or would there be one less project?
- Becker noted that the Rancho Peñasquitos PFFP/FBA has \$170,000 available (2008), this stretch of the road. BMR is in it for about \$1 million. Torrey Highlands doesn't have a commitment for this. The total cost, per City Staff, could be \$300,000-\$400,000 with environmental studies and without EIR would be substantially less to update the community plan removing the Widening of Black Mtn. Rd.
- Rhodes noted that Rancho Peñasquitos did not originally have an intensive EIR, but may need one now. If Montana Mirador is still in the community plan, an extensive EIR might be needed (City purchased Montana Mirador property and added it to BMOSP).

- Lucia Yandell (resident); concerned about widening Black Mtn. Rd. and it's impacts. Becker noted that we are trying to figure out how to make this work.
- Sandstrom noted that our vote to remove the widening is not binding on the City, just a recommendation and an EIR or Mitigated Negative Declaration must be done to give justification for why it's not needed and the impacts if it's not completed.
- Becker stated that if the Board wants to take action on the next steps, we can include it on the agenda in September.
- Becker stated that RPPB could be the applicant, provide the funding to initiate and the City does the work, language additions/strike outs, etc. and then determine what studies are needed.
- Sandstrom would prefer that the update is handled privately and then delivered to the City for review.
- Dumka will explore funding of the Community Plan Amendment.
- Politte noted that the City is doing a community plan amendment for the Trails update, could this be included or would this be a separated amendment?
  - Becker & Heverly added that the Resource Management Plans along with the Community Plan updates that include the Trails amendment is suppose to be going to Council soon; Community Plan would need a new update.

e. **Black Mountain Ranch FBA Update** – Bill Dumka (Information Item)

Becker asked Dumka to give us an update on the BMR FBA Update coming forward in September.

Dumka stated that the PFFP/FBA updates would be reviewed in September, to LU&H in September and City Council in November. New FBA fees will go into effect in February 2013; actually started on the update a few years ago, last updated in 2006.

First item reviewed was to update all cost estimates for all projects with a Consultant.

They modified/updated the numbers within the class of permits (single, multi-family, senior housing, golf courses, institutional uses, etc.) as many have changed. They found that many of the PFFP projects were already built and cost estimates/fees needed to be reduced.

SR-56 was built with TransNet funding so there is an argument that BMR obligation should be reduced to zero; City & Caltrans disagreed.

The residual conversation is on the Transportation Phasing Plan (see handout).

- Changed items are noted in red and the City has agreed to accept those changes.
- Gray shaded fields are still under discussion with City staff.

Brief discussion of the changes.

- Becker explained how the PFFP will look with descriptions and drawings relating to the projects listed on the handout. We are fortunate that we still have FBA monies coming to fund these projects whereas some communities are built out and can't get new projects built.
- Dumka added that the City has agreed to modify the price for the Community Pool to \$6.2 million; at one point the cost had been elevated upwards to \$9 million. The City refused to remove the Pool from the PFFP/FBA as RPPB had requested because it is population based and is to be located at BMR Community Park.
- Discussion that Pacific Highlands Ranch got the Library and BMR got the Pool that the other community's residents will most likely not use due to proximity.

## 9. REPORTS.

### a. Chair Report – Jon Becker

- CPC Meeting Report –
  - A project called Rancho del Mar (224 continuing care units) was pulled back on Council Policies which would have changed the phase shift for Prop A (1985). It is located in the flood plains next to the Polo Fields. Council Policies were put into place when the General Plan could not be updated. This property is still in the future urbanizing area and would need a vote of the people to change; Council Policy on this property will remain in effect and project is on hold.
  - Capital Power was trying to get a 850 megawatt power plant approved in University City at I-805 and Governor Drive; UC Planning Group voted against it. It would have been 6 stories tall (stacks) under the Miramar flight path. Capital Power was trying to get a ballot initiative. There is a power plant going in Mission Trails and they are looking for locations to place peaker plants also.
  - Civic San Diego replaces the CCDC merged with SEDC to deal with redevelopment issues.
- Sewer Pump Station project was approved by RPPB last fall and Rancho Bernardo Planning Group finally approved the project this past month; went to hearing officer last month also. Not sure when contractor will begin.

### b. Vice-Chair Report – Joost Bende, no report

### c. Secretary Report – Jeanine Politte

- CIP website upgrade - Heverly stated that the CIP website will be upgraded to include timelines, expenditures and he apologized for misspeaking on the status of the website which he understood to have been completed already. Politte said in regards to paving/resurfacing and other infrastructure projects, there are no lists of the locations that are part of the contract group (LOT) or in the queue that are easily accessible/understandable. I would have to look through each LOT to see if my street is listed, no way to search. All those that are listed on website are old and not updated.
- Mr. Carey's concerns about Rancho Peñasquitos Blvd. have been forwarded to the LMAD supervisor for follow-up in the right-of-way. Politte will follow-up on status over the summer months, adding that all the pictures Mr. Carey sent showing damage or debris (3 trees dead or dying, brush management on adjacent property, debris, broken signs) were inaccessible on City computers (pictures were hosted on a blocked website). Politte downloaded all the photos and emailed to City staff. Politte added that Mr. Carey has not replied to a couple of her messages inquiring why he included a picture of the new incomplete monument sign on Rancho Peñasquitos Blvd. Some of the pictures show dead bushes, trees, etc. on hillside/canyon area north east of RP Blvd/ Via del Sud which is private property.
  - Politte asked if the LMAD staff could remove the old developer wooden sign posts on Rancho Peñasquitos Blvd; Becker said yes they are no longer needed.
  - Becker stated that we might be able to get trees from Urban Corp to replace dead/dying trees.



