



Rancho Bernardo Community Planning Board

PO Box 270831, San Diego, CA 92198

www.rbplanningboard.com

NOVEMBER 21, 2013

MINUTES

7:00 PM, @ RB Swim & Tennis Club Club 21 Room

16955 Bernardo Oaks Drive

<u>2013 RB PLANNING BOARD</u>									
P = present		A = absent				ARC = arrived after roll call			
Lou Dell'Angela	P	Kim Coutts	4th A	Fred Gahm	P	Eugenia Contratto	P	Robin Kaufman	P
Teri Denlinger	P	Richard House	P	Wolfie Pores	P	Mike Lutz	P	John Cochran	P
Joe Dirks	P	Roberta Mikles	P	Matt Stockton	P	Vicki Touchstone	P	Total Seated	14
								Total In Attendance	13

ITEM #1 CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL – REGULAR MEETING:

The meeting was called to order 7:02 pm by Chair, Richard House. 13 members were present. Absent: Kim Coutts (4th absence). A quorum was met.

ITEM #2 NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT:

- Nick Anastasopoulos introduced himself as President of the Bernardo Heights Master Association(BHMA). Nick commented there were no bidders at this time for the PUSD water tower property. Nick also requested copies of the agenda and or minutes to share with the BHMA.
- George Leitner reiterated Nick's comments.
- Rob Knudsen, representative for Assemblyman Maienschein, commented that the Assemblyman has completed his first year in office and assisted with passing six bills. Rob commented that Richard House met with Bob Ilko, President of the Scripps Ranch Civic Association in regard to a property similar to PUSD water tower property and how Scripps dealt with their property.
- Tiffany Vinson, representative for Councilman Kersey, encouraged all present to contact the office if they have any questions or concerns. Tiffany reported the City's infrastructure committee met to discuss a five year plan, and that the RB Community Council was hosting a CPR/AED training event Saturday, November 23. Tiffany also announced City Council approved a water rate increase. It will be a 7% increase the first year, and then 7% increase the second year beginning January 2014.

ITEM #3 MODIFICATIONS TO AGENDA / ADOPT DRAFT AGENDA :

-A motion was made by Joe Dirks/Teri Denlinger, to remove item #12 from the agenda as any rules need to be in the bylaws in order to be considered rules for the group to adhere to them. After some discussion, Joe amended his motion, seconded by Roberta Mikles to make item #12 a discussion item only. Motion passed unanimously.

-A motion was made by Lou Dell Angela/Roberta Mikles to amend item #11 to add 'and the selection of someone to fill the position'. **Motion passed** 8-5-0. **In favor:** Joe Dirks, Teri Denlinger, Robin Kaufman, Matt Stockton, Lou Dell Angela, Wolfie Pores, Fred Gahm, Roberta Mikles. **Not in favor:** Richard House, Eugenia Contratto, John Cochran, Vicki Touchstone, Mike Lutz.

-The agenda was unanimously adopted as amended.

ITEM #4 CHAIR REMARKS:

-Richard House commented that Joe Taylor, PUSD consultant, sent a broadcast to developers and cc'd Richard in the email. Developers contacted Richard and communicated their concerns with him regarding the PUSD water tower property. The concerns related to the deadline for non-refundable deposits on bids for the property.

ITEM #5 ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS:

Review, and approve August 15, 2013 minutes: After some discussion on Richard House, Chair adding his own personal comments in red ink to the minutes, it was agreed that the Secretary is responsible for the minutes and comments/corrections should only be brought up at the full board meeting. Motion made Joe Dirks/Teri Denlinger to approve the minutes with only the black ink and the correction of Tony Kempton's telephone number. **Motion passed** 10-2-1. **Not in favor:** Richard House, Mike Lutz. **Abstained:** Eugenia Contratto, commenting she doesn't care.

Review, and approve September 19, 2013 minutes: A similar discussion took place regarding these minutes. Motion made Lou Dell Angela/Roberta Mikels to accept minutes in black ink only, not red ink comments. **Motion passed** 10-2-1. **Not in favor:** Richard House, Mike Lutz. **Abstained:** Eugenia Contratto as she was not present.

Notes that the October 17, 2013 meeting was not an official meeting: Richard House, Chair, stated Tony Kempton, his boss, and the City were upset with having any notes distributed. Richard stated he was informed by the City that, quote: 'if this were to ever happen again, put a notice on the door, lock the door and leave because they would not tolerate it. Those are the words from Tony Kempton and this is word from the City Attorney, as well as Tony's boss – that they were not happy...that we put out meeting notes', unquote. Robin requested written confirmation from Richard regarding his statement as Robin was informed by Tony to take and distribute notes. She offered to make a formal apology to the board if Richard produced documentation of what he stated. Refer to attached document at end of these minutes pertaining to communication from Tony Kempton on this issue.

Review and approve October 2013/November 2013 Treasurer's report: A motion was made by Vicki Touchstone/Mike Lutz to accept both the October and November Treasurer's reports. The motion passed unanimously.

-A motion was made by Fred Gahm/Mike Lutz to approve an addition expenditure of \$83.66 from the grant fund for 2013 election. **The motion passed** unanimously.

-A motion was made by Fred Gahm/Lou Dell Angela to transfer \$76.00 for PO box 2013 annual cost from the grant fund to the operating account. This amount was inadvertently charged to our operating account instead of the grant fund. **The motion passed** unanimously.

ITEM #6 RESIDENTS WISHING TO PRESENT THEMSELVES AS DISTRICT D (SWIMAND TENNIS) PLANNING BOARD MEMBER:

No one presented themselves.

ITEM #7 SPRINT NEIGHBORHOOD USE PERMIT APPLICATION:

Sprint Neighborhood Use Permit Application to remove the existing facade-mounted antennas and new antennas behind screening to match the existing element of the building located at 12578 Oaks North Drive. Dough Munson and Debbie Leung presented the project information. A motion was made by Lou Dell Angela/Roberta Mikles to approve the project as submitted. **The motion passed** unanimously.

ITEM #8 SPEED LIMIT CHANGES ON POMERADO RD:

Proposed increase of 5 mph on 2 sections of Pomerado Rd resulting from a required City survey in order to keep the City speed limits radar enforceable under the provisions of the law. The sections are between Rios Rd/Pomerado Ct and Pomerado Ct/Paseo del Verano. The Traffic committee was unable to decide on a recommendation as to the posted speed limit change. Robin Kaufman updated the Board on this topic. Residents Carol Turzakis, Ann Peabody, Steve Reyno, Judy Frost, and Larry Reyno presented their various objections to the speed increase. A motion was made by Vicki Touchstone/Matt Stockton that a letter be sent to Traffic Engineers requesting a new, more thorough study be taken on the area as the original study was done during the summer when there were no school buses or other school related vehicle traffic in the area. The letter would also request the original speed limits be posted again until the new study has been completed. **The motion passed** 12-0-1. Joe Dirks abstained as he felt the wording to the motion did not have a clear objective.

ITEM #9 REVISIONS TO THE PFFP AND CIP PROJECTS:

Approve final minor revisions to the PFFP and CIP as noted in the handouts. Vicki Touchstone presented the revisions to remove the Bernardo Center Drive ramp improvement on the list as it has already been completed. A motion was made by Lou Dell Angela/Wolfie Pores to approve the removal of the Bernardo Center Drive at I-15 Ramp Improvements project from the Rancho Bernardo Community Planning Board Priority List for the Public Facilities Financing Plan and from the Rancho Bernardo Community Planning Board CIP Priorities for FY 2015 because the project has already been completed. **Motion passed** unanimously.

ITEM #10 AD-HOC WEB SITE COMMITTEE INFORMATION ITEM:

Richard House announced his decision to disband this committee two months ago. All updates have been sent to the webmaster at this time. The website updates will be ongoing, with posting of minutes and agendas being the number one priority.

ITEM #11 CREATION OF A PARLIAMENTARIAN POSITION AND APPOINTMENT TO THE POSITION:

Richard House explained why he asked former board member Glen Vaughn to assist with any meeting procedures. After a long discussion, a motion was made by Richard House/Mike Lutz to defer this item for another time. **Motion failed**, 5-8. **In favor to defer item:** Wolfie Pores, Eugenia Contratto, Mike Lutz, Richard House, Fred Gahm. **Not in favor to defer item:** John Cochran, Matt Stockton, Robin Kaufman, Vicki Touchstone, Teri Denlinger, Roberta Mikles, Joe Dirks, Lou Dell Angela.