## d. Standing Committee Reports:

- Land Use (Thom Clark)
  - Khouli Residences is coming back and Clark wondered if there are any conditions that we might want to consider because as he reads the plans they seem more like multi-family, not multi-generational.
    - Politte asked what was different in the plans? Becker and Clark said they've updated the biological report, done more with brush management to provide more greenery, fire rating on the structure, but the floor plan is the same as before, and the height is taller. Politte noted that the representative did not hear or bring our recommendations back to the owner as they did not address the bulk & scale issues.
    - Brief discussion on how the design and height was measured; 41+ feet tall.
    - Becker added that when the applicant comes back, if RPPB were to approve, conditions could be added to the approval.
    - Politte reviewed RPPB's original 2003 SDP lot split approval (7 single family homes) as follows. Issues included: Homes should appear similar to homes in the adjacent neighborhood and driveways should meet requirements of City. Conditions: Project was limited to exporting a maximum of 800 cu. yds. of grading/excavation from site.
    - Politte inquired if window openings in the rooms (including all used for bedrooms) met fire code and would bedroom windows need to be accessible for egress in an emergency?
- Telecomm (Darren Parker)
  - Becker noted there are 2 projects coming forward.

## e. Ad Hoc Committee Reports:

- FBA/PFFP Prioritization (Keith Rhodes)
  - City has agreed to reduce the fees 26%, seem to agree to put language in that allows the community to build out, and Kilroy is the only issue this time around.
  - Becker asked Rhodes if he had ever set up a 501c3 so that RPPB would be able to control the use of funds from Rhodes Crossing; Diehl had suggested this. There was discussion as to how the funds would move from Rhodes to a nonprofit if they are fees paid to the City and transferred or if paid directly to the nonprofit. Politte said that the type of nonprofit needs to be determined – needs research.
- Cresta Bella/Doubletree (Jeanine Politte)
  - Contacted City Staff, Bella Fridman, about our concerns. City has not signed off on the project yet, noting that the items we are concerned about were not required as part of their permits. According to Fridman, Cresta Bella has agreed to fix the sidewalks (uncertain of which ones/details), replace the old driveway approaches/curb cuts with proper sidewalks but would like to keep a couple they are using for easement access (unsure which ones). Politte sent Fridman pictures of broken concrete, dumping, and rebar that is sticking out of ground near one of those driveway accesses they'd like to keep. Fridman said Cresta Bella would be pulling permits in July to begin the work and she would notify Politte.
- Our Lady of Mt. Carmel (Joost Bende) – no report
- Santa Fe Summit II & III (Scot Sandstrom) – no report

## f. Liaison and Organization Reports:

- Black Mountain Open Space Park (Bill Diehl) – no report
- DSD Facilities Financing (Bill Diehl) – no report
- MCAS Miramar Community Leaders Forum (Dennis Spurr)
  - No meeting.
  - 60<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Fly Over for one of the squadrons tomorrow, 4:30-5:00pm.
- PQ Fire Safe Council (Dennis Spurr)
  - Brush Management is still doing inspections in Area 7, 20% of inspections have been completed with approx. 15% in violation.
- PQ Town Council (Mike Shoecraft/Cynthia Macshane)
  - Macshane reported that 40 new banners went up and will come down at end of July; also taking down the old Jewel of Black Mtn. banners and they will not be replaced right away.
  - Darshana Patel got a grant for Neighborhood Watch which seems to focus more on the Park Village area.
  - July 3<sup>rd</sup> Fireworks at Westview H.S.
  - Reviewed new board officers elected earlier this month; Darshana Patel is new President.
- PQ Recreation Council (Steve Gore) – no meeting
- Los Pen Canyon Psv CAC (John Keating) – no report
- Park Village LMAD (Jon Becker)
  - Planting magnolia trees in process.
- Peñasquitos East LMAD (Bill Diehl) – no report
- Torrey Highlands LMAD (Darren Parker/Steve Gore)
  - Designing a new logo, otherwise structure design is good.
  - There have been some accidents and the City is helping them go after and prosecute/collect from those who are damaging LMAD property/trees.
- Transportation Agencies (John Keating) – no report

The meeting was adjourned at 11:00pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeanine Politte  
RPPB Secretary

Approved 9/5/2012; 13 in favor – 0 against – 4 abstentions (Shoecraft, Loucks, Diehl, Keating).