-A motion was made by Lou Dell Angela/Roberta Mikles to create a parliamentarian position. Joe Dirks suggested the topic be discussed at the bylaws adhoc committee level. **Motion failed**, 6-7-0. **In favor:** Joe Dirks, Teri Denlinger, Robin Kaufman, Matt Stockton, Roberta Mikles. **Not in favor:** Richard House, Mike Lutz, Eugenia Contratto, Fred Gahm, Wolfie Pores, Vicki Touchstone, John Cochran.

-A motion was made by Joe Dirks/Richard House to have the bylaws adhoc committee review and discuss this matter. **Motion passed**, 11-2-0. Vicki Touchstone and John Cochran were not in favor.

**Eugenia Contratto left at 9:30 pm, bringing voting membership at 12.

**Fred Gahm left at 9:35 pm, bringing voting membership to 11.

ITEM #12 NON AGENDA COMMUNICATION GUIDELINE:

Richard House asked Lou Dell Angela to draft rules governing written or verbal communications on non-agenda items and subjects. Richard commented he brought the topic up at the administrative board meeting that no communications between the board was to occur except through the chair. Several members commented they felt this item should be brought to the full board for discussion.

**Wolfie Pores left at 9:35 pm, bringing voting membership to 10.

**Teri Denlinger left at 9:49 pm, bringing voting membership to 9.

ITEM #13 AWARDS:

Richard felt the board should give a plaque to Ron Bamberger of the Bernardo Town Business Association for having the design and colors of McDonalds fit into the community. A motion was made by Richard House/Mike Lutz to present Ron Bamberger with a plaque to show our appreciation of his work with the McDonald's project. **Motion failed**, 1-8-0. **In favor:** Richard House. **Not in favor:** Mike Lutz, Robin Kaufman, John Cochran, Lou Dell Angela, Vicki Touchstone, Matt Stockton, Roberta Mikles, Joe Dirks.

-Motion made by John Cochran/Roberta Mikles to adjourn the meeting at 9:55 pm as club staff was waiting to close up. **Motion passed** unanimously.

ITEM #14 COMMITTEE REPORTS (see attached draft of minutes)

Bylaws Ad-Hoc.....Richard House
Development Review.....Lou Dell Angela/Richard House
Regional Issues.....Vicki Touchstone
Traffic & Transportation..... Robin Kaufman
Publicity/Elections/Nominating.....Kim Coutts

ITEM #15 LIAISON REPORTS (see attached meeting report(s))

Industrial Representative.....Not filled
Commercial Representative..... See written report
Community Council/MAD.....Robin Kaufman
Community Planners Committee (CPC).....Richard House

SANDAG.....Richard House

ITEM #16 OLD BUSINESS

ITEM #17 NEW BUSINESS

ADJOURNMENT:

NEXT BOARD MEETING:

Thursday December 18, 2014 @ 7:00 PM
RB Swim & Tennis Club – Club 21 Room

STANDING SUB-COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Administrative Committee

6:00 PM - Monday, 10 days prior to Board meeting ...
Swim & Tennis Club

Publicity/Elections/Nom

location & time TBA

Development Review Committee

6:00 PM - First Tuesday of month
RB Swim & Tennis Club – Club 21

Regional Issues Committee

7:00 PM - First Tuesday of month.
RB Swim & Tennis Club – Club 21

Traffic & Transportation Committee

5:30 PM – 4th Monday of month
RB Swim & Tennis Club – Club 21

Email Communication from Tony Kempton regarding October notes (Item #5):

Subject: RE: thank you for your time
Date: 11/22/2013 4:31:17 P.M. Pacific Standard Time
From: KemptonT@sandiego.gov
To: Rbns1Nest@aol.com

Hi Robin:

Yes. Notes but not meeting minutes, which connotes a regular noticed meeting took place.

From: Rbns1Nest@aol.com [mailto:Rbns1Nest@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, November 22, 2013 9:04 AM
To: Kempton, Tony
Subject: thank you for your time

Hello Tony,

Thank you for taking time to speak with me this morning regarding the 'notes' taken and only distributed to planning board members to help refresh their memories on matters discussed at the October 17th 'non-meeting'.

To make sure I understood you correctly when I spoke to you after October 17 and again this morning, it was okay to distribute the notes to only planning board members, emphasizing that they were indeed 'notes' and not minutes. We would not vote on these notes, nor would they be posted to be made public anywhere.

Thank you in advance for confirming this information,

Robin Kaufman

Rancho Bernardo Community Planning Board

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE

Draft Minutes

6:00 P.M. Tuesday, November 5, 2013

R.B. Swim & Tennis Club/Club 21 Room

CALL TO ORDER & ROLL CALL:

Chair Lou Dell'Angela called the meeting to order at 6:05 P.M. Committee members in attendance: Lou Dell'Angela, Richard House and Roberta Mikles. Members not in attendance: Robin Kaufman, Matt Stockton, George Leitner and John Kowalski.

Lou stated that a quorum of Committee members was not present and final action could not be taken on any agenda item at this meeting. He noted that traffic congestion had delayed one member and another was delayed by a longer than usual conflicting meeting.

NON AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT: There was no public comment.

CHAIR REMARKS:

While no action could be taken at this meeting, Lou noted that representatives of the Oaks North Sprint project were present. He asked that they make a presentation to the Committee members that were present for information purposes only.

Danielle Goldman representing Sprint described the proposal and answered several questions asked by members Dell'Angela and Mikles.

Given that no final action could be taken by either the full Planning Board on October 17 or by this Committee tonight, through no fault of the applicants, Lou advised Ms. Goldman that he would recommend to the Planning Board Chair that this application be added to the Board's November 21 meeting agenda for consideration and action.

ADJOURNMENT: The meeting was adjourned at 6:35 P.M.

The next Committee meeting is scheduled to be held on December 3 at 6:00 P.M.

Rancho Bernardo Community Planning Board
PO Box 270831, San Diego, CA 92198
www.rbplanningboard.com
Traffic Committee Draft Minutes
Monday, October 28, 5:30 p.m.
RB Swim & Tennis Club

- 1) Call To Order: meeting is called to order 5:30 pm. In attendance: Robin Kaufman, Mike Lutz, Joe Dirks, Roberta Mikles. Not in attendance: Richard House. Residents in attendance: Steve R., Carol T.
- 2) Modification/Addition To Agenda: Motion made Joe Dirks/Roberta Mikles to accept the agenda. Motion passed unanimously.
- 3) Approval of Minutes: Motion made Joe Dirks/Roberta Mikles to accept the minutes from the last committee meeting (July). Motion passed 3-0-1. Mike Lutz abstained as he was not in attendance.
- 4) Public Comment: the residents in attendance expressed their concern over the increased speed in two areas of Pomerado Road. Refer to item #5.
- 5) Increased Speed on Sections of Pomerado Road: Proposed increase of 5 mph on 2 sections of Pomerado Rd resulting from a required survey in order to keep speed limits radar enforceable under the provisions of state law. Robin Kaufman took time to read an email received from the traffic division explaining the reasoning behind the increased speed and why it is increased in just the two areas of Pomerado Road (see attachment below). After some discussion, motion was made Joe Dirks/Mike Lutz, to bring the issue to the full board to decide whether or not to request the speed limit be reduced to original speeds of 45 mph and 35 miles per hour. It was also suggested that the committee find out how many bus stops are along that strip of Pomerado Rod and how many speeding tickets have been given in that area.
- 6) Update on Stop Sign at Paseo del Verano North and Camino Ancho: residents are concerned oncoming traffic is not seen as exiting Camino Ancho. An official traffic study was completed by the city. The study indicated an all-way stop was not warranted in the area (see attachment below).
- 7) Update on Entrance into Westwood Shopping Center on West Bernardo Dr.: residents are concerned of near accidents entering the shopping center when there is only a designated exit. A review of this area indicated orange pylons will be placed in there to discourage people entering this area. Update: pylons were installed the week of November 11th.
- 8) Update on Speeding Issues Along Rios Road: Seven Oaks residents are upset with increased traffic and speed of traffic. Speed strips will be placed in this area to determine if vehicles are speeding along this road.
- 10) Adjournment: Motion made Roberta Mikles/Joe Dirks to adjourn at 6:24 pm. Motion carried unanimously. See four (4) pages of attached documents.

Pomerado Speed Study:

Speed limits in California are governed by the California Vehicle Code (CVC), Sections 22348 through 22413, and Sections 627 and 40802. Per the California Speed Trap Law (CVC Section 40802), local authorities are required to survey the prevailing speeds on classified streets at least once every five, seven or ten years in order to establish enforceable speed limits on public streets. Classified streets are identified on the "California Road Systems Map" that is approved by the Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the California Department of Transportation. Speed zones (limits) shall only be established on the basis of an engineering and traffic survey (E&TS) study that has been performed in accordance with traffic engineering practices. CVC Section 627 defines the term "Engineering and traffic survey" and lists its requirements that shall all be considered:

A. Prevailing speeds as determined by traffic engineering measurements.

B. Collision records.

C. Highway, traffic, and roadside conditions not readily apparent to the driver.

Physical conditions such as width, curvature, grade and surface conditions, or any other condition readily apparent to the driver, in the absence of other factors, would not require special downward speed zoning.

Prevailing speeds are determined by a speed zone survey. Few basic requirements for a speed zone survey are:

prior to, or while taking the speed measurements. The surveyor and equipment should not affect the traffic speeds. For this reason, an unmarked car is recommended.

ng speeds are representative of the entire speed zone section and to minimize the effects of traffic signals or stop signs.

-peak hours (between peak traffic periods) on weekdays.

r (dry pavement) with no unusual conditions prevailing.

be 100 vehicles in each survey. In no case should the sample contain less than 50 vehicles.

Speed limits are normally set near the 85th-percentile speed ("critical speed") which is defined as the speed at or below which 85th percent of the traffic is moving. It statistically represents one standard deviation above the average speed and establishes the upper limit of what is considered reasonable driving speed for the prevailing roadway conditions (i.e. motorists drive based on roadway conditions; if the roadway is, for example, wide, with no horizontal and/or vertical curves and frequent cross-traffic, motorists will be inclined to drive faster, because they can, regardless of the posted speed). Speed limits should not be set arbitrarily low, as this would create violators of a disproportionate number of the reasonable majority of drivers. Studies have shown that establishing a speed limit at less than the 85th percentile generally results in an increase in collision rates and therefore should be done with great amount of consideration.

The Speed Limit sign shall display the limit established by law, ordinance, regulation, or as adopted by the authorized agency based on the engineering study. In urban areas, the speed limits shall be in multiples of 5 mph.

The California Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices outlines standards how speed limits are calculated based on the results of a speed zone survey. The excerpts are as follows:

Standard:

When a speed limit is to be posted, it shall be established at the nearest 5 mph increment of the 85th-percentile speed of free-flowing traffic, except as shown in the two Options below.

Option:

1. The posted speed may be reduced by 5 mph from the nearest 5 mph increment of the 85th-percentile speed, in compliance with CVC Sections 627 and 22358.5.
2. For cases in which the nearest 5 mph increment of the 85th-percentile speed would require a rounding up, then the speed limit may be rounded down to the nearest 5 mph increment below the 85th percentile speed, if no further reduction is used (CVC Section 21400-f).

Standard:

If the speed limit to be posted has had the 5 mph reduction applied, then an E&TS shall document in writing the conditions and justification for the lower speed limit and be approved by a registered Engineer. The reasons for the lower speed limit shall be in compliance with CVC Sections 627 and 22358.5.

The following examples explain the application of these speed limit criteria:

A. If the 85th percentile speed in a speed survey for a location was 37 mph, then the speed limit would be established at 35 mph since it is the closest 5 mph increment to the 37 mph speed. As indicated by the option 1, this 35 mph speed limit could be reduced to 30 mph if the conditions and justification for using this lower speed limit are documented in the E&TS and approved by a registered Civil or Traffic Engineer.

B. B. If the 85th percentile speed in a speed survey for a location was 33 mph, then the speed limit would be established at 35 mph since it is the closest 5 mph increment to the 33 mph speed. As indicated by the option 1, this 35 mph speed limit could be reduced to 30 mph if the conditions and justification for using this lower speed limit are documented in the E&TS and approved by a registered Civil or Traffic Engineer.

C. C. If the 85th percentile speed in a speed survey for a location was 33 mph, option 2 allows that instead of rounding up to 35 mph, the speed limit can be established at 30 mph, but no further reductions can be applied (which is allowed in the two examples above).

In other words, if the resulting 85th-percentile speed ends with 3, 4, 8 or 9, the speed limit can be rounded down to the nearest 5 mph increment.

The conditions not readily apparent to the driver that qualify for a 5 mph reduction are:

A. Residential density, if any of the following conditions exist on the particular portion of highway and the property contiguous (i.e. adjacent and fronting) to it, other than a business district:

business structures are fronting the highway segment.

houses or business structures are fronting the highway segment.

as the ratio of separate dwelling houses or business structures to the length of the highway described in either subparagraph a or b.

B. Pedestrian and bicyclist safety (e.g. no sidewalks in a zone with high pedestrian traffic; substandard width of travel lanes on a bike route).

C. Accident history confirmed by the collision rate above the expected collision rate, which is calculated as the number of accidents per million vehicle miles for a particular roadway classification. In other words, all accidents on, for example, 4-lane major collectors throughout City of San Diego are summed up and expected collision rate for this roadway classification is computed (calculations include daily traffic volumes and segment lengths). This value is used as a reference point against which every subject 4-lane major collector segment, such as Pomerado Road between Pomerado Court and Paseo del Verano, is compared.

Generally, the most decisive evidence of conditions not readily apparent to the driver surface in collision histories.

Other factors that may be considered when establishing or reevaluating speed limits are:

A. Road characteristics, shoulder condition, grade, alignment, and sight distance.

B. The pace (the 10 mph increment of speed containing the largest number of vehicles).

C. Roadside development and environment.

D. Parking practices and pedestrian activity.

E. Reported crash experience for at least a 12-month period.

Lastly, regarding the recommendation for posted speed on Pomerado Road between Pomerado Court and Paseo del Verano here is the data we reviewed:

- The 85th –percentile speed 50.8 mph for the northbound direction and 50.3 mph for the southbound direction.

- Collision rate for the past 2 years 0.335, which less than the expected collision rate of 0.589.

- No conditions that are not readily apparent to the driver identified.

Based on the results and standards listed above, the lowest enforceable speed limit in each direction of this segment of Pomerado Road is 50 mph.

Paseo Del Verano Norte and Camino Ancho Study:

Item#1: We evaluated the intersection of Paseo Del Verano Norte and Camino Ancho for the installation of an all-way stop control and determined that this intersection did not meet the warrants for the all-way stop. The primary reason being there is very little traffic coming out of Camino Ancho. I understand the request for the all-way stop was to address the concern for visibility at this intersection due to the bend in the road. We took a look at the visibility at Camino Ancho and determined that there is sufficient sight distance to see eastbound motorists along Paseo Del Verano Norte. The existing striping is designed to push motorists towards the center of the road where they are more visible to exiting traffic on Camino Ancho. It may be a little difficult with the construction vehicles that are currently in the area but motorists are expected to stop behind the stop bar and proceed further toward the intersection to improve visibility as they make a left or right turn at Camino.

Community Council Report November, 2013

- 1) The RBCC, through the Public Safety Committee, is hosting a 3rd annual CPR/AED training session, Saturday, November 23 10 am through 1 pm at the community center at the community park. Opened to all ages. Everyone will receive goody bag with items ranging from free meals at local restaurants, pens, note pads, magnets, magazines, etc. Free refreshments donated by local businesses. Opportunity drawings at each shift with items donated by local businesses, including a \$1,500 AED. Everyone is welcome. As of November 15th, just shy of 200 have pre-registered.
- 2) The RBCC, through the Government Relations Committee is hosting a Mayoral forum with the top two candidates Tuesday, December 3, 6:30 pm at the Ed Brown Senior Center. Allison Ash from Channel 10 news will be the moderator. There will also be a live feed.
- 3) The RBCC handled three graffiti issues in the community this past month.
- 4) The Public Safety Committee had the portable speed monitor placed on Rios Road in 7 Oaks after numerous speeding complaints. The RBCC donated the portable speed monitor to the city a number of years ago. It is the only portable speed monitor in the city of San Diego.
- 5) The RBCC authorized a letter to be sent to the city to oppose the water rate increase.
- 6) The Public Safety Committee had a street light replaced (within a week) in Bernardo Heights which was missing for a few years along Avenida Venusto.
- 7) The Maintenance Assessment District is completing upgrades on uprights along RB Road and Bernardo Center Drive. It is also reviewing some landscaping work along Pomerado Road and the annual budget.